Perioperative Dexamethasone Administration and Risk of Bleeding Following Tonsillectomy in Children
A Randomized Controlled Trial

A
DENOTONSILLECTOMY IS EX-
ceedingly common, with a re-
ported increase in tonsillec-
tomy rates in children younger
than 15 years from 287 000 to 530 000
per year over the past decade.1,2 Al-
though safe, adenotonsillectomy can re-
sult in significant complications, such
as aspiration, pulmonary edema, post-
operative dehydration, and hemorrh-
age.3 Although complications are in-
frequent because tonsillectomy is so
common, the absolute number of chil-
dren experiencing tonsillectomy com-
lications is formidable.

Postoperative nausea and vomiting
(PONV) is a major source of morbidi-
ity following tonsillectomy. Periopera-
tive administration of corticosteroids ef-
effectively manages PONV and also results in more rapid resumption of a
diet, improved pain control, and de-
creased airway swelling.4 The benefits

Context Corticosteroids are commonly given to children undergoing tonsillectomy
to reduce postoperative nausea and vomiting; however, they might increase the risk
of perioperative and postoperative hemorrhage.

Objective To determine the effect of dexamethasone on bleeding following tonsil-
lectomy in children.

Design, Setting, and Patients A multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-
blind, placebo-controlled study at 2 tertiary medical centers of 314 children aged 3 to 18
years undergoing tonsillectomy without a history of bleeding disorder or recent cortico-
steroid medication use and conducted between July 15, 2010, and December 20, 2011,
with 14-day follow-up. We tested the hypothesis that dexamethasone would not result
in 5% more bleeding events than placebo using a noninferiority statistical design.

Intervention A single perioperative dose of dexamethasone (0.5 mg/kg; maximum
dose, 20 mg), with an equivalent volume of 0.9% saline administered to the
placebo group.

Main Outcome Measures Rate and severity of posttonsillectomy hemorrhage in the
14-day postoperative period using a bleeding severity scale (level I, self-reported or parent-
reported postoperative bleeding; level II, required inpatient admission for postoperative
bleeding; or level III, required reoperation to control postoperative bleeding).

Results One hundred fifty-seven children (median [interquartile range] age, 6 [4-8]
years) were randomized into each study group, with 17 patients (10.8%) in the dexa-
methasone group and 13 patients (8.2%) in the placebo group reporting bleeding events.
In an intention-to-treat analysis, the rates of level I bleeding were 7.0% (n=11) in the
dexamethasone group and 4.5% (n=7) in the placebo group (difference, 2.6%; upper
limit 97.5% CI, 7.7%; P for noninferiority = .17); rates of level II bleeding were 1.9% (n=3)
and 3.2% (n=5), respectively (difference, −1.3%; upper limit 97.5% CI, 2.2%; P for non-
inferiority < .001); and rates of level III bleeding were 1.9% (n=3) and 0.6% (n=1), re-
spectively (difference, 1.3%; upper limit 97.5% CI, 3.8%; P for noninferiority = .002).

Conclusions Perioperative dexamethasone administered during pediatric tonsillec-
tomy was not associated with excessive, clinically significant level II or III bleeding events
based on not having crossed the noninferior threshold of 5%. Increased subjective
(level I) bleeding events caused by dexamethasone could not be excluded because the
noninferiority threshold was crossed.

Trial Registration clinicaltrials.gov Identifier: NCT01415583
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of corticosteroid administration have been known for many years. Two meta-analyses demonstrated the benefits of a single dose of dexamethasone in controlling PONV following tonsillectomy. Consequently, the American Academy of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery Clinical Practice Guideline on pediatric tonsillectomy provided a strong recommendation for the use of perioperative corticosteroid therapy.

A recent randomized trial studying the dose response of perioperative dexamethasone to PONV in children undergoing tonsillectomy was prematurely terminated due to an increased risk of postoperative hemorrhage. The outcomes of the trial suggested that a single dose of intraoperative dexamethasone significantly increased posttonsillectomy hemorrhage events. In light of these findings, there is a need to reassess the safety profile for dexamethasone when used during tonsillectomy.

Given the long history of dexamethasone use during tonsillectomy and the single report questioning its safety, we performed a clinical trial to address concerns about bleeding events in children associated with dexamethasone use during tonsillectomy.

**METHOD**

**Study Design and Conduct**

Our study was reviewed and approved by the institutional review boards of both institutions (Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston, and Naval Medical Center San Diego, San Diego, California). All patients, their guardians, or both provided written informed consent and assent. Our study was designed as a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, noninferiority trial. Randomization was performed by the hospital pharmacist and occurred via a 1:1 scheme using a random number generator. On the day of surgery, a syringe containing either dexamethasone (0.5 mg/kg; maximum dose, 20 mg) or volume-equivalent 0.9% normal saline was then delivered to the anesthesiologist. Both the study drug and placebo were in identical packaging. The study drug was administered parenterally at the start of the operation. All nurses, anesthesiologists, surgeons, patients, patient guardians, and data collectors were blinded as to whether the patient received the dexamethasone or 0.9% normal saline. The operation and postoperative care were standardized. All patients received a single dose of parenteral perioperative antibiotics. All tonsillectomies were performed in an extracapsular fashion using monopolar electrocautery (12 W fulgurate) and a spatula-tip. Bleeding was controlled with suction cautery (12-15 W fulgurate). Postoperatively, study patients did not receive any dexamethasone. Analgesic strategies consisted of acetaminophen with or without codeine or hydrocodone, depending on age, severity of pain, and surgical indication. Ibuprofen and any other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were not prescribed during the postoperative period. Ondansetron was administered intraoperatively for nausea as a single parenteral dose. Promethazine was administered parenterally every 6 hours as needed for breakthrough nausea. Patients were prescribed oral antibiotics postoperatively for 5 days.

All patients had strict discharge instructions to return to the emergency department of the medical center for any signs of postoperative bleeding. On or shortly after postoperative day 14, the patient and their guardian completed a bleeding questionnaire (eMethods, available at http://www.jama.com) that was reviewed and recorded into a secure database.

The data safety and monitoring board performed an interim analysis after approximately 50% of the patients had been enrolled and their postoperative data collected, and concluded the data did not meet criteria for stopping the trial.

**Study Patients**

Eligible patients aged between 3 and 18 years underwent tonsillectomy by electrocautery for the indication of sleep disordered breathing or infectious tonsillitis at 2 academic medical centers (Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary and Naval Medical Center San Diego). Exclusion criteria included a known personal or family history of any bleeding disorder; use of corticosteroid medications within 2 weeks of surgery, including topical nasal corticosteroids; and use of an alternative surgical technique (FIGURE 1).
Outcome Measures
The primary outcome measure for the trial was postoperative bleeding stratified by severity and is defined in the BOX. Secondary outcomes included postoperative bleeding rate stratified by age, indication for surgery, type of surgery, and surgeon.

Power Calculation
The EAST statistical software program (Cytel Inc) was used to calculate the sample size assuming a power of 90%, \( \alpha = .25 \), and an interim analysis at 50% of patient accrual, with early stopping for increased bleeding rates in the dexamethasone group. The primary noninferiority hypothesis required that the dexamethasone group had no more than a 5% absolute increase in the rate of bleeding compared with the placebo group. Our calculated necessary sample size was 298 patients (149 in the dexamethasone group and 149 in the placebo group). The sample size was increased to 305 after factoring in the stopping criteria for the interval analysis.

The noninferiority margin of 5% was determined by several methods. The first method was to query the authors about what they thought an acceptable difference in bleeding rates would be between the corticosteroid and saline groups. At the institution of the senior author (C.J.H.) where a majority of the cases were performed, the pediatric posttonsillectomy bleeding rate was 2.5% from their 2010 quality and outcome report. The US national benchmark is 2.2% to 7.8%. The authors believed we should not exceed the upper limit of the US benchmark, a difference of approximately 5%. A recent commentary on posttonsillectomy hemorrhage discussed “normal” bleeding rates (defined as mean plus 2 SDs) of 4.5% (mean) plus 9.4% (2 SDs), suggesting a maximum bleeding rate of 13.9%. Our 5% margin is within this parameter. In addition, we reviewed the literature for studies in which posttonsillectomy bleeding was an objective (primary or secondary) and the methods section discussed use (or not use) of perioperative corticosteroids. We found that studies using perioperative corticosteroids had a 2.8% higher mean bleeding rate than those studies that did not use corticosteroids. The authors believe anything more than double that margin, approximately 5%, would be unsafe.

Statistical Analysis
A noninferiority study was chosen to demonstrate that dexamethasone was not associated with a clinically significant increase in postoperative bleeding rate compared with placebo in children undergoing tonsillectomy. Consistent with the noninferiority design, the null hypothesis states that the bleeding rate in patients receiving perioperative dexamethasone differed from the bleeding rate in patients receiving perioperative placebo; the alternative hypothesis states that the bleeding rate with dexamethasone is not greater than placebo by more than the noninferiority margin. This noninferiority margin was set at 5%, meaning a difference in bleeding rates that did not exceed 5% would be taken as evidence that the bleeding with dexamethasone is not greater than that with placebo by more than 5%.

The overall significance level was .025 for a 1-sided test; sample size was such that the power to detect the difference of 5% was 0.90. This study was designed as a group sequential trial, with an interim look at 50% information (which in this setting is 50% accrual). Sample size calculations assuming an O’Brien-Fleming spending function specified the need to recruit a total of 305 patients to this study. Sample size was inflated by 5% to accommodate the expected dropout rate, thus increasing the total number of patients to 320.

The interim analysis was performed by testing the difference in level III bleeding rates between the groups and by constructing confidence intervals around the difference in the proportions of children experiencing bleeding. Using the EAST software, it was determined that at the interim analysis, one would test the alternative hypothesis of equivalent rates of bleeding if \( P \) value for testing for a difference in bleeding rates was .0015 or smaller. Using the confidence interval approach, we concluded that dexamethasone increases the rate of bleeding over placebo if the confidence interval for the difference in rates had an upper bound greater than 5%.

Baseline characteristics were compared using the \( \chi^2 \), Fisher exact, or Wilcoxon rank sum tests. A 1-sided confidence interval approach was used to compare the bleeding rate between the 2 groups. The primary analysis was performed on an intention-to-treat basis, where participants lost to follow-up were included and presumed to be not having bleeding episodes. The per-protocol analysis was also performed. Adjusted analysis was also performed obtaining a difference in predicted probabilities of bleeding between the 2 groups by use of logistic regression. Analyses were performed on an intention-to-treat and per-protocol basis. SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute) was used and \( P < .05 \) was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
A total of 314 patients were enrolled between July 15, 2010, and December 20, 2011 (Figure 1). The trial ended once data from at least 305 patients had been recorded. One hundred fifty-seven pa-
Table 1. Characteristics of Study Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Dexamethasone (n = 157)</th>
<th>Saline (n = 157)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR), y</td>
<td>6 (4-9)</td>
<td>6 (4-8)</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>88 (56.1)</td>
<td>82 (52.2)</td>
<td>.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructive sleep apnea</td>
<td>127 (80.9)</td>
<td>125 (79.6)</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonsillitis</td>
<td>16 (10.2)</td>
<td>14 (8.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructive sleep apnea and tonsillitis</td>
<td>13 (8.3)</td>
<td>17 (10.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otherb</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonsillotomy</td>
<td>16 (10.2)</td>
<td>15 (9.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonsillotomy and adenoidectomy</td>
<td>141 (89.8)</td>
<td>141 (89.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision tonsillectomy</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating surgeon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon 1</td>
<td>8 (5.1)</td>
<td>9 (5.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon 2</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon 3</td>
<td>72 (45.9)</td>
<td>82 (52.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon 4</td>
<td>60 (38.2)</td>
<td>50 (31.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon 5</td>
<td>15 (9.5)</td>
<td>14 (8.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: IQR, interquartile range.

a Data are shown as No. (%) unless otherwise specified. Obstructive sleep apnea included obstructive sleep apnea, adenotonsilar hypertrophy, hyperplasia, sleep-disordered breathing, and snoring. Tonsillitis included tonsillitis, recurrent tonsillitis, chronic tonsillitis, recurrent pharyngitis, and peritonsillar abscesses.

b Included symptomatic uvular edema or a diagnosis of periodic fevers, aphthous stomatitis, pharyngitis, and adenitis.

Perioperative dexamethasone use in pediatric tonsillectomy is a common practice with strong support in the literature. A Cochrane review deemed dexamethasone “effective and relatively safe” and strongly recommended its use as a single perioperative dose. Clinical practice guidelines from the American Academy of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery also recommend this practice.8,21 However, there are concerns about bleeding complications associated with dexamethasone use in tonsillectomy. Posttonsillectomy bleeding rates of 6.1% were reported in patients “injected with topical vasoconstrictors and corticosteroids” compared with 1.8% in the patients not injected with either drugs.11 An audit of posttonsillectomy hemorrhage showed increased bleeding rates following initiation of corticosteroids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and bipolar diathermy.22 Both of these studies were retrospective and could not control confounding factors that might also be responsible for postoperative bleeding. A prospective trial of perioperative corti-
corticosteroids reported deleterious effects of corticosteroids on children undergoing tonsillectomy. It appeared that dexamethasone was associated with an increased risk of postoperative bleeding. However, because postonsillectomy bleeding was not the primary outcome variable, the study did not have sufficient statistical power to convincingly demonstrate that the corticosteroids caused postoperative hemorrhage. Additionally, surgical techniques were not standardized and there was an unexpectedly large number of primary bleeding events.

We designed our trial to definitively resolve the question of dexamethasone causing postoperative bleeding following tonsillectomy in children. We selected a dose of 0.5 mg/kg up to a maximum of 20 mg because it was the preferred dose used clinically by the study authors. This dose was associated with significant bleeding in the study by Czarnecki et al. A recent meta-analysis of prospective studies of dexamethasone use in pediatric tonsillectomy found a significantly increased odds of bleeding when stratifying for dose ranges of 0.4 mg/kg to 0.6 mg/kg.

A noninferiority study design was chosen to demonstrate that dexamethasone does not increase bleeding rates more than placebo by the prespecified noninferiority margin of 5%. To review, a noninferiority trial (1-sided test) rejects the null hypothesis that there is a difference between the 2 groups. This method is different from an equivalence study (2-sided test) and the opposite of a traditional superiority study where the null hypothesis assumes no difference between the 2 groups. Our outcome of interest was postoperative bleeding in the dexamethasone group. We hypothesized that there would not be more bleeding events in the dexamethasone group compared with the saline placebo group. It was not necessary to perform a 2-sided equivalence trial showing a dexamethasone association with either more or fewer bleeding events than saline placebo because we did not hypothesize any protective effect of dexamethasone against bleeding.

Postonsillectomy hemorrhage must be evaluated in the context of primary (bleeding in the first 24 hours after tonsillectomy due to inadequate hemostatic technique) vs secondary (bleeding occurring more than 24 hours following tonsillectomy) bleeding. In our study, there were 4 primary bleeding events, 2 in each group. When reporting postoperative hemorrhage, stratification of postoperative bleeding into primary and secondary events and the severity of bleeding should be characterized. Reporting of bleeding severity has not been standardized, complicating the interpretation of many studies of postonsillectomy bleeding. By stratifying bleeding severity (Box), we could place more emphasis on level II and III bleeding events because they

---

**Table 2. Bleeding Event Rate of the Intention-to-Treat and Per-Protocol Analyses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bleeding Event</th>
<th>No./Total No. (%) of Patients</th>
<th>% Difference (Upper Limit 97.5% CI)</th>
<th>Noninferiority P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intention-to-treat analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level I</td>
<td>11/157 (7.0)</td>
<td>7/157 (4.5)</td>
<td>2.6 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level II</td>
<td>3/157 (1.9)</td>
<td>5/157 (3.2)</td>
<td>−1.3 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level III</td>
<td>3/157 (1.9)</td>
<td>1/157 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Per-protocol analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level I</td>
<td>11/154 (7.1)</td>
<td>7/151 (4.6)</td>
<td>2.5 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level II</td>
<td>3/154 (2.0)</td>
<td>5/151 (3.3)</td>
<td>−1.4 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level III</td>
<td>3/154 (2.0)</td>
<td>1/151 (0.7)</td>
<td>1.3 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Six patients who were lost to follow-up and 3 patients who received postoperative corticosteroids were excluded from the per-protocol analysis. Level I bleeding event indicates self-reported or parent-reported postoperative bleeding; level II bleeding event, required inpatient admission for postoperative bleeding; and level III bleeding event, required reoperation to control postoperative bleeding.*

**Table 3. Bleeding Event Rate of Per-Protocol Analysis Excluding Primary Bleeding Events**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bleeding Event</th>
<th>No. (% of Patients)</th>
<th>% Difference (1-Sided 97.5% CI)</th>
<th>Noninferiority P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level I</td>
<td>11/154 (7.1)</td>
<td>7/151 (4.6)</td>
<td>2.5 (0-7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level II</td>
<td>2/154 (1.3)</td>
<td>3/151 (2.0)</td>
<td>−0.7 (0-2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level III</td>
<td>2/154 (1.3)</td>
<td>1/151 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.6 (0-2.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Level I bleeding event indicates self-reported or parent-reported postoperative bleeding; level II bleeding event, required inpatient admission for postoperative bleeding; and level III bleeding event, required reoperation to control postoperative bleeding.*

**Figure 2. Bleeding Event Rate by Noninferiority Intention-to-Treat Analysis**

Error bars indicate 1-sided 97.5% CIs. Tinted area indicates zone of inferiority. The noninferiority margin was set at 5%, meaning a difference in bleeding rates that did not exceed 5% would be taken as evidence that the bleeding with dexamethasone is not greater than that with placebo by more than 5%. Level I bleeding event indicates self-reported or parent-reported postoperative bleeding; level II bleeding event, required inpatient admission for postoperative bleeding; and level III bleeding event, required reoperation to control postoperative bleeding.
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were more objective than level I (self-reported) bleeding and are associated with greater risk to patients. Although we counseled all of our patients to report any bleeding event, many patients with level I bleeding events were nondescript and self-limited. In the majority of these cases, parents did not report bleeding events until the follow-up appointment, and then only when prompted by the questionnaire. This was explained by the minor or questionable nature of these bleeding events. Some examples included a “warm sensation in the mouth” or a “bloodstain on a pillow case.” The level II and III postoperative bleeding events are a more reliable indicator for complications because they are documented by treating physicians. These events are also associated with substantial morbidity and cost that occurs with prolonged hospitalization and the need for reoperations.

An inappropriately selected, noninferiority margin can result in an improperly powered study. We used level II and III bleeding events to establish our study size because these events are more objective and clinically important than level I bleeding events. We used our institutions’ outcomes data for level II and II bleeding events coupled with published literature reports to determine the noninferiority margin of 5% for our study. We were limited in this approach because most published studies did not report bleeding severity. Other potential limitations include the use of multiple surgeons and institutions. Standardization of procedures should have minimized the effect of this potential limitation. We did not stratify dosing of dexamethasone. We only used a single, routinely used dose that was commonly cited in the literature. Graded dexamethasone doses would have required a much larger sample size, diminishing the feasibility of completing this study.

In conclusion, in this prospective, randomized study of 314 children undergoing tonsillectomy, perioperative dexamethasone administration was not associated with more level II or III bleeding events than placebo as shown by noninferiority. Increased subjective (level I) bleeding events caused by dexamethasone could not be excluded because the noninferiority threshold of 5% was crossed.
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