We thank Dr Nwabudike again for his interest, and we would be happy to provide more detail as necessary.
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CORRECTION
Incorrect Denominator: In the article titled “Accuracy of Skin Cancer Diagnosis by Physician Assistants Compared With Dermatologists in a Large Health Care System,” published online April 18, 2018, and in the May issue of JAMA Dermatology,1 an error occurred in Table 2. Percentages for 6 values were calculated using the wrong denominator: the number of visits during which a biopsy was performed was used instead of the number of all visits. In the outcomes section of the table, for melanoma diagnosed, the number (percentage) of all visits during which melanoma in situ was diagnosed should have been given as 98 visits (0.3%) for all clinicians, 40 (0.2%) for physician assistant (PA) only, and 58 (0.4%) for dermatologist only. Corresponding data for invasive melanoma diagnosed were 51 visits (0.2%) for all clinicians, 26 (0.2%) for PA only, and 25 (0.2%) for dermatologist only. These revisions do not affect the significance of the study findings or the conclusions. In the Abstract’s Results section, the last sentence should have read: “Screenings performed by dermatologists were more likely to result in a diagnosis of melanoma in situ (58 visits [0.4%] vs 40 [0.2%], P = .04), but differences were not significant for invasive melanoma (0.2% vs 0.2% of visits, P > .99) or nonmelanoma skin cancer (6.1% vs 6.1% of visits, P = .98).” In the Visit Analyses subsection of the main text’s Results section, the third sentence should have read: “Screenings performed by dermatologists were more likely to result in a diagnosis of melanoma in situ (58 visits [0.4%] vs 40 [0.2%], P = .04), but differences were not significant for invasive melanoma (0.2% vs 0.2% of visits, P > .99) or nonmelanoma skin cancer (993 visits [6.1%] vs 1031 [6.1%, P = .98].” This article was corrected online.