Objective: To examine the association of retinal vascular changes with the incidence of lower extremity amputations (LEAs) in a cohort with diabetes mellitus.

Methods: Baseline examinations were performed in a cohort of 996 persons with diabetes diagnosed before the age of 30 years and using insulin. History of LEA was obtained at baseline and at 4, 10, 14, and 20 years. The cumulative 20-year incidence of first LEA was calculated by the product-limit method in 906 persons with follow-up information. Retinal arterioles and venules were measured on digitized fundus photographs obtained at baseline by a standard protocol. Generalized arteriolar narrowing was defined as the lowest 25% of the arteriole-venule ratio. Measurements were obtained in at least one eye for 820 persons. Focal arteriolar narrowing and arteriovenous nicking were also determined from fundus photographs by a standard protocol.

Results: The 20-year cumulative incidence of LEAs was 9.9%. The unadjusted risk of undergoing a LEA was higher in persons with generalized arteriolar narrowing than in those without it (15.7% vs 5.7%; odds ratio [OR], 3.08; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.60-4.68), and in persons with focal narrowing (33.1% vs 6.8%; OR, 5.59; 95% CI, 3.27-9.54). The results for arteriovenous nicking were not significant. With control for age, sex, levels of glycosylated hemoglobin, diastolic blood pressure, and history of ulcers of the feet, the relationships were attenuated but still statistically significant for both generalized narrowing (OR, 1.89; 95% CI, 1.06-3.35) and focal narrowing (OR, 3.56; 95% CI, 1.87-6.78).

Conclusion: In persons with diabetes, generalized as well as focal retinal arteriolar narrowing may reflect damage to the microvasculature, which manifests itself elsewhere in the body as a need for LEAs.
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Although to a large degree preventable, lower extremity amputation (LEA) continues to be an important complication of diabetes mellitus. Approximately one half of LEAs are performed in people with diabetes. Several risk factors for needing an LEA include age, male sex, poor glycemic control, and smoking. It is believed that many LEAs are the result of a vascular etiology, which is demonstrated by associations between LEAs and elevated blood pressure and between LEAs and peripheral vascular disease.

The retina provides a direct view of the vascular system, and changes observed in the retinal arterioles may reflect damage occurring elsewhere. Recent studies indicate that retinal arteriolar narrowing is a marker of elevated blood pressure, inflammation, and compromised endothelial function, and an independent predictor of coronary heart disease. We have previously reported on the incidence of and risk factors for undergoing a LEA through 14 years of follow-up. In the present report, we investigate the association of retinal arteriolar changes with the incidence of LEAs in a cohort with younger-onset diabetes and 20 years of follow-up.

METHODS

The population studied for this report comprises the younger-onset cohort of the Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy and the Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Cardiovascular Disease in Diabetes. Methods of case identification and descriptions of the population have appeared in previous publications. Briefly, 10135 patients with diabetes were identified in the practices of primary care physicians in an 11-county area in southern Wisconsin. A 2-part sample of this population was invited to participate in the baseline examination between 1980 and 1982.
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subjects, those who were excluded were older, had a longer history of diabetes, higher blood pressure, and a greater body mass, and they were more likely to be men, to have proteinuria, and to have more severe retinopathy at baseline.

In the total group at risk, there were 79 LEAs, for a cumulative 20-year incidence of 9.9% (95% confidence interval [CI], 7.8%-12.0%). The incidence of LEAs was higher in subjects without photographs gradable for vessel measurements (21 LEAs [32.0%]) than in subjects with such photographs (58 LEAs [8.0%]) (P<.001).

Table 2 presents the cumulative 20-year incidence of LEAs by quartile of arteriolar diameter, venular diameter, A/V ratio, severity of diabetic retinopathy, and absence or presence of focal narrowing and AV nicking. The trends in incidence are not statistically significant for the arteriolar or venular diameters, or for AV nicking. However, there was a statistically significant trend for the A/V ratio, with the lowest quartile showing the highest incidence of LEAs. The odds ratio (OR) for generalized arteriolar narrowing (first quartile vs second through fourth quartiles) was 3.08 (95% CI, 1.82-5.21). These results were substantially unchanged after adjusting for age and sex (OR, 2.73; 95% CI, 1.60-4.68) or when analyzing men and women separately (data not shown). There is also a statistically significant relationship between increasing severity of retinopathy and higher incidence of LEAs (Table 2). This relationship also re-

---

### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Subjects Included in and Excluded From the Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Included</th>
<th>Value*</th>
<th>Excluded</th>
<th>Value*</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>27.1 ± 11.6</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>39.5 ± 15.8</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes, y</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>12.7 ± 9.1</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>24.2 ± 11.8</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosylated hemoglobin, %</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>10.5 ± 2.1</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>121 ± 17</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>142 ± 28</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>78 ± 11</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>83 ± 14</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index†</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>23.3 ± 4.2</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>24.4 ± 4.7</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic retinopathy</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| *Values are expressed as mean ± SD or percentage of subjects.†Calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters.

### Table 2. 20-Year Cumulative Incidence of Lower Extremity Amputation by Baseline Central Retinal Artery Equivalent, Central Retinal Vein Equivalent, A/V Ratio, Diabetic Retinopathy, Focal Narrowing, and AV Nicking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>20-Year Rate, %</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRAE, µm</td>
<td>117.1-208.1</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1.99 (0.94-4.19)</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208.2-221.1</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.98 (0.42-2.26)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221.2-235.5</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.43 (0.66-3.11)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235.6-306.6</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRVE, µm</td>
<td>167.6-233.1</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.80 (0.41-1.57)</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233.2-246.3</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.28 (0.11-0.71)</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246.4-260.6</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.90 (0.47-1.76)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260.7-325.2</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.00*</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/V ratio</td>
<td>0.587-0.808</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>2.86 (1.41-5.83)</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.809-0.853</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.20 (0.53-2.70)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.854-0.896</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.63 (0.24-1.65)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.897-1.119</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.00*</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy severity</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.00*</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.00*</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>5.66 (2.16-14.79)</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDR</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>18.38 (7.90-42.92)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal narrowing</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.00*</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>5.59 (3.27-9.54)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV nicking</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.00*</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>2.17 (0.92-5.14)</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AV, arteriovenous; A/V, arteriolar/venular; CI, confidence interval; CRAE, central retinal artery equivalent; CRVE, central retinal vein equivalent; OR, odds ratio; PDR, proliferative diabetic retinopathy.

*Reference group.
blood pressure, and history of sores and ulcers on the feet and ankles. Controlling for these additional risk factors resulted in glycosylated hemoglobin, history of ulcers of the feet or ankles.

The resulting set of variables included age, sex, levels of diabetes, presence of hypertension, higher pulse pressure, duration of diabetes, higher systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and history of ulcers of the feet. This suggests that microvascular disease, as reflected in the retina, may be an important predictor of the need for a LEA.

Despite a growing knowledge of the pathogenesis and treatment of diabetes, complications that lead to LEA continue to be a major problem for people with the disease. A substantial proportion of LEAs may be attributed to a vascular, possibly microvascular, etiology. In people with diabetes, those with peripheral vascular disease have a substantially higher risk of undergoing a LEA than those without it. High blood pressure has also been implicated as a risk factor for LEA. In the general population, generalized arteriolar narrowing and focal arteriolar changes have recently been shown to be associated with the risk of cardiovascular disease and stroke, suggesting that changes in the retinal vasculature may reflect adverse microvascular changes occurring elsewhere. Thus, the association of retinal arteriolar narrowing and LEA in people with diabetes supports the hypothesis that systemic microvascular disease may contribute toward risk of LEA. If supported by further research, this finding may have important clinical implications as it suggests that medical therapy targeting the microvasculature may reduce the risk of foot ulcers and LEAs.

However, we found that when also controlling for the level of severity of diabetic retinopathy, the associations of generalized and focal arteriolar narrowing with LEA were no longer statistically significant. This suggests that these parameters provide no more information about the risk of having a LEA than that provided by the retinopathy level—a factor that is routinely assessed in people with diabetes. If there is a small residual effect of generalized or focal arteriolar narrowing, this study does not have the power to detect it.

In addition to the association of LEA with retinal microvascular disease, we confirmed several modifiable risk factors that have been identified from past examinations of this cohort. These include glucose control, blood pressure, and smoking. Earlier publications have extensively discussed these relationships.

Serum levels for total and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol were not obtained until the second examination, between 1984 and 1986, and only for approximately one third of the participants. Thus, it is not possible to control for these variables at baseline, and an insufficient number of LEAs were performed following that examination to conduct a reliable analysis. However, results from the Atherosclerosis Risk in
Communities Study indicate that there is no relationship between blood lipid levels and retinal vascular measurements. Thus, blood lipids are unlikely to be confounders of the association of retinal vascular factors and LEAs.

There are several limitations to this study. First, there were losses to follow-up in the cohort, owing largely to deaths. A differential incidence of LEAs in these persons would result in underestimation of the incidence rate. In an earlier publication using a probable-case scenario, we estimated that the cumulative incidence of LEAs could be underreported by as much as 27%. Losses to follow-up may also affect the relationships between risk factors and LEAs. However, the risk factors for LEAs are also risk factors for death. Thus, the most likely effect of losses to follow-up is to move the results toward the null hypothesis or to weaken the associations between the risk factors and LEAs.

Most importantly, there is possible bias because some photographs were ungradable for vessel measurements. If such ungradability was related to both the risk factor of interest and LEAs, then exclusion of cases with missing data could influence the magnitude and significance of the relationship between the risk factor and LEAs. We addressed this concern by including these cases in the models, with an indicator variable for the missing data. This allowed us to recover much of the missing data and to examine how this affected the relationship between risk factor and LEAs. That this procedure had little effect on the relationships suggests that the results are not unduly influenced by the fact that data are missing.

The results of this study suggest a link between microvascular changes in the retinal vessels and risk of undergoing a LEA in people with younger-onset diabetes. These results provide evidence that microvascular processes, as reflected in the retina, may contribute to the risk of LEA.
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