Outcome Measures for Pediatric Spinal Muscular Atrophy

Susan T. Iannaccone, MD; and the American Spinal Muscular Atrophy Randomized Trials (AmSMART) Group

Background: Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is a genetic disease of the anterior horn cell with a frequency of 8 per 100,000 live births and a high rate of mortality during infancy. The American Spinal Muscular Atrophy Randomized Trials (AmSMART) Group is an organization of 5 centers formed to perform clinical trials in children with SMA.

Objective: To devise reliable methods to measure strength, motor function, lung function, and quality of life for use as outcome measures in children with SMA.

Setting: Tertiary referral center, pediatric neurology department.

Patients and Methods: Twelve children with SMA aged 2 to 14 years were enrolled in a reliability study of 4 outcome measures: quantitative muscle testing (in children <5 years), gross motor function measure, pulmonary function tests, and quality of life. The Richmond Quantitative Measurement System was used to test grip, knee flexion and extension, and elbow flexion. Gross motor function measure was performed as described, and pulmonary function tests were measured using the KoKo system. Quality of life was assessed via the PedsQL and the PedsQL Neuromuscular Module for patients and parents.

Results: Ten children fulfilled the inclusion criteria and completed at least 3 visits with 3 evaluators in 6 months. Using a weighted \( \kappa \), the gross motor function measure showed high interrater reliability. Quantitative muscle testing showed greater variability among the weakest children; the findings for pulmonary function tests and quality of life were inconclusive. The PedsQL Neuromuscular Module for parents had moderately high reliability.

Conclusion: A tool for motor function may be more useful in clinical trials of childhood SMA than one for quantitative muscle strength.

Arch Neurol. 2002;59:1445-1450
quantitative muscle testing (QMT) in children older than 5 years, (3) gross motor function measure (GMFM), and (4) quality of life (QOL).

Patients were recruited from the Pediatric Neuromuscular Clinic at Texas Scottish Rite Hospital for Children and were screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria were as follows: 2 to 18 years old, weakness and a clinical diagnosis of SMA confirmed by mutation analysis of the SMN1 gene, forced vital capacity greater than 20% of predicted for age, less than 15% variance on test-retest using QMT after instruction, and informed consent. Exclusion criteria were as follows: no clinically significant evidence of renal dysfunction, central nervous system damage, or neurodegenerative or neuromuscular disease other than SMA and no mechanical ventilation of any type for more than 16 h/d.

The evaluation room was located in the physical therapy department and contained all equipment used for an evaluation session, including the examining table (Figure 2), the Richmond Quantitative Measurement System for QMT, items for use in the GMFM, and chairs for parents. Sessions for individual patients were scheduled at the same time of day (eg, morning or afternoon), with at least 48 hours between sessions. Individual parts of the evaluation session were performed in the same order for all patients. Positioning for PFTs and QMT was consistent for all patients. Rest periods during the evaluation session were as follows: 30 to 60 seconds between attempts, 15 minutes between PFTs and QMT, and 15 minutes between QMT and the GMFM.

Pulmonary function tests were performed according to American Thoracic Society standards and included maximum inspiratory pressure, maximum expiratory pressure, cough pressure (peak cough flow), forced vital capacity, and forced expiratory volume in the first second. Lung volumes were measured using the KoKo spirometer system (Pulmonary Data Services, Inc, Louisville, Colo), which calculates percentage predicted based on height and age.
The Richmond Quantitative Measurement System was used to test (1) right and left grip, (2) right and left knee extension, (3) right and left knee flexion, and (4) right and left elbow flexion. Each patient had 3 attempts for each muscle group, and the computer recorded the best of the 3 test results on prompting from the evaluator. Strength was recorded in pounds. Patients sat during testing of muscle groups 1, 2, and 3 and were supine for testing of group 4.

The GMFM contained 88 items in 5 dimensions: lying and rolling (A), sitting (B), crawling and kneeling (C), standing (D), and walking, running, and jumping (E). Each patient continued through all dimensions according to his or her abilities. Each item was scored individually and weighted equally.

Ten QOL forms were administered by the study coordinator (K.R.) at the beginning of the first and last visits. The generic PedrQOL included a parent questionnaire for each of 4 age groups: 2 to 4, 5 to 7, 8 to 12, and 13 to 18 years. In addition, there was a child questionnaire for each of 3 age groups: 5 to 7, 8 to 12, and 13 to 18 years. The AmSMART child psychologist developed a specific questionnaire, the PedrQOL Neuromuscular Module, with one version for parents and 2 for children aged 5 to 7 and 8 to 18 years. All procedures were approved by the institutional review board of The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas.

## TRAINING

All evaluators were licensed physical therapists with pediatric experience. Two evaluators in Dallas (D.C. and J.G.) were responsible for training evaluators from other centers. One consultant evaluator from Dallas (L.H.) and the evaluator from Richmond, Va (J.M.), who had previous experience with QMT methods provided additional training. The respiratory therapist from Dallas (K.H.) provided training in the performance of PFTs. The Dallas group developed and distributed a training videotape and a manual for QMT and PFTs. From March 4 to 9, 2001, all evaluators met in Dallas for 1 week, during which they trained using the Richmond Quantitative Measurement System equipment and conducted evaluation sessions 3 and 4 for patients in phase 1.

## DATA MANAGEMENT

All data were transcribed by evaluators onto case report forms (CRFs) developed in the Department of Academic Computing Services at The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. The CRFs were organized in a binder for each patient. The CRFs were checked carefully by the study coordinator (K.R.) and the principal investigator (S.T.I.), and a checklist was completed for each CRF before it was sent to the Department of Academic Computing Services for data entry and verification. Unique identifiers for a CRF were site number, patient number, patient initials, and visit number. The Department of Academic Computing Services developed and distributed a variance calculator program to assist in determining whether the patient passed the inclusion criteria of less than 15% variance across the last 3 visits; the proportion of measures that were within the 10% or 15% criterion was reported. A commercial software program (Microsoft Excel 2000; Microsoft Corp, Redmond, Wash) was used to prepare the data for analysis, and 3 statistical packages (SPSS version 10 [SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill], StatXact version 4.0 [Cytel Software Corp, Cambridge, Mass], and SAS version 8 [SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC]) were used for analysis. Interrater reliability for the GMFM was assessed by performing a weighted k statistic on dimensions A and B and a nonparametric Friedman test for repeated measurement for dimensions A and B and the total GMFM. Cronbach coefficient was calculated for the parent version of the PedrQOL Neuromuscular Module, and test-retest reliability was performed for the first and last visits.

## RESULTS

Ten children (4 girls and 6 boys) fulfilled the inclusion criteria and completed at least 3 visits with 3 of the 6 evaluators in 6 months. The children were aged 2 to 14 years (mean, 7.4 years). There was 1 walker and 9 nonwalkers.

## PULMONARY FUNCTION TESTS

Six patients completed PFTs; 1 patient did not complete the peak cough flow measure. Analyses using the percentage change measure for all 5 measures of PFT (percent forced vital capacity, percent forced expiratory volume in the first second, maximum inspiratory pressure, maximum expiratory pressure, and peak cough flow) showed that 53% of measurements were within 10% and 73% were within 15% of each other across 3 evaluators (Table 1). Review of individual test results showed that there was consistency for individual patients in any given visit, but patients’ results sometimes varied between visits secondary to reactive airway disease or illness.

### QUANTITATIVE MUSCLE TESTING

Six patients completed QMT; 1 patient was missing data for right and left grip. The percentage change measure for 8 muscle groups showed that 18% of measurements were within 10% and 22% were within 15% of each other across 3 evaluators (Table 2).

## GROSS MOTOR FUNCTION MEASURE

Preliminary analyses of the GMFM data showed that all patients could complete the items from dimensions A (lying and rolling) and B (sitting), but many were too weak to attempt dimensions C, D, and E. The average interrater reliability (weighted k statistic) for the 37 items from dimensions A and B using data from visits 2, 3, and 4 was excellent (k = 0.72). The 88 items of the GMFM were ana-
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GMFM \textsuperscript{27} has been tested for validity and reliability but only in patients with cerebral palsy and not in those with neuromuscular disease. The GMFM contains 88 items, each of which has a graded score. The items are grouped according to body region, such as upper extremities, and some items reportedly may be omitted without affecting its validity. The GMFM seems to be excellent for evaluating very weak children, such as those with SMA. Our results showed good consistency for interrater and intrarater testing. However, the number of patients for part 1 was small, and we have no data yet to indicate how sensitive to change this measure might be.

Pulmonary function may better reflect disease state than muscle strength because most patients with SMA die of respiratory failure. However, PFTs in children are difficult to perform in a consistent way and rarely have been used as outcome measures for clinical trials. Furthermore, all lung volume variables must be compared with age-matched controls as percentage predicted for age, which is always calculated on the basis of height. Height measures in patients with SMA are notoriously inaccurate because of orthopedic deformities such as scoliosis and flexion contractures.

A new requirement for clinical trials is measurement of the patient's perception of disease state, the QOL. Defined as the degree of satisfaction or dissatisfaction felt by people with various aspects of their lives, QOL may be measured for individuals and for families. \textsuperscript{26,29} Health-related QOL depends on concurrent lifestyles, past experiences, hopes for the future, dreams, and ambitions, \textsuperscript{30} with objective and subjective components. \textsuperscript{31} The pediatric health-related QOL may include functional performance and patients' evaluations of their own experiences. \textsuperscript{30,32,33} Testa and Simonson\textsuperscript{31} described 3 primary domains in pediatric health-related QOL: physical, psychological, and social. \textsuperscript{31,34,35}

In addition to more general surveys of information, QOL instruments have been designed around specific childhood diseases, such as cancer and arthritis. \textsuperscript{36,37} These measures are commonly used in clinical trials. \textsuperscript{38-40} The PedsQL \textsuperscript{11,30} incorporates associated modules for work in oncology, asthma, or diabetes mellitus. The PedsQL includes subdomains assessing physical, emotional, school, and social functioning. Reliability, validity, and specificity were established, \textsuperscript{41} and it allowed the addition of disease-specific questions. We do not yet have enough data to determine whether this tool will be useful for SMA.

The AmSMART Group has made a first attempt to develop valid and reliable outcome measures for children with SMA aged 2 to 18 years, whether ambulatory or nonambulatory. Reliability results depended on the patients' and the evaluators' familiarity with the procedures. As in previous studies, \textsuperscript{6} we found the patients' learning curve to be 2 to 3 visits. Evaluators were especially uncomfortable with measuring PFTs. They lacked the judgment for recognizing less than full effort and did not recognize abnormal flow loops indicating that the patient had reactive airways. Therefore, we incorporated a follow-up training session of 2 days that included extensive discussion of normal lung physiology following American Thoracic Society guidelines. We concluded, moreover, that training sessions should be conducted at a time when data were not being collected so that evaluators could concentrate on learning technique without feeling pressure to collect data.

In conclusion, after reliability testing with a small number of patients, the GMFM seems to be the most reliable outcome measure used. A tool that measures motor function may be more useful in clinical trials of childhood SMA than one that measures quantitative muscle strength. Performance of PFTs in children requires special training. Evaluator training sessions should be separated in time and space from sessions used for collection of reliability data. Inconsistency of outcome measures may be secondary to patient, evaluator, or tool factors.
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