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Background: The association between marijuana smoking and lung cancer is unclear, and a systematic appraisal of this relationship has yet to be performed. Our objective was to assess the impact of marijuana smoking on the development of premalignant lung changes and lung cancer.

Methods: Studies assessing the impact of marijuana smoking on lung premalignant findings and lung cancer were selected from MEDLINE, PSYCHLIT, and EMBASE databases according to the following predefined criteria: English-language studies of persons 18 years or older identified from 1966 to the second week of October 2005 were included if they were research studies (ie, not letters, reviews, editorials, or limited case studies), involved persons who smoked marijuana, and examined premalignant or cancerous changes in the lung.

Results: Nineteen studies met selection criteria. Studies that examined lung cancer risk factors or premalignant changes in the lung found an association of marijuana smoking with increased tar exposure, alveolar macrophage tumoricidal dysfunction, increased oxidative stress, and bronchial mucosal histopathologic abnormalities compared with tobacco smokers or nonsmoking controls. Observational studies of subjects with marijuana exposure failed to demonstrate significant associations between marijuana smoking and lung cancer after adjusting for tobacco use. The primary methodologic deficiencies noted include selection bias, small sample size, limited generalizability, overall young participant age precluding sufficient lag time for lung cancer outcome identification, and lack of adjustment for tobacco smoking.

Conclusion: Given the prevalence of marijuana smoking and studies predominantly supporting biological plausibility of an association of marijuana smoking with lung cancer on the basis of molecular, cellular, and histopathologic findings, physicians should advise patients regarding potential adverse health outcomes until further rigorous studies are performed that permit definitive conclusions.

Arch Intern Med. 2006;166:1359-1367

Marijuana IS THE MOST commonly used illicit drug in the United States.1 According to the 2003 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, more than 94 million Americans, or 40% of Americans aged 12 years or older have tried marijuana at least once.2 Recent data indicate that past-year prevalence of marijuana abuse or dependence increased significantly in the population from 1.2% in 1991-1992 to 1.5% in 2001-2002, which translates into an increase from 2.2 million persons to 3.0 million.3 Given the widespread use of marijuana, its use for what are believed to be medicinal purposes, and the increasing abuse and dependence on this substance, it is important to examine potential adverse clinical consequences.

Marijuana smoking, like tobacco smoking, may be associated with increased risk of lung cancer. Marijuana smoke contains cannabinoid compounds in addition to many of the same components as tobacco smoke. For instance, benzopyrene, a carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon, is found in both tobacco and marijuana smoke and has been implicated in mutations related to lung cancer.4-7 Furthermore, experimental studies support an association between marijuana smoke exposure and lung cancer, with lung cancer cell lines demonstrating tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)-induced malignant cell proliferation8,9 and a murine model suggesting that THC promotes tumor growth by inhibiting antitumor immunity by a cannabinoid-2 receptor mediated pathway.10 Although the preponderance of in vitro data supports a biologically plausible association, limited research exists that suggests anticarcinogenic cannabinoid effects.11-13 Given these contrasting data, we chose to systematically evaluate the association between smoking marijuana and lung cancer.
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Two reviewers independently assigned a quality index score according to a 31-point scale that assesses reporting, external validity, bias (internal validity), confounding (external validity), and power. We based on these quality components, we graded articles as good (a score ≥12) or fair to poor (a score <12) based on an established cutoff. Differences between reviewers were resolved by consensus with input from the third reviewer. Interrater reliability was high (r=0.77).

SELECTION AND DATA SYNTHESIS

We identified 186 abstracts through the literature search as described in the “Search Strategies” subsection (107 from MEDLINE, 67 from EMBASE, and 12 from PSYCHLIT); 37 were duplicates, leaving 149 unique abstracts. Of these, we categorized 119 based on abstract review and evaluated full manuscripts for the remaining 30 citations. The level of agreement regarding inclusion of potential manuscripts based on abstract review between the 2 reviewers was high (κ=0.95). Of the 149 articles, 56 were excluded because they were not research studies (ie, they were letters, reviews, or editorials); 8 were case series of fewer than 10 cases; 51 did not involve humans with direct, intentional marijuana smoking; and 13 did not include measures related to lung cancer. Thus, 19 studies that examined the association between marijuana use and lung cancer were included in this systematic review (Figure).

Table 1. Specific Medical Subject Headings Terms, Main Terms, and Text Words in MEDLINE, EMBASE, and PSYCHLIT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Terms</th>
<th>Text Words</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEDLINE</td>
<td>Marijuana use</td>
<td>Cannabis, cannabinoids, marijuana abuse, marijuana smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary disorders</td>
<td>Neoplasms/or exp carcinoma/or pathology/or smoking/pathology or tar/respiratory tract diseases/ exp respiratory physiology or lung</td>
<td>pulmonary, respiratory distress/or exp respiratory tract disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMBASE</td>
<td>Marijuana use</td>
<td>Cannabis, cannabinoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary disorders</td>
<td>Respiratory tract tumor/or neoplasm/or carcinoma/or pathology/or tar/respiratory tract diseases/ respiratory tract infections/respiratory system/respiratory physiology</td>
<td>pulmonary or respiratory tumors/or airway$ or lung$ or bronch$ or inhale$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYCHLIT</td>
<td>Marijuana use</td>
<td>Cannabis, cannabinoids or marijuana or exp marijuana usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary disorders</td>
<td>Neoplasms/neoplasms/or pathology/respiratory system/or exp respiratory distress/or exp respiratory tract disorders</td>
<td>Pulmonary, respiratory tumors, airway$, lung$, wheez$, cough$, dyspnea, pulmonary or respiratory tumors or airway$ or lung$ or bronch$ or inhale$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure. Literature search results.

The purpose of the current review is to determine whether (1) marijuana smoking is associated with lung cancer risk factors or premalignant changes assessed by known or potential mediators of lung carcinogenesis and (2) marijuana smoking is associated with increased incidence of lung cancer.

METHODS

SEARCH STRATEGIES

English-language studies in persons aged 18 years or older were identified from the OVID, MEDLINE, PSYCHLIT, and EMBASE databases from 1966 to the second week of October 2005, using the medical subject headings and text words shown in Table 1.

Retrieval of studies was performed by 2 reviewers (R.M. and B.A.M.) who examined the titles and abstracts obtained from the initial electronic search. We excluded letters, reviews, editorials (ie, nonresearch studies), and case series involving fewer than 10 patients, as well as studies that did not involve humans with direct, intentional marijuana smoking (eg, studies of hemp exposure in occupational settings) or did not examine lung functioning or lung conditions related to premalignant or cancerous changes. Studies involving cannabis, hashish, and/or kif (Moroccan hashish) were included owing to content overlap. Abstracts that could not be categorized based on the information provided were reviewed in manuscript form to allow a final decision regarding classification. Studies with discrepant categorizations by the 2 reviewers were resolved by a third member (D.A.F.) of the research team using consensus.

ABSTRACTION AND VALIDITY ASSESSMENT

Data regarding methods were extracted using a custom-designed data collection form. Data were collected on (1) amount, frequency, mode, and methods of marijuana smoking; lung cancer risk factors or premalignant changes and lung cancer outcomes; (2) assessment of tobacco or illicit substance use; (3) evaluation of preexisting lung disorders; (4) study setting; (5) subject selection; and (6) subject characteristics.

Two reviewers independently assigned a quality index score according to a 31-point scale that assesses reporting, external validity, bias (internal validity), confounding (external validity), and power. Based on these quality components, we graded articles as good (a score ≥12) or fair to poor (a score <12) based on an established cutoff. Differences between reviewers were resolved by consensus with input from the third reviewer. Interrater reliability was high (r=0.77).
Table 2. Studies Reporting Marijuana (MJ) Use Exposure and Tar Exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source; Study Type</th>
<th>Male Participants, No. (%)</th>
<th>Age (SD), y</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matthias et al15; experimental</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>23.2 (3)</td>
<td>Metropolitan Los Angeles</td>
<td>Tar delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tashkin et al16; experimental</td>
<td>10 (NP)</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Amount of inhaled tar deposition of inhaled tar, CO boost; THC delivered to lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tashkin et al17; experimental</td>
<td>10 (NP)</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Amount of inhaled tar, percentage deposition of inhaled tar, CO boost, THC delivered to lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al18; experimental</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>31.5 (7.1)</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Blood CO, inhaled tar retention in the respiratory tract</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Studies Reporting Marijuana (MJ) Use Exposure and Cytomorphologic Changes in Sputum Specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source; Study Type</th>
<th>Male Participants, No. (%)</th>
<th>Age (SD), y</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roby et al19; case-control</td>
<td>75 (100)</td>
<td>28 (17-38)</td>
<td>Surfers from north coast of California</td>
<td>Sputum samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starr and Renneker20; case control</td>
<td>75 (100)</td>
<td>27.5 (15-38)</td>
<td>Surfers from California and Hawaii</td>
<td>Cytologic evaluation of sputum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 19 studies on marijuana smoking and lung cancer that met our criteria for inclusion had diverse study designs that included 4 experimental studies, 15-18 5 prospective cohort studies (all involving a similar cohort), 19-23 2 retrospective cohort studies, 24,25 6 case-control studies, 26-31 and 2 case series. 32,33

Study subjects included those who responded to newspaper advertisements and radio announcements, 19,23,32 army volunteers presenting with respiratory tract symptoms at a clinic, 30,33 volunteer surfers, 26,27 and patients recruited at hospital admission or outpatient clinic visits, 24,25,31,32 Five studies 15-18,34 did not specify recruitment procedures. Approximately 50% of these studies reported the ages of subjects (mean age, 32.5 years [range, 20.4-63 years]). Roughly 75% of the studies reported the subject's sex (male, 43.9%; range, 43%-100%).

Studies described marijuana exposure using a variety of methods, including frequency, duration, and quantity (Tables 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6). Most studies defined marijuana use as current smoking of marijuana, with an average of more than 10 marijuana cigarettes per week for 5 or more years. 19,23,34

Premalignant and lung cancer outcomes included those with (1) premalignant associated changes such as tar
MARIJUANA SMOKING AND TAR EXPOSURE

Tar is particulate matter residue from smoke and includes carcinogens. Tar exposure results from marijuana smoking and may serve as a potential mediator of lung carcinogenesis. In general, 4 experimental studies demonstrate that marijuana smoking is associated with increased tar delivery to the lungs compared with cigarette smoking; furthermore, there are several factors that affect the degree of tar exposure from smoking marijuana15–16 (Table 2). A study17 examining the association between marijuana smoking and tar exposure indicated that the longer breath-holding time typical of marijuana users significantly increased the percentage of retention of inhaled tar in the lungs compared with shorter breath-holding time in tobacco smokers (P<.001). In a study of 15 male participants, smoking marijuana resulted in a 3-fold increase in amount of tar inhaled (P<.001) compared with smoking tobacco.18 The amount of tar delivered and deposited in the lung was reduced in the most potent marijuana compared with the less potent marijuana preparation, which suggests that there is reduced exposure to carcinogenic components in the tar phase of marijuana with higher THC content.19 Increased tar exposure in the proximal half of the marijuana cigarette compared with the distal half (P<.05) was also noted, which suggests that smoking fewer marijuana cigarettes to a shorter length results in a greater delivery of tar to the respiratory tract relative to a comparable amount of marijuana from more cigarettes smoked to a longer butt length.18

This literature supports an increased exposure to tar in marijuana smoke compared with tobacco smoke based on comparable amounts of smoked contents and increased tar exposure associated with decreased marijuana potency in the proximal portion of a marijuana cigarette compared with the distal portion.

MARIJUANA SMOKING AND CYTOMORPHOLOGIC CHANGES IN SPUTUM SPECIMENS

Two case-control studies26,27 examined marijuana smoking and sputum cytomorphic changes in habitual marijuana smokers without current or prior use of tobacco (Table 3). These studies26,27 noted that non–tobacco-smoking marijuana smokers had more metaplastic cells, macrophages, pigmented macropahes, and columnar cells compared with nonsmokers. In another study,17 dysplasia was observed in 3 of 25 tobacco smokers, 1 of 25 marijuana smokers, and none of the 25 nonsmokers. Conversely, lower mean levels of neutrophils and pigmented macrophages were observed in marijuana smokers compared with tobacco smokers.

These studies suggest overall increased pathologic changes, in particular metaplastic changes, in select populations of marijuana smokers compared with tobacco smokers and nonsmokers.

MARIJUANA SMOKING AND ALVEOLAR MACROPHAGE EFFECTS

Studies evaluating the associations between marijuana smoking and alveo-
Figure 5. Studies Reporting Marijuana (MJ) Use Exposure and Bronchial Biopsy Histopathologic and Molecular Alterations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source; Study Type</th>
<th>Male Participants, No. (%)</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barsky et al; cohort</td>
<td>104 (77.9)</td>
<td>Range, 21-50</td>
<td>Metropolitan Los Angeles</td>
<td>Histopathologic and molecular alteration in bronchial epithelium in habitual smokers of marijuana, cocaine, and/or tobacco (bronchial biopsy and brush specimens)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fligiel et al; cohort</td>
<td>70 (NP)</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Metropolitan Los Angeles</td>
<td>Bronchial biopsy specimens, examining for epithelial changes and basement membrane changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fligiel et al; cohort</td>
<td>241 (83)</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Metropolitan Los Angeles</td>
<td>Bronchial biopsy specimens, light microscopic evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gong et al; cohort</td>
<td>37 (85)</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Metropolitan Los Angeles</td>
<td>Bronchial biopsy specimens, examining for epithelial changes, basement membrane changes, and submucosal inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson et al; case-control</td>
<td>n = 200, 6 of whom underwent bronchoscopy, 100%</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Army medical facility. Came to facility with respiratory complaint related to high-dose hashish use</td>
<td>Bronchial biopsy specimens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennant; case-control</td>
<td>36 (100)</td>
<td>Mean, 20.4 (range, 17-22)</td>
<td>US soldiers stationed in West Germany</td>
<td>Bronchial biopsy specimens showing atypical cells, basal cell hyperplasia, squamous metaplasia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; NP, not provided.

Macrophage function, DNA damage, and oxidative stress consisted of 1 cohort study and 2 case-control studies (Table 4). A study involving a prospective cohort revealed that alveolar macrophages recovered from marijuana smokers were severely limited in their ability to kill tumor cells (P<.01) compared with nonsmokers. Alveolar macrophages recovered from marijuana smokers with and without tobacco exposure were more likely to show DNA damage; however, results were not statistically significant. In a separate
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The studies demonstrate that alveolar macrophages from marijuana smokers had less tumoricidal ability, increased likelihood of DNA damage, lower glutathione levels (enhanced oxidative stress), and a dose-dependent relationship between THC content and reactive oxygen species when compared with nonsmokers. These studies demonstrate that alveolar macrophages from marijuana smokers had less tumoricidal ability, increased likelihood of DNA damage, lower glutathione levels (enhanced oxidative stress), and a dose-dependent relationship between THC content and reactive oxygen species when compared with nonsmokers.

MARIJUANA SMOKING AND HISTOPATHOLOGIC AND MOLECULAR ALTERATIONS ON BRONCHIAL BIOPSY FINDINGS

There were 6 studies evaluating histopathologic and/or molecular alterations from bronchial biopsy findings associated with marijuana smoking; 4 were cohort-based studies and 2 were case series (Table 5). All reported an increase in abnormal and precancerous findings in marijuana smokers compared with controls who smoked tobacco or controls with unspecified tobacco exposure. Observational cohort studies demonstrated a relationship between marijuana use and abnormal bronchial disease. One study demonstrated that marijuana-only smokers had more frequent abnormal histopathologic findings than nonsmokers with a significant association between marijuana use and pathologic changes, including squamous cell metaplasia and increased mitotic figures. Compared with nonsmokers, marijuana smokers were noted to more commonly have abnormal expression of Ki-67, a proliferation marker. Epidermal growth factor receptor, a surrogate marker for lung malignancy and a potential cause for the histopathologic alterations, was also noted more frequently in marijuana smokers compared with nonsmokers. A separate study concluded that all types of smokers (those who smoked tobacco, cocaine, and marijuana) had abnormal histopathologic findings; specifically, marijuana smokers were more likely to have pathologic bronchial mucosal alterations compared with nonsmokers. In this study, mucosal and basement membrane changes were observed with a greater frequency in the marijuana-smoking group than the tobacco-smoking group. Marijuana smokers demonstrated more frequent histopathologic alterations compared with nonsmokers in 8 of the 11 pathologic categories, and the effects of marijuana and tobacco smoking seemed to be additive.

This literature supports the conclusion that marijuana smokers were more likely to have basal, goblet, and squamous cell hyperplasia; stratification; cell disorganization; nuclear variation; an increased nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio; basement mem-

---

**Table 6. Studies Reporting Marijuana (MJ) Use Exposure and Other Lung Cancer Outcomes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source; Study Type</th>
<th>Cannabis Exposure</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Confounders Controlled</th>
<th>Mean Study Quality Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sasco et al24; case-control</td>
<td>Use of hashish/kiff (Moroccan hashish)</td>
<td>Lung cancer OR with hashish/kiff use as relevant exposure: 1.93, (95% CI, 0.57-6.58) after controlling for tobacco use, with hashish/kiff/snuff use the lung cancer: OR: 6.67 (95% CI, 1.65-26.90)</td>
<td>Statistical adjustment for tobacco smoke</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidney et al25; cohort</td>
<td>Smoked MJ &gt;6 times ever or current MJ smoker</td>
<td>Past and current use of MJ was not associated with an increased risk for cancer of all sites: male OR, 0.9 (95% CI, 0.5-1.7); female OR, 1.1 (95% CI, 0.5-2.6)</td>
<td>Controlled for tobacco use</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sridhar et al31; case-control</td>
<td>Smoked MJ sometime in their life</td>
<td>13 (100%) of 13 patients with lung cancer &gt;45 y reported ever smoking marijuana vs 6 (6%) of 97 &gt;45 y; P&lt;.001; self-report</td>
<td>Tobacco use not taken into account</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor32; case series</td>
<td>Defined as heavy use (daily use) and regular use (frequent but less than daily use)</td>
<td>Surgical pathologic specimens collected; 7 of 10 patients with respiratory tract malignancy had a history of regular to heavy MJ use</td>
<td>Tobacco use not taken into account</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.
branched thickening; squamous cell metaplasia; mitotic figures; abnormal expression of a proliferation marker, Ki-67; and increased epidermal growth factor receptor compared with nonsmokers. The effects of marijuana and tobacco smoking seemed to be additive according to 1 study.21

MARIJUANA SMOKING AND LUNG CANCER

Studies examining the association of marijuana smoking and diagnoses of lung cancer included 1 large retrospective cohort study (n=64855), 2 case-control studies,4,31 and 1 case series32 (Table 6). The cohort study demonstrated that past and current use of marijuana was not associated with an increased odds of lung cancer, after adjusting for tobacco use in men (odds ratio [OR], 0.9; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.5-1.7) or women (OR, 1.1; 95% CI, 0.5-2.6).32 A case-control study (n=353) found the odds of lung cancer in users of hashish or kiff to be 1.93 (95% CI, 0.57-6.58) after controlling for tobacco use. Among patients younger than 45 years with lung cancer, marijuana smoking was reported in 13 (13.4%) of 97 compared with 6 (6.2%) of 97 among patients older than 45 years (P<.001), demonstrating an uncharacteristic presentation of lung cancer in young marijuana smokers compared with older marijuana smokers, which suggests that marijuana exposure may accelerate the malignancy latency period. However, most subjects in this cohort were also tobacco smokers, and the investigators did not account for this. A small case series (n=10) reported respiratory tract malignancy in association with marijuana smoking; however, this report did not control for tobacco smoking.32

These studies were not able to demonstrate a relationship between marijuana smoking and a diagnosis of lung cancer.

STUDY QUALITY

Overall, the mean quality score was 9.5 (range, 1.5-14) on a 31-point scale. The mean quality score for the 4 experimental studies was 10.75 (range, 9-12); for the 5 prospective cohort studies, 10.75 (range, 9.5-11.5); for the 2 retrospective cohort studies, 8.5 (range, 6-11); for the 6 case-control studies, 9.0 (range, 3.5-14); and for the 2 case series, 2.25 (range, 1.5-3).

COMMENT

These 19 diverse studies offer biological evidence for the potential association between marijuana smoking and lung cancer. Most studies support an association between marijuana smoking and premalignant lung cancer findings, although small observational studies fail to demonstrate such an association. In particular, all of the studies that measure tar exposure support increased tar retention with marijuana smoking compared with tobacco smoking. The higher lung burden associated with the longer breath-holding characteristic of marijuana smoking may enhance carcinogenic risk based on prior studies that have demonstrated an association between tar exposure from tobacco smoking and lung cancer.35-37

In addition, there were more cytometric changes, in particular metaplasia, alveolar macrophage tumoricidal dysfunction, enhanced oxidative stress, and histopathologic/molecular alterations associated with marijuana smoking compared with controls or those who smoked tobacco. These findings offer biological evidence that marijuana smoking could be associated with the development of lung cancer in humans, as has been suggested by animal studies and cell line experiments. Specifically, metaplastic cellular changes may lead to malignant transformation. Abnormal macrophage tumoricidal function may result in unchecked cellular proliferation, and enhanced oxidative stress has been described as a mechanistic link in carcinogenesis presumably via mutagenic oxidative DNA damage.38-41 Bronchial histopathologic and molecular alterations, such as those involving Ki-67 and epidermal growth factor receptor, may represent a harbinger of malignant conversion. Despite these findings, the small number of observational studies fail to demonstrate a clear association between marijuana smoking and diagnoses of lung cancer. Therefore, we must conclude that no convincing evidence exists for an association between marijuana smoking and lung cancer based on existing data.

Nonetheless, certain logistic properties of marijuana smoking may increase the risk of carcinogenic exposure compared with conventional tobacco smoking, raising questions as to why observational studies have not demonstrated an association with lung cancer. These properties include the association of marijuana smoking with a deeper inhalation technique in conjunction with greater puff volume and length of inhalation, which presents an increased likelihood of enhanced exposure. Marijuana smoke also contains similar carcinogens as tobacco smoke, such as nitrosoamines; phenols; aldehydes; polyvinyl chlorides; and polyaromatic hydrocarbons, such as benzoyprene, which occurs in higher concentrations in marijuana smoke compared with tobacco smoke.42-44 The biological plausibility of an association of marijuana smoking and lung cancer is supported by experimental studies, including induction of pathways known to be key steps in the development of tobacco-related cancers.45-48 Furthermore, unlike most tobacco cigarettes, marijuana is typically smoked without a filter. Experimental studies support a marijuana exposure–lung cancer association; a study involving lung cancer cell lines demonstrated THC-induced proliferation of cancer cells,9 and a murine model suggested that THC promotes tumor growth.10

Given this biological plausibility for the enhanced risk of lung cancer associated with marijuana, the observational studies reported thus far may have failed to find such an association owing to methodologic limitations. Most studies defined marijuana exposure dichotomously, precluding determination of relevant threshold effects or dose-response relationships. Limitations of the studies reviewed overall include the following: selection bias, small sample sizes, lack of adjustment for tobacco smoking, lack of blinding, inconsistent measurement of marijuana exposure, lack of standardized
surveillance of lung cancer diagnosis, young age of study participants, and concerns regarding generalizability owing to the use of similar cohort in 9 (47.4%) of 19 of the reviewed studies. Of the 6 studies examining the association between marijuana use and histopathologic findings, 4 involved a similar prospective cohort.20-23 These 4 studies revealed a positive association between marijuana use and pre-malignant bronchial disease; however, given the similar cohort involved, the external validity of these findings is uncertain. In addition, the case-control study evaluating marijuana smoking with lung cancer outcomes may be limited by the definition of lung cancer because some diagnoses were made radiographically rather than by tissue diagnosis, which may have led to misclassification bias.24 In this study, an OR of 1.93 (95% CI, 0.57-6.58) assessing the strength of the relationship of marijuana use and lung cancer was observed, and lack of a statistically significant relationship may have been secondary to limited power to detect an effect as well as a potential outcome misclassification.24 The large cohort study (n=64,855) involving a retrospective review may be subject to recall bias because data were not prospectively collected to evaluate the exposure and outcome variables of interest.25 In addition, the overall young age of the participants (mean age, 33 years) poses a serious overall limitation of these studies because this may have precluded an adequate period of follow-up for the development of a malignancy. Finally, despite performing an extensive literature search in 3 electronic databases, there is the possibility that relevant studies that were not published or not included in databases were missed.

The findings of this systematic review have implications for research and clinical practice. Our assessment of study quality reveals that future research directions should include increased adherence to methodologic standards, more detailed assessment of marijuana exposure, larger sample sizes, adjustment for tobacco smoking, uniform surveillance for lung cancer diagnoses, multicenter evaluation, evaluation of dose-response relationships, and involvement of study participants who represent a wider spectrum of ages with longer follow-up periods. Continued research on the pathophysiologic mechanisms by which marijuana smoking may lead to development of malignancy should provide insight into shared and convergent pathways with tobacco-related lung cancer. The potential for additive or synergistic effects between marijuana and tobacco smoking, as suggested from this literature, deserves rigorous evaluation, especially given the significant comorbid prevalence of these 2 behaviors. Large, prospective studies with detailed assessment of marijuana exposure and definitive pathologic diagnosis of lung cancer are also needed. A population-based case-control trial that started in 1999 and recently concluded has assessed the association of marijuana smoking and lung cancer involving cases identified via the Los Angeles Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results registry and matched controls. This study45-46 with results forthcoming has incorporated marijuana exposure data collection in joint years obtained via trained interviewers in the home setting.

Although observational studies have not shown a substantive marijuana smoking-lung cancer association, these studies are fraught with serious methodologic limitations. Therefore, the combination of the widespread use of marijuana, potential marijuana-related health implications outlined in this review, and studies evaluating lung premalignant alterations supporting a biologically plausible association between marijuana smoking-lung cancer association, in addition to compelling in vitro data not included in this review, provide support for physician advice regarding the potential adverse effects, including the potential for premalignant lung changes, to their patients that use marijuana.
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