Prevalence of a Healthy Lifestyle Among Individuals With Cardiovascular Disease in High-, Middle- and Low-Income Countries

The Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) Study

Koon Teo, MB, PhD
Scott Lear, PhD
Shofiqul Islam, MSc
Prem Mony, MD
Mahshid Dehghan, PhD
Wei Li, PhD
Annika Rosengren, MD
Patricio Lopez-Jaramillo, MD, PhD
Rafael Diaz, MD
Gustavo Oliveira, MD, PhD
Maizatullifah Miskan, MBBS
Sumathy Rangarajan, MSc
Romaina Iqbal, PhD
Rafal Ilow, PhD
Thandi Puone, DrPH
Ahmad Bahonar, MD, MPH
Sadi Gulec, MD
Ebtihal A. Darwish, MD
Fernando Lanas, MD
Krishnapillai Vijaykumar, MD
Omar Rahman, DSc, MD
Jephat Chifamba, MPhil
Yan Hou, BSc
Ning Li, MD
Salim Yusuf, DPhil, MD
on behalf of the PURE Investigators

Importance Little is known about adoption of healthy lifestyle behaviors among individuals with a coronary heart disease (CHD) or stroke event in communities across a range of countries worldwide.

Objective To examine the prevalence of avoidance or cessation of smoking, eating a healthy diet, and undertaking regular physical activities by individuals with a CHD or stroke event.

Design, Setting, and Participants Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) was a large, prospective cohort study that used an epidemiological survey of 153 996 adults, aged 35 to 70 years, from 628 urban and rural communities in 3 high-income countries (HIC), 7 upper-middle-income countries (UMIC), 3 lower-middle-income countries (LMIC), and 4 low-income countries (LIC), who were enrolled between January 2003 and December 2009.

Main Outcome Measures Smoking status (current, former, never), level of exercise (low, <600 metabolic equivalent task [MET]-min/wk; moderate, 600-3000 MET-min/wk; high, >3000 MET-min/wk), and diet (classified by the Food Frequency Questionnaire and defined using the Alternative Healthy Eating Index).

Results Among 7519 individuals with self-reported CHD (past event: median, 5.0 [interquartile range {IQR}, 2.0-10.0] years ago) or stroke (past event: median, 4.0 [IQR, 2.0-8.0] years ago), 18.5% (95% CI, 17.6%-19.4%) continued to smoke; only 35.1% (95% CI, 29.6%-41.0%) undertook high levels of work- or leisure-related physical activity, and 39.0% (95% CI, 30.0%-48.7%) had healthy diets; 14.3% (95% CI, 11.7%-17.3%) did not undertake any of the 3 healthy lifestyle behaviors and 4.3% (95% CI, 3.1%-5.8%) had all 3. Overall, 52.5% (95% CI, 50.7%-54.3%) quit smoking (by income country classification: 74.9% [95% CI, 71.1%-78.6%] in HIC; 56.5% [95% CI, 53.4%-58.6%] in UMIC; 42.6% [95% CI, 39.6%-45.6%] in LMIC; and 38.1% [95% CI, 33.1%-43.2%] in LIC). Levels of physical activity increased with increasing country income but this trend was not statistically significant. The lowest prevalence of eating healthy diets was in LIC (25.8%; 95% CI, 13.0%-44.8%) compared with LMIC (43.2%; 95% CI, 30.0%-57.4%), UMIC (45.1%, 95% CI, 30.9%-60.1%), and HIC (43.4%, 95% CI, 21.0%-68.7%).

Conclusion and Relevance Among a sample of patients with a CHD or stroke event from countries with varying income levels, the prevalence of healthy lifestyle behaviors was low, with even lower levels in poorer countries.

JAMA. 2013;309(15):1613-1621 www.jama.com

OBSERVATIONAL DATA INDICATE that following an acute coronary syndrome, those who adhere to a healthier lifestyle have a lower risk of recurrent events.[1-3] Smoking cessation is associated with a lower risk of death and myocardial infarction, high-quality diets[1,4] and regular exercise are associated with lower risk of death or recurrent cardiovascular disease events after a myocardial infarction.[1-3] Thus, avoidance of smoking or its cessation, improving diet quality, and increasing physical activity level are recommended for secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease.

Author Video Interview available at www.jama.com.

Author Affiliations and a list of the PURE Investigators are listed at the end of this article.
Corresponding Author: Koon Teo, MB, PhD, Population Health Research Institute, Hamilton General Hospital, Room C2-106, DBCVSRI, 237 Barton St E, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8L 2X2 (koon.teo@phri.ca).

Previous studies examining the lifestyle practices of individuals after vascular events have included individuals within 2 years after the event; these studies reported that only small proportions of individuals followed recommended lifestyle practices.[3-6] The proportion of the estimated 100 million individuals worldwide who have vascular disease[5] in the community, especially from lower-income countries, living in rural areas, and who adopt healthy lifestyle behaviors is not known. In this study, we examined the prevalence of the 3 healthy lifestyle behaviors at enrollment (avoidance or cessation of smoking, eating a healthy diet, and undertaking regular physical activities) in participants who report having had a coronary heart disease (CHD) or stroke event in the Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study, a large prospective cohort of individuals enrolled from urban and rural communities in high-, middle-, and low-income countries.[7,8]

METHODS

The methods and population characteristics of the PURE study have been described previously.[7,8] Briefly, 153 996 adults (151 966 aged 35-70 years, 1444 aged <35 years, and 586 aged >70 years) were recruited from 628 (348 urban and 280 rural) communities in 17 low-, middle-, and high-income countries of the world,[9,10] representing various levels of development and encompassing a large sociocultural diversity. Common and standardized approaches were used for the enumeration of households, identification of individuals, recruitment procedures, and data collection.

The method of approaching households differed between countries, but was designed to avoid biases based on levels of risk factors or prevalence of any disease. Households were eligible if at least 1 member of the household was between the ages of 35 and 70 years and the household members intended to continue living at their current address for another 4 years. All eligible individuals who provided written informed consent were enrolled.

Training, Standardization, and Data Collection

To ensure standardization and high data quality, we used a comprehensive operations manual, reinforced by periodic training workshops, training DVDs, and regular communications. All data were entered into a customized database programmed with range and consistency checks and transmitted electronically to the project office at the Population Health Research Institute (Hamilton, Ontario, Canada) where further quality-control measures were implemented. Data collection occurred at 4 levels: national, community, household, and individual using standardized and common questionnaires.[9,10] We collected information about smoking, physical activity level, diet, and other risk factors using questionnaires from the INTERHEART[1] and INTERSTROKE[2] studies as well as other studies.[11-17]

Definitions of Tobacco Smoking

Current smokers were individuals who smoked at least 1 tobacco product daily[18] in the previous 12 months, including those who had quit within the past year. Former smokers had quit more than 1 year earlier, either before or after the clinical event. Never smokers had never used tobacco products regularly. Ever smokers included current and former smokers. Smoking cessation rates were the proportion of ever smokers who had stopped smoking. Former smokers who had quit during the same year that their CHD or stroke events had occurred were deemed to have quit after the event.

Physical Activity

Information on physical activity at work, at home, and during recreational or sport and leisure-time activities was obtained using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire or regional questionnaires with comparable variables.[11] Questions were asked about the specific activities during the previous week that the individual performed for at least 10 minutes, the total duration per day, the number of days, and whether the activity was heavy, moderate, or low.

For each individual, the recorded activities were converted to metabolic equivalent task (MET)-minutes per week.[11] Individuals participating in activities of less than 600 MET-min/wk were classified as low, 600 to 3000 MET-min/wk as moderate, and greater than 3000 MET-min/wk as having performed a high level of physical activity.

Diet

Existing validated or newly developed and validated Food Frequency Questionnaires were used.[12-17] To enable comparability of all data and calculation of nutrients, a master international nutrient database was created, primarily based on the US Department of Agriculture's food composition database and modified appropriately with reference to local food composition tables and supplemented with the nutrient database that contained recipes of locally eaten mixed dishes. Food patterns were generated using data from the Food Frequency Questionnaires and following established methods.[12-17]

The overall diet quality in this study has been defined based on an adaptation of the Alternative Healthy Eating Index (AHEI), which was highly predictive of cardiovascular disease risk, as described by McCullough et al[19] and McCullough and Willett.[20] We measured 6 of the 9 food items included in the AHEI. Of these, 5 variables were identical (vegetables, fruits, nuts and soy protein, whole grain cereal fiber, ratio of white to red meat, ratio of polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acid) and 1 item was comparable (deep fried foods in place of *trans*fats).[21] We did not include alcohol and multivitamin intake in our scoring system. In 5 Muslim countries (Bangladesh, Iran, Pakistan, Malaysia, and United Arab Emirates), the frequency of alcohol intake was not included in the Food Frequency Questionnaires.

Alcohol was not included in the analysis to allow inclusion of all indi-

viduals in the study. Intake of multivitamins was very low among low-income and middle-income countries and was not included in the scoring system; recent randomized studies have not indicated any protective effect of multivitamins on cardiovascular disease. Our method of scoring food quality and cutoffs for scoring in the modified AHEI has been described previously.[21] Overall scores ranged from 6.2 to 70.0, with higher scores indicating higher quality. The population was stratified into 3 groups as eating unhealthy, less healthy, and healthy diet, with cutoff points of 30.9 and 37.8.

Definition of Cardiovascular Disease

A history of cardiovascular disease and other diseases was obtained from each participant using standardized questionnaires. Coronary heart disease was based on self-reporting of angina, myocardial infarction, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, or percutaneous coronary angioplasty (each category was not separately identified). Stroke and diabetes were based on self-report. Hypertension was identified by self-report or a blood pressure level greater than 140/90 mm Hg at enrollment. We verified self-reporting of these conditions with medical or hospital records in a sample of 455 reported events during follow-up. The confirmation rates were 89% when adjudicated centrally.

Statistical Analysis

The prevalence of healthy lifestyle behaviors in the participants and their demographics were summarized using numbers with percentages as well as means and standard deviations or medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) as appropriate. Means and proportions were adjusted for age, sex, and economic status of the country as appropriate. For this purpose, the generalized, linear mixed-effect model was used to take into account the effect of clustering, with appropriate link function and random effect, in which logit link function was used for binary outcomes and normal link function for continuous outcomes. The GLIMMIX procedure in SAS software version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc) was used to obtain adjusted rates for all lifestyle behaviors for different strata including community as a random effect in the model with additional adjustments. Proportions and means were compared using χ^2 and t tests, respectively, using 2-sided testing. A P value of less than .05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Participant enrollment in the study is depicted in FIGURE 1. Of the 153 996 enrolled participants, 16 073 were from 3 high-income countries, 43 518 from 7 upper-middle-income countries, 59 742 from 3 lower-middle-income countries, and 34 663 from 4 low-income countries; 7519 (4.9%) had a CHD or stroke event (5650 [3.7%] had a CHD event and 2292 [1.5%] had a stroke event; some participants had both events). The median interval from event to study enrollment was 5.0 years (IQR, 2.0-10.0 years) for CHD and 4.0 years (IQR, 2.0-8.0 years) for stroke. The baseline characteristics of the participants included in the study appear in TABLE 1. Full details of the overall study population and those with CHD and stroke have been reported previously.[8]

Figure 1. Participant Enrollment



Smoking

Overall, 61.1% (95% CI, 60.0%-62.2%) were never smokers, 20.4% (95% CI, 19.5%-21.3%) were former smokers, and 18.5% (95% CI, 17.6%-19.4%) were current smokers. There were differences by country income status, country or region, and by education level (eTable at http://www.jama.com).

Among the participants who had ever smoked, 52.5% (95% CI, 50.7%-54.3%) had stopped smoking; the prevalence of smoking cessation was highest in the high-income countries (74.9%; 95% CI, 71.1%-78.6%) and lowest in the low-income countries (38.1%; 95% CI, 33.1%-43.2%), with graded decreases by decreasing country income status (56.5% [95% CI, 53.4%-58.6%] in upper-middle-income countries and 42.6% [95% CI, 39.6%-45.6%] in lower-middle-income countries) ($P<.001$ for trend). The highest prevalences of smoking cessation were found in countries in North America and Europe (70.5%; 95% CI, 67.2%-73.8%) and South America (67.2%; 95% CI, 63.4%-70.9%). The lowest rate (14.4%; 95% CI, 8.1%-20.7%) was in Africa. Proportionally, more men stopped smoking (53.0%; 95% CI, 50.9%-55.1%) than women (50.9%; 95% CI, 47.2%-54.6%) ($P=.004$) and more urban (57.3%; 95% CI, 55.1%-59.6%) than rural (44.4%; 95% CI, 41.5%-47.4%) ($P=.004$) residents (eTable). When examined by education and country economic status, individuals from high-income countries with the highest level of education showed the highest rate of smoking cessation (80.8%; 95% CI, 72.5%-87.1%) compared with those less educated ($P=.004$ for trend). These patterns of increasing rates of smoking cessation by education level were also observed in the upper-middle-income countries, lower-middle-income countries, and low-income countries (TABLE 2).

Information on the date of quitting smoking was available from 1296 of the 1526 participants. Of these, 510 (39.4%) stopped smoking after the CHD or stroke event. There was a graded increase in quitting rates after an event, by decreasing country income status, after adjusting for age and sex (29.2% [95% CI, 23.4%-35.9%] in high-income countries; 34.1% [95% CI, 28.8%-39.9%] in upper-middle-income countries; 46.7% [95% CI, 40.6%-53.0%] in lower-middle-income countries, and 68.2% [95% CI, 58.3%-76.6%] in low-income countries) ($P<.001$ for all trend comparisons).

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Participants With a Coronary Heart Disease (CHD) or Stroke Event

	No. (%) of Participants With an Event[a]		
	CHD or Stroke (n = 7519)	CHD (n = 5650)	Stroke (n = 2292)
Age, y			
Mean (SD)	57.2 (9.0)	57.4 (8.8)	56.8 (9.4)
Median (IQR)	58.0 (51.0-64.0)	59.0 (52.0-64.0)	58.0 (51.0-64.0)
Sex			
Female	4017 (53.4)	3036 (53.7)	1218 (53.1)
Male	3502 (46.6)	2614 (46.3)	1074 (46.9)
Country economic status			
High	841 (11.2)	669 (11.8)	213 (9.3)
Upper-middle	1967 (26.2)	1396 (24.7)	691 (30.1)
Lower-middle	3669 (48.8)	2857 (50.6)	1042 (45.5)
Low	1042 (13.9)	728 (12.9)	346 (15.1)
Region or country			
South Asia	970 (12.9)	683 (12.1)	316 (13.8)
China	3070 (40.8)	2407 (42.6)	872 (38.0)
Malaysia	440 (5.9)	289 (5.1)	193 (8.4)
Africa	283 (3.8)	207 (3.7)	91 (4.0)
North America/Europe	1216 (16.2)	951 (16.8)	323 (14.1)
Middle East	392 (5.2)	332 (5.9)	69 (3.0)
South America	1148 (15.3)	781 (13.8)	428 (18.7)
Type of community			
Urban	4555 (60.6)	3447 (61.0)	1367 (59.6)
Rural	2964 (39.4)	2203 (39.0)	925 (40.4)
Education[b]			
None, primary school, or unknown	3640 (48.4)	2661 (47.2)	1185 (51.8)
Secondary or high school	2479 (33.0)	1889 (33.5)	731 (31.9)
Trade, college, or university	1382 (18.5)	1087 (19.3)	372 (16.3)
Smoking status[b]			
Never	4571 (61.1)	3479 (61.9)	1359 (59.6)
Former	1526 (20.4)	1158 (20.6)	447 (19.6)
Current	1382 (18.5)	982 (17.5)	475 (20.8)
Diabetes	1754 (23.3)	1367 (61.9)	549 (24.0)
Hypertension	5653 (75.2)	4275 (75.7)	1749 (76.3)
Body mass index[b,c]			
<25	2717 (38.1)	2018 (37.3)	851 (39.9)
25-30	2771 (38.8)	2110 (39.0)	817 (38.3)
>30	1650 (23.1)	1384 (23.7)	464 (21.8)
Time since diagnosis, median (IQR), y	5.0 (2.0-10.0)	5.0 (2.0-10.0)	4.0 (2.0-8.0)

Abbreviation: IQR, interquartile range.
[a] Unless otherwise indicated.
[b] The percentages for this category do not add up to 100% due to missing data or incomplete measurements.
[c] Calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

Physical Activity Profiles

A little more than one-third (35.1%; 95% CI, 29.6%-41.0%) of individuals undertook high levels of work- or leisure-related physical activities. Although there were differences in the prevalence of high level of physical activity by country income status, these did not reach statistical significance. The prevalence was 25.5% (95% CI, 16.7%-36.6%) in low-income countries, 41.5% (95% CI, 33.1%-50.4%) in lower-middle-income

Table 2. Prevalence of Smoking Cessation, Healthy Eating, and High Level of Physical Activity

	Prevalence (95% CI)[a]					*P* Values for Trend
	Overall	High-Income Countries	Upper-Middle-Income Countries	Lower-Middle-Income Countries	Low-Income Countries	
Smoking cessation by education level						
None or primary school	48.2 (41.5-55.0)	63.6 (49.7-75.5)	47.1 (35.8-58.7)	46.8 (36.6-57.2)	26.7 (19.9-34.7)	.02
High or secondary school	54.2 (47.1-61.1)	69.5 (58.6-78.7)	55.8 (42.7-68.1)	51.6 (40.8-62.3)	36.4 (26.3-47.8)	.02
Trade school, college, or university	57.7 (50.1-64.9)	80.8 (72.5-87.1)	56.5 (41.7-70.3)	47.5 (35.9-59.4)	47.7 (30.6-65.4)	.001
All	53.4 (50.1-64.9)	70.8 (55.8-82.4)	54.6 (44.9-63.9)	47.6 (38.5-56.8)	39.3 (27.3-52.7)	.001
Healthy eating by education level						
None or primary school	32.2 (24.1-41.5)	31.1 (11.6-60.7)	38.1 (24.8-53.5)	37.0 (28.8-46.0)	19.4 (5.9-47.9)	.61
High or secondary school	39.0 (29.9-49.0)	41.3 (17.6-69.8)	45.5 (30.2-61.8)	44.3 (35.3-53.7)	26.5 (8.6-58.1)	.51
Trade school, college, or university	46.2 (36.2-56.5)	56.6 (28.7-80.9)	52.4 (35.4-68.8)	48.2 (38.4-58.1)	31.8 (10.3-65.4)	.07
All	39.0 (30.0-48.7)	43.4 (21.0-68.7)	45.1 (30.9-60.1)	43.2 (30.0-57.4)	25.8 (13.0-44.8)	.25
High level of physical activity by education level						
None or primary school	34.8 (29.2-40.8)	36.0 (19.6-56.9)	29.9 (20.5-41.3)	39.5 (32.2-47.3)	29.5 (21.8-38.6)	.64
High or secondary school	36.1 (30.2-42.3)	42.2 (24.6-62.0)	28.1 (18.6-40.0)	43.7 (35.9-51.8)	27.1 (19.6-36.1)	.007
Trade school, college, or university	34.4 (28.5-40.8)	43.6 (26.1-62.9)	22.3 (13.9-33.8)	43.2 (34.9-51.9)	20.8 (13.1-31.4)	.001
All	35.1 (29.6-41.0)	45.2 (29.8-61.5)	29.9 (22.2-38.9)	41.5 (33.1-50.4)	25.5 (16.7-36.8)	.11

[a] Adjusted for age, sex, and education as appropriate based on mixed model.

countries, 29.9% (95% CI, 22.2%-38.9%) in upper-middle-income countries, and 45.2% (95% CI, 29.8%-61.5%) in high-income countries ($P=.11$ for trend). There were also differences in prevalence of physical activity by education level. However, these patterns varied by country income level, and the differences generally were not statistically significant (Table 2).

Dietary Patterns

Using the modified AHEI score, 39.0% (95% CI, 30.0%-48.7%) of individuals with a history of CHD or stroke event consumed a healthy diet. Low-income countries had the lowest prevalence who had healthy diets (25.8%; 95% CI, 13.0%-44.8%) compared with the prevalences in high-income countries (43.4%; 95% CI, 21.0%-68.7%), upper-middle-income countries (45.1; 95% CI, 30.9%-60.1%), and lower-middle-income countries (43.2%; 95% CI, 30.0%-57.4%) (Table 2). Increasing levels of education were associated with graded increases in having healthy diets in high-income countries (56.6% [95% CI, 28.7%-80.9%] among the highest educated and 31.1% [95% CI, 11.6%-60.7%] among the lowest educated; $P<.001$ for trend). Similar trends were seen for upper-middle-income, lower-middle-income, and low-income countries (Table 2).

Combination of Healthy Lifestyle Behaviors

Overall, 14.3% (95% CI, 11.7%-17.3%) of individuals did not have any of the 3 healthy lifestyle behaviors; 42.7% (95% CI, 39.3%-46.1%) had only 1 healthy behavior, 30.6% (95% CI, 27.4%-34.0%) had 2, and only 4.3% (95% CI, 3.1%-5.8%) had all 3 healthy lifestyle behaviors. Individuals in upper-middle-income and low-income countries had higher prevalences of not having any healthy lifestyle behaviors and lower prevalences of having all 3 healthy lifestyle behaviors (FIGURE 2A). Participants were more likely to have 2 or more healthy lifestyle behaviors if they were from high-income countries (odds ratio [OR], 2.61; 95% CI, 2.11-3.22), upper-middle-income countries (OR, 1.42; 95% CI, 1.18-1.70), and lower-middle-income countries (OR, 2.70; 95% CI, 2.33-3.13) vs those from low-income countries. These patterns are also reflected by lifestyle behaviors in the individual countries or regions (Figure 2B). Urban residents were more likely to have 2 or more healthy lifestyle behaviors than those living in rural areas (OR, 1.22 [95% CI, 1.11-1.34]; $P<.001$).

Overall, more men did not follow any healthy lifestyle behaviors (26.4%; 95% CI, 22.1%-31.1%) than women (7.2%; 95% CI, 5.7%-9.0% ($P<.001$; FIGURE 3). Conversely, more women had 3 healthy lifestyle behaviors (7.4%; 95% CI, 5.4%-10.0%) than men (2.4%; 95% CI, 1.7%-3.4%) ($P<.001$); the OR was 1.66 (95% CI, 1.51-1.82) for women having 2 or more healthy lifestyle behaviors compared with men ($P<.001$). These sex differences were consistent by country income status and by country or region.

DISCUSSION

This study shows that a large gap exists globally between actual and ideal participation in the 3 key lifestyle behaviors of avoidance (or quitting) of smoking, undertaking regular physical activity, and eating a healthy diet after a CHD or stroke event. Nearly one-fifth of individuals continued to smoke, only about one-third undertook high levels of physical activity, and only two-fifths were eating a healthy diet.

Substantial proportions of individuals did not have any of these 3 healthy lifestyle behaviors and less than 1 in 20

Figure 2. Prevalence of Adoption of Combination of Healthy Lifestyle Behaviors by Country, Economic Status, and Region for Patients With a Coronary Heart Disease or Stroke Event



Adjusted for age, sex, and country income status as appropriate based on mixed model.

Figure 3. Prevalence of Adoption of Combination of Healthy Lifestyle Behaviors by Sex for Patients With a Coronary Heart Disease or Stroke Event



Adjusted for age, sex, and country income status as appropriate based on mixed model.

had all 3. More women than men had 2 or 3 healthy lifestyle behaviors. Our study also shows important country and sex differences. There were higher rates of smoking cessation in high-income countries than in upper-middle-income, lower-middle-income, and low-income countries. Lower prevalence of healthy diets was observed in more individuals from high-income and low-income countries than in upper-middle-income and lower-middle-income countries.

Overall, individuals from upper-middle-income and low-income countries had a lower prevalence of 3 of the healthy lifestyle behaviors than those from high-income and lower-middle-income countries. Higher levels of education tended to be associated with higher prevalence of healthy lifestyle behaviors in high-income and lower-middle-income countries, but not in upper-middle-income and low-income countries, in which regular physical activity was undertaken less frequently among the more educated.

These variations in lifestyle prevalence can provide insights into opportunities to enhance cardiovascular disease prevention through adopting healthy lifestyle behaviors. For example, high-income countries, and to some extent upper-middle-income and lower-middle-income countries, had higher rates of smoking cessation than low-income countries, especially among the most educated. High-income countries had more comprehensive approaches to tobacco control (eg, education on tobacco, smoking cessation programs, and active taxation and legislative measures), which likely account for the higher cessation rates. The lower rates of smoking in women, most pronounced in the lower-middle-income and low-income countries, are likely due to cultural factors and social stigma associated with women smoking in these societies. However, some reports suggest that as incomes in these countries increase, smoking among women could also increase.[18,22]

In addition, even though only about one-third of those who quit smoking did so after a CHD or stroke event, we observed contrasting patterns in smoking cessation by country income status, with lower-income countries showing a greater prevalence of smoking

cessation after their events. Whether this was a result of the cardiovascular disease event or due to secular trends in smoking cessation in these countries is not clear. Smoking cessation efforts, which can have an effect in reducing recurrent cardiovascular disease, should specifically be targeted at those with known cardiovascular disease, especially among men, and in poorer countries. This should also be complemented with continued efforts to prevent individuals (especially women, children, and young adults) from smoking. Specific efforts to promote smoking cessation are required in Africa given the high rates of smoking and low prevalence of smoking cessation.

Individuals from high-income countries had the highest prevalence of high levels of physical activity, followed by lower-middle-income, upper-middle-income, and low-income countries, in that order, although these differences were not statistically significant. A greater proportion of individuals from high-income countries had high levels of physical activity as recreational or leisure activity, whereas in the lower-income countries, these levels of activity were predominantly related to work. This suggests that the population's economic need to work in poorer countries (as opposed to participating in recreational activities in richer countries) may be an important consideration.

In our study, a healthy diet was followed by less than half of individuals in all the countries studied, except low-income countries in which only one-quarter had healthy diets. The observation that despite higher incomes, individuals from high-income countries did not have a higher prevalence of high-quality diets suggests that different factors may be operating in countries at different economic levels that influence the adoption of healthy diets. In wealthier countries, red meats and fried foods are more commonly consumed, whereas in the poorer countries, healthy foods such as fruits and vegetables may not be affordable. The challenges inherent in getting populations to improve the quality of their diets are many and include cultural influences, tastes, traditional cooking methods, and availability and affordability of healthy foods (such as fruits and vegetables). Consequently, dietary recommendations from the Western or richer countries may not be acceptable or may be unaffordable in other regions of the world. This should lead to the development of locally sensitive (to culture, affordability, availability, and taste) guidelines for healthy diets.

Lifestyle modifications to reduce the risk of recurrent cardiovascular disease events are as essential as using proven secondary prevention medications such as β-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, statins, and antiplatelet agents.[8] Current approaches to modifying lifestyle behaviors, based on individual counseling, are expensive and only modestly effective. In high-income countries, rehabilitation programs, mostly lasting for a few months, are offered to only a small proportion of individuals with recent vascular events who are referred to these programs to initiate healthy lifestyle practices.[23] Moreover, such programs are not available in most low- and middle-income countries. Mendis et al[24] reported that much higher proportions of the 10 000 patients from 10 middle-income and low-income countries were aware of the cardiovascular benefits of healthy lifestyle behaviors than the much lower proportions of those who actually were undertaking these healthy lifestyle activities. This suggests the existence of a large gap between patient knowledge of healthy lifestyle behaviors and their adoption that needs bridging.

Strengths and Limitations

Our study has several strengths. It is the only study we are aware of that has collected information on lifestyle behaviors using standardized measures from a large number of urban and rural communities in high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries. The identification of communities, participants, and the diagnoses of cardiovascular disease using uniform approaches avoided the potential selection biases related to collection of data only for patients attending clinics or hospitals. One limitation is that in individuals in whom the cardiovascular disease events occurred more than 5 years previously, obtaining the information on current lifestyle is only a snapshot of the activities that the individuals had carried out recently. We were not able to determine whether the adoption of healthy diets and physical activity occurred before or after the cardiovascular disease events. Nevertheless, this study shows the large gaps between actual and ideal prevalence of healthy lifestyle behaviors among those with previous CHD or stroke from high-, middle-, and low-income countries and in rural and urban areas.

CONCLUSIONS

Our data indicate that the prevalence of following the 3 important healthy lifestyle behaviors was low in individuals after their CHD or stroke event. These patterns were observed worldwide but more so in poorer countries. This requires development of simple, effective, and low-cost strategies for secondary prevention that is applicable worldwide.

Author Affiliations: Population Health Research Institute, Hamilton Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada (Drs Teo, Dehghan, and Yusuf, Mr Islam, and Ms Rangarajan); Department of Biomedical Physiology and Kinesiology, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada (Dr Lear); Providence Health Care, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada (Dr Lear); St John's Medical College and Research Institute, Bangalore, India (Dr Mony); National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Cardiovascular Institute and Fuwai Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China (Dr W. Li); Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden (Dr Rosengren); Desarrollo e Innovacion Tecnologica, Clinica de Sindrome Metabolico, Prediabetes y Diabetes y Fundacion Oftalmologica de Sandander, Santander, Colombia (Dr Lopez-Jaramillo); Estudios Clinicos, Latinoamerica ECLA, Rosario, Santa Fe, Argentina (Dr Diaz); Dante Pazzanese Institute of Cardiology, Sao Paulo, Brazil (Dr Oliveira); Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Sungai Boloh, Malaysia (Dr Miskan); Department of Community Health Sciences and Medicine, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan (Dr Iqbal); Department of Food Sciences and Dietetics, Wroclaw Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland (Dr Ilow); School of Public Health, University of Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa (Dr Puone); Isfahan Cardiovascular Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran (Dr Bahonar); Cardiology De-

partment, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey (Dr Gulec); Family Medicine Department, Dubai Medical College, Dubai, United Arab Emirates (Dr Darwish); Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile (Dr Lanas); Health Action by People, Trivandrum, India (Dr Vijaykumar); Independent University Bangladesh, Dhaka (Dr Rahman); Department of Physiology, College of Health Sciences, University of Zimbabwe, Harare (Mr Chifamba); Beilingbridge Community Health Service Center, Taiyuan, Shanxi Province, China (Mr Hou); and Qingshanhu Community Health Service Station, Nanchang, Jiangxi Province, China (Dr N. Li).

Author Contributions: Dr Teo had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Study concept and design: Teo, Yusuf.

Acquisition of data: Teo, Lear, Mony, Dehghan, W. Li, Rosengren, Lopez-Jaramillo, Diaz, Oliveira, Miskan, Rangarajan, Iqbal, Ilow, Puone, Bahonar, Gulec, Darwish, Lanas, Vijaykumar, Rahman, Chifamba, Hou, N. Li, Yusuf.

Analysis and interpretation of data: Teo, Islam, Dehghan, Yusuf.

Drafting of the manuscript: Teo.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Teo, Lear, Islam, Mony, Dehghan, Li, Rosengren, Lopez-Jaramillo, Diaz, Oliveira, Miskan, Rangarajan, Iqbal, Ilow, Puone, Bahonar, Gulec, Darwish, Lanas, Vijaykumar, Rahman, Chifamba, Hou, Li, Yusuf.

Statistical analysis: Islam.

Obtained funding: Yusuf.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Teo, Mony, Dehghan, Li, Rosengren, Miskan, Rangarajan, Iqbal, Gulec, Darwish, Lanas, Rahman, Chifamba, Hou.

Study supervision: Teo, Mony, Li, Rangarajan, Iqbal, Puone, Bahonar, Darwish, Hou, Yusuf, and other national lead investigators.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: The authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Dr Lear reported that the Population Health Research Institute (Hamilton, Ontario, Canada) provided funding support for travel to meetings for the Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study. Dr Lopez-Jaramillo reported receiving speakers fees from Boehringer and Merck. Dr Ilow reported receiving research funding from the Polish Minstry of Science and Higher Education and the Population Health Research Institute. Dr Bahonar reported receiving institutional funding from the Population Health Research Institute for the costs of the study. Dr Gulec reported serving on the board of Pfizer, Novartis, AstraZeneca, and Boehringer Ingelheim; receiving speakers fees from sanofi-aventis, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Pfizer, Bayer, and Boehringer Ingelheim; receiving payment for the development of educational presentations from Abdi Ibrahim (Turkey); and receiving travel reimbursement from Boehringer Ingelheim and sanofi-aventis. Dr Lanas reported receiving a grant from the Universidad de La Frontera; and receiving travel reimbursement from the Population Health Research Institute. No other author reported disclosures.

Funding/Support: Dr Yusuf is supported by the Mary W. Burke endowed chair of the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Ontario. Dr Chow is supported by a fellowship co-funded by the National Heart and Medical Research Council of Australia, the National Heart Foundation of Australia, and the Sydney Medical Foundation. The PURE study is funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Ontario, and through unrestricted grants from several pharmaceutical companies (with major contributions from AstraZeneca in Sweden and Canada, Novartis, sanofi-aventis in France and Canada, Boehringer Ingelheim in Germany and Canada, Servier, King Pharma, and GlaxoSmithKline), and additionally by contributions from various national or local organizations in the following countries: *Bangladesh:* Independent University, Bangladesh, Mitra and Associates. *Brazil:* Unilever Health Institute, Brazil. *Canada:* Public Health Agency of Canada and Champlain Cardiovascular Disease Prevention Network. *Chile:* Universidad de la Frontera. *China:* National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases. *Colombia:* Colciencias grant 6566-04-18062. *India:* Indian Council of Medical Research. *Malaysia:* Ministry of Science, Technology, and Innovation of Malaysia grant 07-05-IFN-MEB010, Universiti Teknologi MARA, and Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM-Hejim-Komuniti-15-2010). *Poland:* Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education grant Nr 290/W-PURE/2008/0, and Wroclaw Medical University. *South Africa:* North-West University, SANPAD (South Africa and Netherlands Programme for Alternative Development), National Research Foundation, Medical Research Council of South Africa, South Africa Sugar Association, and Faculty of Community and Health Sciences (University of Western Cape). *Sweden:* Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research, Swedish Research Council for Environment, Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning, Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation, Swedish Research Council, grant from the Swedish State under LäkarUtbildningsAvtalet agreement, and grant from the Västra Götaland Region. *Turkey:* Metabolic Syndrome Society, AstraZeneca, and sanofi-aventis. *United Arab Emirates:* Sheikh Hamdan Bin Rashid Al Maktoum Award for Medical Sciences, and Dubai Health Authority.

Role of the Sponsor: The funders and sponsors had no role in the design and conduct of the study; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or in the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

PURE Project office staff at Population Health Research Institute: (Hamilton Health Sciences and McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada): S. Rangarajan (project manager); K. K. Teo, C. K. Chow; S. Islam (statistician); M. Zhang (statistician); C. Kabali (statistician); M. Dehghan (nutritionist); J. Xiong, A. Mente, J. DeJesus, P. Mackie, M. Madhavan, D. Corsi, L. Farago, J. Michael, I. Kay, S. Zafar, D. Williams, R. Solano, N. Solano, M. Farago, J. Rimac, S. Trottier, W. ElSheikh, M. Mustaha, J. Kaszyca, R. Hrnic, S. Yusuf (principal investigator).

PURE Core Laboratories: M. McQueen, K. Hall, J. Keys (Hamilton, Ontario, Canada), X. Wang (Beijing, China), J. Keneth (Bangalore, India).

PURE National Coordinators (indicated with an asterisk), Investigators, and Key Staff by Country: *Argentina:* R. Diaz*, A. Orlandini, C. Bahit, B. Linetsky, S. Toscanelli, G. Casaccia, J. M. Maini Cuneo. *Bangladesh:* O. Rahman*, R. Yusuf, A. K. Azad, K. A. Rabbani, H. M. Cherry, A. Mannan, I. Hassan, A. T. Talukdar, R. B. Tooheen, M. U. Khan. *Brazil:* A. Avezum*, G. B. Oliveira, C. S. Marcilio, A. C. Mattos. *Canada:* K. Teo*, S Yusuf*, J. Dejesus, S. Zafar, D. Williams, J. Rimac, G. Dagenais, P. Poirier, G. Turbide, D. Auger, A. LeBlanc De Bluts, M. C. Proulx, M. Cayer, N. Bonneville, S. Lear, A. Chockalingam, D. Gasevic, S. Gyawali, S. Hage-Moussa, G. Mah, M. MacLeod, I. Vukmirovich, A. Wielgosz, G. Fodor, A. Pipe, S. Papadakis, I. Moroz, S. Muthuri. *Chile:* F. Lanas*, P. Seron, S. Martinez. *China:* Liu Lisheng*, Li Wei*, Chen Chunming, Wang Xingyu, Zhao Wenhua, Bo Jian, Chang Xiaohong, Chen Tao, Chen Hui, Cheng Xiaoru, Deng Qing, He Xinye, Hu Bo, Jia Xuan, Li Jian, Li Juan, Liu Xu, Ren Bing, Sun Yi, Wang Wei, Wang Yang, Yang Jun, Zhai Yi, Zhang Hongye, Zhao Xiuwen, Zhu Manlu, Lu Fanghong, Wu Jianfang, Li Yindong, Hou Yan, Zhang Liangqing, Guo Baoxia, Liao Xiaoyang, Zhang Shiying, Bian Rongwen, Tian Xiuzhen, Li Dong, Chen Di, Wu Jianguo, Xiao Yize, Liu Tianlu, Zhang Peng, Dong Changlin, Li Ning, Ma Xiaolan,Yang Yuqing, Lei Rensheng, Fu Minfan, He Jing, Liu Yu, Xing Xiaojie, Zhou Qiang. *Colombia:* P. Lopez-Jaramillo*, R. Garcia, J. F. Arguello, R. Dueñas, S. Silva, L. P. Pradilla, F. Ramirez, D. I. Molina, C. Cure-Cure, M. Perez, E. Hernandez, E. Arcos, S. Fernandez, C. Narvaez, J. Paez, A. Sotomayor, H. Garcia, G. Sanchez, T. David, D. Gómez-Arbeláez, A. Rico. *India:* M. Vaz*, A. V. Bharathi, S. Swaminathan, P. Mony, K. Shankar, A. V. Kurpad, K. G. Jayachitra, N. Kumar, V. Mohan, M. Deepa, K. Parthiban, M. Anitha, S. Hemavathy, T. Rahulashankiruthiyayan, D. Anitha, K. Sridevi, R. Gupta, R. B. Panwar, I. Mohan, P. Rastogi, S. Rastogi, R. Bhargava, R. Kumar, J. S. Thakur, B. Patro, R. Mahajan, P. Chaudary, V. Raman Kutty, K. Vijayakumar, K. Ajayan, G. Rajasree, A. R. Renjini, A. Deepu, B. Sandhya, S. Asha, H. S. Soumya. *Iran:* R. Kelishadi*, A. Bahonar, N. Mohammadifard, H. Heidari. *Malaysia:* K. Yusoff*, H. M. Nawawi, T. S. Ismail, A. S. Ramli, R. Razali, N. Khan, N. M. Nasir, R. Ahmad, T. Winn, F. A. Majid, I. Noorhassim, M. J. Hasni, M. T. Azmi, M. I. Zaleha, K. Y. Hazdi, A. R. Rizam, W. Sazman, A. Azman. *Pakistan:* R. Iqbal*, A. Afridi, R. Khawaja, K. Kazmi. *Poland:* W. Zatonski*, R. Andrzejak, A. Szuba, K. Zatonska, R. Ilow, M. Ferus, B. Regulska-Ilow, D. Różańska, M. Wolyniec. *South Africa:* A. Kruger*, H. H. Voster, A. E. Schutte, E. Wentzel-Viljoen, F. C. Eloff, H. de Ridder, H. Moss, J. Potgieter, A. A. Roux, M. Watson, G. de Wet, A. Olckers, J. C. Jerling, M. Pieters, T. Hoekstra, T. Puoane, E. Igumbor, L. Tsolekile, D. Sanders, P. Naidoo, N. Steyn, N. Peer, B. Mayosi, B. Rayner, V. Lambert, N. Levitt, T. Kolbe-Alexander, L. Ntyintyane, G. Hughes, R. Swart, J. Fourie, M. Muzigaba, S. Xapa, N. Gobile, K. Ndayi, B. Jwili, K. Ndibaza, B. Egbujie, T. de Lima, M. Petersen, S. Govender. *Sweden:* A. Rosengren*, K. Bengtsson Boström, U. Lindblad, P. Langkilde, A. Gustavsson, M. Andreasson, M. Snällman, L. Wirdemann, K. Pettersson, E. Moberg. *Turkey:* A. Oguz*, A. A. K. Akalin, K. B. T. Calik, N. Imeryuz, A. Temizhan, E. Alphan, E. Gunes, H. Sur, K. Karsidag, S. Gulec, Y. Altuntas. *United Arab Emirates:* A. M. Yusufali*, W. Almahmeed, H. Swidan, E. A. Darwish, A. R. A. Hashemi, N. Al-Khaja, J. M. Muscat-Baron, S. H. Ahmed, T. M. Mamdouh, W. M. Darwish, M. H. S. Abdelmotagali, S. A. Omer Awed, G. A. Movahedi, F. Hussain, H. Al Shaibani, R. I. M. Gharabou, D. F. Youssef, A. Z. S. Nawati, Z. A. R. Abu Salah, R. F. E. Abdalla, S. M. Al Shuwaihi, M. A. Al Omairi, O. D. Cadigal, R. S. Alejandrino. *Zimbabwe:* J. Chifamba*, L. Gwaunza, G. Terera, C. Mahachi, P. Mrambiwa, T. Machiweni, R. Mapanga.

Online-Only Material: The Author Video Interview and the eTable are available at http://www.jama.com.

REFERENCES

1. Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al; INTERHEART Study Investigators. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. *Lancet*. 2004;364(9438):937-952.

2. O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, et al; INTERSTROKE Investigators. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9735):112-123.

3. Chow CK, Jolly S, Rao-Melacini P, Fox KA, Anand SS, Yusuf S. Association of diet, exercise, and smoking modification with risk of early cardiovascular events after acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation*. 2010; 121(6):750-758.

4. Iqbal R, Anand S, Ounpuu S, et al; INTERHEART Study Investigators. Dietary patterns and the risk of acute myocardial infarction in 52 countries: results of the INTERHEART study. *Circulation*. 2008;118 (19):1929-1937.

5. Yusuf S, Reddy S, Ounpuu S, Anand S. Global

burden of cardiovascular diseases, part I: general considerations, the epidemiologic transition, risk factors, and impact of urbanization. *Circulation*. 2001; 104(22):2746-2753.

6. EUROASPIRE II Study Group. Lifestyle and risk factor management and use of drug therapies in coronary patients from 15 countries: principal results from EUROASPIRE II Euro Heart Survey Programme. *Eur Heart J*. 2001;22(7):554-572.

7. Teo K, Chow CK, Vaz M, Rangarajan S, Yusuf S; PURE Investigators-Writing Group. The Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study: examining the impact of societal influences on chronic noncommunicable diseases in low-, middle-, and high-income countries. *Am Heart J*. 2009;158(1):1-7, e1.

8. Yusuf S, Islam S, Chow CK, et al; Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) Study Investigators. Use of secondary prevention drugs for cardiovascular disease in the community in high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries (the PURE Study): a prospective epidemiological survey. *Lancet*. 2011; 378(9798):1231-1243.

9. World Bank. How do we classify countries? http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications. Accessed May 19, 2011.

10. MacQueen KM, McLellan E, Metzger DS, et al. What is community? an evidence-based definition for participatory public health. *Am J Public Health*. 2001; 91(12):1929-1938.

11. International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). Guidelines for data processing and analysis of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ)—short and long forms. http://learnonline.canberra.edu.au/pluginfile.php/511212/mod_resource/content/0/IPAQ_scoring_long.pdf. Accessibility verified March 15, 2013.

12. Kelemen LE, Anand SS, Vuksan V, et al; SHARE Investigators. Development and evaluation of cultural food frequency questionnaires for South Asians, Chinese, and Europeans in North America. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2003;103(9):1178-1184.

13. Bharathi AV, Kurpad AV, Thomas T, Yusuf S, Saraswathi G, Vaz M. Development of food frequency questionnaires and a nutrient database for the Prospective Urban and Rural Epidemiological (PURE) pilot study in South India: methodological issues. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*. 2008;17(1):178-185.

14. Dehghan M, Ilow R, Zatonska K, et al. Development, reproducibility and validity of the food frequency questionnaire in the Poland arm of the Prospective Urban and Rural Epidemiological (PURE) study. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. 2012;25(3):225-232.

15. Dehghan M, Al Hamad N, Yusufali A, Nusrath F, Yusuf S, Merchant AT. Development of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire for use in United Arab Emirates and Kuwait based on local foods. *Nutr J*. 2005;4:18.

16. Dehghan M, Lopez JP, Duenas R, et al. Development and validation of a quantitative food frequency questionnaire among rural- and urban-dwelling adults in Colombia. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2012;44(6):609-613.

17. Merchant AT, Dehghan M, Chifamba J, Terera G, Yusuf S. Nutrient estimation from an FFQ developed for a Black Zimbabwean population. *Nutr J*. 2005; 4:37.

18. Teo KK, Ounpuu S, Hawken S, et al; INTERHEART Study Investigators. Tobacco use and risk of myocardial infarction in 52 countries in the INTERHEART study: a case-control study. *Lancet*. 2006;368(9536):647-658.

19. McCullough ML, Feskanich D, Stampfer MJ, et al. Diet quality and major chronic disease risk in men and women: moving toward improved dietary guidance. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2002;76(6):1261-1271.

20. McCullough ML, Willett WC. Evaluating adherence to recommended diets in adults: the Alternate Healthy Eating Index. *Public Health Nutr*. 2006; 9(1A):152-157.

21. Dehghan M, Mente A, Teo KK, et al; Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination With Ramipril Global End Point Trial (ONTARGET)/Telmisartan Randomized Assessment Study in ACEI Intolerant Subjects With Cardiovascular Disease (TRANSCEND) Trial Investigators. Relationship between healthy diet and risk of cardiovascular disease among patients on drug therapies for secondary prevention: a prospective cohort study of 31 546 high-risk individuals from 40 countries. *Circulation*. 2012;126(23):2705-2712.

22. Kumra V, Markoff BA. Who's smoking now? The epidemiology of tobacco use in the United States and abroad. *Clin Chest Med*. 2000;21(1):1-9, vii.

23. Taylor RS, Brown A, Ebrahim S, et al. Exercise-based rehabilitation for patients with coronary heart disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Med*. 2004;116(10): 682-692.

24. Mendis S, Abegunde D, Yusuf S, et al. WHO study on prevention of recurrences of myocardial infarction and stroke (WHO-PREMISE). *Bull World Health Organ*. 2005;83(11):820-829.