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**Context:** Despite the high morbidity associated with bipolar disorder (BP), few studies have prospectively studied the course of this illness in youth.

**Objective:** To assess the longitudinal course of BP spectrum disorders (BP-I, BP-II, and not otherwise specified [BP-NOS]) in children and adolescents.

**Design:** Subjects were interviewed, on average, every 9 months for an average of 2 years using the Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation.

**Setting:** Outpatient and inpatient units at 3 university centers.

**Participants:** Two hundred sixty-three children and adolescents (mean age, 13 years) with BP-I (n=152), BP-II (n=19), and BP-NOS (n=92).

**Main Outcome Measures:** Rates of recovery and recurrence, weeks with syndromal or subsyndromal mood symptoms, changes in symptoms and polarity, and predictors of outcome.

**Results:** Approximately 70% of subjects with BP recovered from their index episode, and 50% had at least 1 syndromal recurrence, particularly depressive episodes. Analyses of weekly mood symptoms showed that 60% of the follow-up time, subjects had syndromal or subsyndromal symptoms with numerous changes in symptoms and shifts of polarity, and 3% of the time, psychosis. Twenty percent of BP-II subjects converted to BP-I, and 25% of BP-NOS subjects converted to BP-I or BP-II. Early-onset BP, BP-NOS, long duration of mood symptoms, low socioeconomic status, and psychosis were associated with poorer outcomes and rapid mood changes. Secondary analyses comparing BP-I youths with BP-I adults showed that youths significantly more time symptomatic and had more mixed/cycling episodes, mood symptom changes, and polarity switches.

**Conclusions:** Youths with BP spectrum disorders showed a continuum of BP symptom severity from subsyndromal to full syndromal with frequent mood fluctuations. Results of this study provide preliminary validation for BP-NOS.

Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2006;63:175-183
come of children and adolescents with bipolar I disorder (BP-I), BP-II, and BP-NOS recruited as part of a multisite (University of Pittsburgh, Brown University, and University of California at Los Angeles), collaborative study funded by the National Institute of Mental Health, the Course and Outcome of Bipolar Illness in Youth (COBY). The COBY study constitutes the largest pediatric BP cohort study to date, and it is the first prospective naturalistic study involving children and adolescents with BP spectrum disorders (BP-I, BP-II, and BP-NOS).

Subsequent reports will describe in more detail the clinical picture of children and adolescents with BP spectrum disorders, psychosocial course, and effects of other potential predictors of outcome such as suicidal behaviors, comorbid disorders, family psychiatric history, and treatment.

**METHODS**

**SUBJECTS**

Children and adolescents aged 7 to 17 years 11 months (mean ± SD age, 13.0 ± 3.1 years) whose primary diagnoses were DSM-IV 23 BP-I or BP-II or an operationalized definition of BP-NOS were enrolled in the COBY study. Because the DSM-IV definition of BP-NOS is vague, BP-NOS was defined as the presence of clinically relevant BP symptoms that did not fulfill the DSM-IV criteria for BP-I or BP-II. In addition, subjects were required to have a minimum of elated mood plus 2 associated DSM-IV symptoms or irritable mood plus 3 DSM-IV associated symptoms, along with a change in the level of functioning, duration of a minimum of 4 hours within a 24-hour period, and at least 4 cumulative lifetime days meeting the criteria.

Subjects with current or lifetime diagnoses of schizophrenia, mental retardation, autism, and mood disorders secondary to substance abuse, medical conditions, or use of medications were excluded.

Subjects were recruited from consecutive admissions to outpatient clinics (65%), inpatient units (16%), advertisement (11%), and referrals from other physicians (8%) and were enrolled independent of current BP state or treatment status.

The analyses presented in this report are based on the prospective assessment of psychiatric symptoms for 263 subjects, including 151 (57%) with BP-I, 20 (8%) with BP-II, and 92 (35%) with BP-NOS who had at least 1 follow-up assessment. To date, these subjects have been prospectively interviewed every 35.5 weeks (SD, 8.5 weeks) for a mean ± SD of 94.8 ± 51.5 weeks. As depicted in Table 1, at intake subjects with BP-I and BP-NOS were slightly younger than those with BP-II, and more BP-NOS subjects were premature (all comparisons, P < .05). Fewer BP-I subjects were living with both biological parents than BP-II and NOS subjects. Subjects with BP-II had the onset of their mood disorders significantly later and had significantly lower rates of comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder than subjects with BP-I and BP-II (P < .05). Subjects with BP-I had significantly more lifetime psychosis than those with BP-NOS (P = .002). There were no other differences among the 3 BP subtypes with regard to demographics or clinical characteristics, and there were no differences among groups regarding family history of mood disorders in first- and second-degree relatives.

At present, the subject retention rate is 95%. Subjects who have dropped out from the study (n = 18) were significantly older (t = 3.0 [P = .003]) and non-Caucasian (χ² = 7.9 [P = .005]), had higher rates of anxiety disorders (χ² = 5.8 [P = .02]), and more frequently lived in a situation other than with both natural parents (χ² = 5.3 [P = .02]) when compared with subjects who continued in the study.

### Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics Among Subjects With BP-I, BP-II, and BP-NOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>All Subjects (N = 263)</th>
<th>BP-I (n = 152)</th>
<th>BP-II (n = 19)</th>
<th>BP-NOS (n = 92)</th>
<th>Statistical Analysis</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± SD, y</td>
<td>13.0 ± 3.1</td>
<td>13.2 ± 3.0</td>
<td>15.1 ± 2.4</td>
<td>12.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>F = 9.0</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, %</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>χ² = 4.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, %</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>χ² = 0.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES, mean ± SD</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>K-W = 4.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with both natural parents, %</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>χ² = 8.0</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubertal status, % at Tanner stage I/II-III/IV-V</td>
<td>29/26/45</td>
<td>24/28/47</td>
<td>6/28/67</td>
<td>42/23/35</td>
<td>χ² = 13.0</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood disorder, mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset, y</td>
<td>8.9 ± 3.9</td>
<td>9.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>11.0 ± 3.4</td>
<td>8.4 ± 3.5</td>
<td>K-W = 6.8</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration, y</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>4.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>4.1 ± 2.4</td>
<td>3.8 ± 2.7</td>
<td>K-W = 1.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime comorbid diagnoses, % yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>χ² = 11.4</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHD</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>χ² = 6.2</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODD</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>χ² = 5.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct disorder</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>χ² = 1.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety disorder</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>χ² = 1.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance use disorder</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>χ² = 0.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-degree relative with mania</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>χ² = 0.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-degree relative with depression</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>χ² = 2.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-degree relative with mania</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>χ² = 2.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-degree relative with depression</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>χ² = 4.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactive disorder; BP-I, bipolar I disorder; BP-II, bipolar II disorder; BP-NOS, bipolar disorder not otherwise specified; K-W, Kruskal-Wallis; NS, not significant; ODD, oppositional defiant disorder; SES, socioeconomic status.

*Values with different superscript letters were significant at P values < .05.
PROCEDURES

Each university’s institutional review board approved the study. Assent was obtained from children and adolescents and consent from parents. We directly interviewed children and parents (about their children) for the presence of current and lifetime nonmood psychiatric disorders using the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School Age Children—Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL)24 and for the presence of mania and depression with the K-SADS–Mania Rating Scale25 and the depression section of the K-SADS-PL,26 respectively.

Longitudinal changes in psychiatric symptoms, functioning, and treatment exposure since the previous evaluation were assessed using the Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation (LIFE).27 The LIFE was administered to adolescents and parents separately. Because younger children often have problems timing their symptoms, they were interviewed with their parents. Any discrepancies between the informants’ responses were discussed, and a summary score based on all available information was determined. The LIFE9,10,27-29 evaluates the course of symptoms by identifying change points, frequently anchored by memorable dates for the subject (eg, holidays and beginning of school). The severity of ongoing symptoms, onset of new symptoms, and episode polarity for BP since the last appointment are tracked on a week-by-week basis using the LIFE Psychiatric Status Rating (PSR) scale. For DSM-IV mood disorders, the PSR scores range from 1 for no symptoms, to 2 or 4 for varying levels of subthreshold symptoms and impairment, to 5 or 6 for full criteria with different degrees of severity or impairment. Comorbid disorders and psychosis are also rated on a weekly basis on a 3-point scale of 1 to 3, where 3 indicates threshold symptoms. Family history of mood disorders was ascertained using the Family History Screen.30

The Petersen Pubertal Developmental Scale31 was used to evaluate pubertal stages, which were categorized into prepubertal (equivalent to Tanner stages II and III), midpubertal (Tanner stages II and III), and postpubertal (Tanner stages IV and V). Subjects 10 years or older completed the Petersen Pubertal Developmental Scale by themselves. Subjects aged 7 to 9 years completed the Petersen Pubertal Developmental Scale with their parents’ assistance, and parents completed the form for those younger than 7 years. Socioeconomic status (SES) was ascertained using the 4-factor Hollingshead Scale.34

All assessments were completed by research staff trained to reliably administer the interviews and presented to child psychiatrists/psychologists who confirmed the diagnoses. When necessary, subjects’ medical records were reviewed. The overall K-SADS kappas for psychiatric disorders were 0.8 or more. The intraclass correlation coefficients for the K-SADS Mania Rating Scale and the depression section of the K-SADS-PL were 0.95 or more. The LIFE intraclass correlations for the K-SADS Mania Rating Scale and the depression section of the K-SADS-PL were 0.80 or more.

DEFINITIONS OF COURSE OF ILLNESS

As described in the literature,26,30,36 recovery from a mood episode required 8 consecutive weeks with a PSR score of 2 or less (minimal or no symptoms). A recurrence (new episode) required a PSR score of 5 or more, with durations of 1 week for mania/hypomania and 2 weeks for depression. Rapid cycling and mixed episodes were defined as in the DSM-IV.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Differences between groups were analyzed using standard parametric and nonparametric univariate tests. The index episode was defined as the current or most recent episode from the date of intake. Because the index episode could have started before the subjects were recruited into the study, time to recovery was calculated from the onset of the index episode to ascertain the real duration of illness. Therefore, for some subjects the duration of episode exceeded the length of prospective follow-up. Time to recurrence was calculated from the time subjects fulfilled criteria for recovery until they met full criteria for a new mood episode. Cumulative rates of recovery and recurrence were analyzed using survival analytical methods37 stratifying subjects by BP subtype.

About 14% of the sample had their acute episode offset and recovered before intake (mean time, 44.5±53.4 weeks). Analyses of the rates of recovery and recurrence with or without these subjects yielded similar results. Therefore, every subject, regardless of his or her episode status at the intake assessment, was included in this analysis.

To provide a more complete picture of the longitudinal course of BP in youth, the percentages of follow-up weeks spent asymptomatic or symptomatic in the different mood symptom status categories (eg, mania, mixed, and subsyndromal symptoms) were computed based on the PSR ratings for each subject. To compare the COBY’s BP-1 data with those of a recent adult BP-1 study28,29, that used comparable methods, changes in symptom status and polarity were defined and analyzed similarly to those used in the adult study. Symptom status was defined as the change in the weekly PSR ratings in levels of depressive and/or manic/hypomanic symptoms or change from/to the asymptomatic status. Change in polarity was defined as a switch between depression (PSR, ≥3) and mania/hypomania (PSR, ≥3) or vice versa with or without intervening weeks at the asymptomatic status. For these last 2 analyses, similar to those of Judd et al,28,29 weeks with mixed symptoms of depression and mania/hypomania were included together.

For all of these analyses, the effects of demographic variables, pubertal status, subtype of BP, lifetime psychosis, age of onset of BP symptoms (depression and/or mania/hypomania), and duration of illness were evaluated. Interactions among significant predictors in the models were also examined. These variables were examined univariately, and those related to the outcome of interest were included in the regression models. To assess the effects of age on the child’s outcome, the sample was dichotomized into children younger than 12 years and those 12 years or older. However, because some of the children 12 years and older had the onset of their illness when they were younger, the analyses for the effects of age and age of onset were evaluated in the following way: (1) children younger than 12 years, (2) adolescents 12 years or older with onset of BP at younger than 12 years, and (3) adolescents 12 years or older with onset of BP at 12 years or older. Models were run separately for pubertal status and the age/age of BP onset variable owing to high collinearity. For the survival analyses, the effects of these variables were evaluated using Cox proportional hazards regressions.38 For the weekly symptomatic status (ie, change in symptoms status and polarity), the same predictors were examined using linear regression models.

Unless otherwise indicated, all values are reported as mean±SD. All P values are based on 2-tailed tests with α = .05.

RESULTS

RECOVERY AND RECURRENCE BY BP SUBTYPE

Recovery

As depicted in Figure 1 and Table 2, overall 68% of subjects recovered from their index episode a median of
78 weeks after the onset of the episode. There was no significant difference in rates of recovery among the 3 BP subgroups, but subjects with BP-NOS had a significantly longer time to recovery than subjects with BP-I and BP-II (all comparisons, \( P < .05 \)).

Cox proportional hazards regressions showed that compared with adolescents, subjects with childhood onset were 1.7 times (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.19-2.56) less likely than those with early BP onset and 1.9 times (95% CI, 1.3-2.8) less likely than those with late onset to recover. With every unit decrease in SES, subjects had a 25% lower likelihood of recovery (hazard ratio, 1.25; 95% CI, 1.10-1.43). Finally, with longer duration of BP (per year), subjects had a 10% lower likelihood of recovery (hazard ratio, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.84-0.96). Prepubertal subjects were 1.7 times (95% CI, 1.06-2.65) less likely than midpubertal subjects and 1.9 times (95% CI, 1.27-2.85) less likely than late pubertal subjects to recover. Those with BP-NOS were 2.0 times (95% CI, 1.43-2.88) less likely than BP-I subjects and 1.9 times (95% CI, 1.05-3.52) less likely than BP-II subjects to recover. No other significant predictors or interactions were found.

### Recurrence

As depicted in Figure 2 and Table 2, overall 56% of subjects had at least 1 recurrence a median of 61.0 weeks after recovery of the index episode. Subjects with BP-II had higher rates of recurrence than subjects with BP-NOS and subjects with BP-NOS had significantly longer time to recurrence than those with BP-I and BP-II (all comparisons, \( P < .05 \)).

Cox proportional hazards regressions showed that BP-I subjects were 1.7 times (95% CI, 1.06-2.67) and BP-II subjects were 2.7 times (95% CI, 1.35-5.31) more likely to have a recurrence than those with BP-NOS. Subjects with low SES had a 20% higher likelihood of recurrence (with every unit decrease in SES) (95% CI, 0.67-0.95). No other significant predictors or interactions were found.

**Figure 1.** Recovery stratified by bipolar subtype. The index episode was defined as the most recent or current episode from the date of intake. To ascertain the real duration of illness, time to recovery was calculated from the onset of the index episode. Therefore, for some subjects the duration of episode exceeded the length of prospective follow-up. BP-I indicates bipolar I disorder; BP-II, bipolar II disorder; BP-NOS, bipolar disorder not otherwise specified.

**Figure 2.** Recurrence stratified by bipolar subtype. Time to recurrence was calculated from the time subjects fulfilled criteria for recovery until they met full criteria for a new mood episode. Abbreviations are explained in the legend to Figure 1.

---

**Table 2. Summary of Recovery and Recurrence by BP Subtype**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Subjects (( N = 263 ))</th>
<th>BP-I (( n = 152 ))</th>
<th>BP-II (( n = 19 ))</th>
<th>BP-NOS (( n = 92 ))</th>
<th>Statistical Analysis†</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate of recovery, No./total No. (%)</td>
<td>180/263 (68)</td>
<td>104/152 (68)</td>
<td>15/19 (79)</td>
<td>61/92 (66)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median time to recovery from the index episode, wk</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>140.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of recurrence, No./total No. (%)</td>
<td>101/180 (56)</td>
<td>60/104 (58)</td>
<td>13/15 (87)</td>
<td>28/61 (46)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median time to recurrence, wk</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BP-I, bipolar I disorder; BP-II, bipolar II disorder; BP-NOS: bipolar disorder not otherwise specified; NS, not significant.

†By the \( \chi^2 \) test.

‡The index episode was defined as the most recent or current episode from the date of intake. To ascertain the real duration of illness, time to recovery was calculated from the onset of the index episode. Therefore, for some subjects the duration of episode exceeded the length of prospective follow-up.

§Time to recurrence was calculated from the time subjects fulfilled criteria for recovery until they met full criteria for a new mood episode.
RECURRENT DURING FOLLOW-UP

Subjects had a mean±SD of 1.5±0.9 syndromal recurrences. After controlling for differences in the length of the follow-up, there were no significant differences in the number of syndromal recurrences among subjects with BP-I, BP-II, and BP-NOS. Most of these recurrences were major depressive episodes (37.5%), followed by hypomania (24.2%), mania (13.7%), and mixed (4.6%). There were no significant differences in the polarity of the recurrences among subjects with BP-I, BP-II, and BP-NOS.

WEEKLY MOOD SYMPTOMATIC STATUS BY BP SUBTYPE

As depicted in Table 3, on average, subjects spent 39.7% of the follow-up time without clinically significant mood symptoms, 22.4% of the time in a DSM-IV syndromal episode, 37.9% of the time with subsyndromal symptoms, and 3.1% of the time with clinically significant symptoms of psychosis (PSR score for delusion and/or hallucinations, 3). Within the syndromal symptoms, subjects with BP-I spent significantly more weeks with syndromal mania and mixed symptoms than those with BP-NOS, and subjects with BP-II spent significantly more time with depressive symptoms than those with BP-I and BP-II (all comparisons, P<.001). Within the subsyndromal symptoms, BP-NOS subjects spent significantly more weeks with subsyndromal mania and mixed symptoms compared with BP-I subjects, and BP-II subjects spent significantly more time with subsyndromal depression than BP-I and BP-NOS subjects (all comparisons, P<.05).

Linear regression models showed that lifetime psychosis (t=2.96 [P=.003]) and the interaction between childhood onset of BP and low SES (t=2.38 [P=.02]) were significant predictors of more time spent with any mood symptoms. In the same model, the main effects of low SES and childhood onset of BP (vs adolescents with late onset) remained marginally significant predictors of time spent with any mood symptoms (all comparisons, P=.15).

Diagnosis of BP-I (vs BP-NOS, t=2.57 [P=.01]), lower SES (t=2.98 [P=.003]), lifetime psychosis (t=2.78 [P=.006]), and female sex (t=1.99 [P=.05]) were found to be significant predictors of more follow-up time spent with syndromal manic/major depressive disorder symptoms (F=6.63 [P<.001]). There were no significant interactions.

Diagnosis of BP-NOS (vs BP-I, t=3.56 [P<.001]; vs BP-II, t=2.38 [P=.02]), lower SES (t=2.74 [P=.007]), and childhood onset of BP (vs adolescents with late onset, t=2.67 [P=.008]) were found to be significant predictors of follow-up time spent with subsyndromal mood symptoms. There were no significant interactions.

CHANGE IN SYMPTOM STATUS

Subjects experienced a mean±SD of 34.1±32.2 changes in symptom status during the entire follow-up period, or 19.8±16.6 per year. Approximately 6% of the sample had 1 or fewer changes in symptom status per year. Eighty-six percent of the sample changed symptom status more than 3 times per year, 78% changed more than 5 times per year, 62% changed more than 10 times per year, and 40% changed more than 20 times per year. There was a significant difference between the BP groups in the number of total symptom changes (Kruskal-Wallis χ²=7.14 [P=.03]), with BP-NOS subjects showing more symptom changes than BP-I and BP-II subjects (all comparisons, P=.05). Linear regressions show low SES (t=2.13 [P=.03]), longer duration of mood disorder (t=2.33 [P=.02]), lifetime psychosis (t=3.71 [P<.001]), and BP-NOS diagnosis (vs BP-I, t=3.15 [P=.002]) as significant predictors of greater number of changes in symptom status per year (F=7.62 [P<.001]). There were no significant interactions.

CHANGE IN POLARITY

Shifts in polarity occurred at a mean±SD of 26.1±30.7 times during the entire follow-up period or 15.7±17.0 times per year. About 19% of patients changed polarity...
proximately 20% of subjects who had an intake diagno-

CONVERSION FROM BP-II TO BP-I AND FROM BP-NOS TO BP-I OR BP-II

During follow-up, 4 (21%) of the 19 BP-II subjects ex-

To our knowledge, this is the first report to describe the psy-

sisis of BP-II experienced conversion to BP-I, and 25% of

Several limitations of the study deserve comment. As

with any prospective interview study in which subjects
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cycling episodes, mood symptom changes, and polarity switches than adults with BP-I.28 Thus, across the age span and especially in youth, BP usually follows an ongoing changeable and sinuous course, with patients having a wide spectrum of mood symptoms ranging from mild to severe depression to mania and/or hypomania. These results substantiate what Kraepelin39 and other investigators have observed and explain, at least in part, the difficulties encountered when treating subjects with BP spectrum disorders. Furthermore, it is likely that the very rapid fluctuation in mood symptoms combined with the developmental issues influencing the clinical picture of BP in youth, the difficulties children and sometimes adolescents have verbalizing their emotions, and the high rates of comorbid disorders account for the complexity and current controversies in diagnosing BP in children and adolescents.

Although for approximately 40% of the follow-up time, the COBY subjects were free of significant mood symptoms, a substantial proportion had ongoing comorbid psychiatric disorders. Thus, unless subjects undergo prospective evaluation, accurate description of the course of BP in youth may be difficult given the phenotypic overlap between BP and certain specific symptoms of comorbid disorders such as attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, with which it frequently co-occurs, and may give the appearance that BP does not cycle through episodes over time.

Youth with BP showed high lifetime rates of psychosis.5,8,9 As described in the literature,5,47,52,53 the presence of psychosis was consistently associated with poor prognosis, indicating the need for intensive and prompt treatment of this symptom.

In contrast to reports in the literature of adult BP,47,49 the prevalence of substance abuse by subjects in this study was low. However, most subjects included in our study had not yet reached the age of high risk for development of substance abuse. Therefore, this finding emphasizes the importance of prompt treatment of youth with BP before they begin to use substances that could complicate the management of their mood disorder and worsen their long-term prognosis.37,40

The rate of conversion from BP-II to BP-I found in the COBY study is higher than the cumulative rate of conversion reported in the adult literature,54 possibly suggesting that BP-II is less developmentally stable in the pediatric age group. At this time, there are no published longitudinal studies of children and adolescents with BP-II with which to compare the COBY’s findings. Likewise, this is the first study in the BP literature suggesting the relative instability of the BP-NOS phenotype, as approximately one third of BP-NOS subjects within the period of follow-up experienced conversion to BP-I or BP-II. In contrast, another study followed up a community sample of 54 adolescents who had subsyndromal BP symptoms and found an increased risk for major depression but not for BP.10 However, compared with the COBY’s definition of BP-NOS, this later study defined subsyndromal BP less strin-
gently as “a distinct period of abnormally and persistently elevated, expansive, or irritable mood” only, suggesting possibly less liability for full BP than captured by the COBY’s more restrictive definition. Furthermore, the presence of only 1 symptom, particularly irritability, does not necessarily mean that these adolescents had BP or that BP will develop.\(^{10,55}\)

As has been reported by others,\(^{3,4,40,50,51}\) subjects in whom BP symptoms developed during their early childhood showed lower rates of recovery, more time in mixed-rapid cycling episodes, and more symptom and polarity changes than those whose illness started later. Also, comparable with recent adult BP studies,\(^{33,56}\) the results of the COBY study consistently showed that BP subjects with low SES had worse prognosis. Future studies will evaluate possible factors (eg, exposure to negative life events, lack of or poor adherence to treatment, and increased family psychopathologic characteristics) that may account for this finding.

The longitudinal course of children and adolescents with BP-NOS presented herein, together with the findings that these subjects have comparable rates of comorbidity and family history for mood disorders when compared with subjects with BP-I and BP-II, provide initial validation of the BP-NOS category as defined in the COBY. Furthermore, these results support the recommendation of the National Institute of Mental Health Consensus Roundtable\(^{52}\) and others\(^{1,4,6,68,57,58}\) to include children and adolescents with significant subsyndromal BP symptoms and BP-NOS in studies to further evaluate the clinical presentation of BP spectrum in youth and maximize the generalizability of the results.

In summary, children and adolescents with BP spectrum disorders, particularly those with early onset, BP-NOS, long duration, low SES, and psychosis, experienced frequent changes in symptom status and polarity in a fluctuating course showing a dimensional continuum of BP symptom severity, from subsyndromal to mood syndromes meeting full DSM-IV criteria. The enduring and rapid changeability of symptoms of this illness in children and adolescents from very early in life and at crucial stages of their lives deprives them of the opportunity for normal emotional, cognitive, and social development.\(^{1-10,58}\) Thus, early recognition and acute and maintenance treatment of BP spectrum disorders in children and adolescents is of utmost importance to ameliorate ongoing syndromal and subsyndromal symptoms and to reduce or prevent the serious psychosocial morbidity that usually accompanies this illness.\(^{58,59}\)

Submitted for Publication: March 16, 2005; final revision received July 7, 2005; accepted July 28, 2005.

Correspondence: Boris Birmaher, MD, Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, 3811 O’Hara St, Pittsburgh, PA 15213 (birmaherb@upmc.edu).


Funding/Support: This study was supported by grants MH59929 (Dr Birmaher), MH59977 (Dr Strober), and MH59691 (Dr Keller) from the National Institute of Mental Health, Rockville, Md.

Acknowledgment: We thank Carol Kostek for her assistance with manuscript preparation; Kristin Bruning, MD, and Jennifer Dyl, PhD, of the Course and Outcome of Bipolar Illness in Youth faculty; raters Mathew Arruda, BA, Mark Celio, BA, Jennifer Fretwell, BA, Michael Henry, BS, Risha Henry, PhD, Norman Kim, PhD, Margaret Lee, BA, Marilyn Matzko, EdD, Heather Schwickrath, MA, Anna Van Meter, BA, and Matthew Young, BA; data personnel Amy Broz, AS, Colleen Grimm, BA, and Nicole Ryan, BA; and Editha Nottelmann, PhD, and Regina James, MD, for their continued support.

REFERENCES


Errors in Text. In the Original Article by Birmaher et al titled “Clinical Course of Children and Adolescents With Bipolar Spectrum Disorders,” published in the February issue of the ARCHIVES (2006;63:175-183), errors occurred in the text on pages 176 and 179. On page 176, in the “Methods” section, “Subjects” subsection, fifth paragraph, the second sentence should have read as follows: “Subjects with BP-II had the onset of their mood disorders significantly later and had significantly lower rates of comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder than subjects with BP-I and BP-NOS ( P<.05 ).” On page 179, under “Weekly Mood Symptomatic Status by BP Subtype,” first paragraph, the second sentence should have read as follows: “Within the syndromal symptoms, subjects with BP-I spent significantly more weeks with syndromal mania and mixed symptoms than those with BP-NOS, and subjects with BP-II spent significantly more time with depressive symptoms than those with BP-I and BP-NOS (all comparisons, P<.001 ).”