between an individual’s perceptions and diabetes risk because the neighborhood measure incorporates responses from multiple respondents to better characterize the reality of the neighborhood. Our intent was to focus on objective reality to the extent possible because that is often the target for policy. Second, whether stress should be treated as a mediator or moderator of the relationship between the neighborhood environment and T2DM is likely to depend on the specific contextual exposure. Neighborhood poverty or disadvantage may very well affect T2DM through individual experiences of stress but whether the same logic applies to neighborhood supermarkets is less clear. Either way, further studies integrating individual measures of stress with neighborhood exposures are needed. Finally, we agree with the main assertion of Concha and Mezuk regarding the importance of considering stress in understanding the pathways by which physical and social environments shape and are shaped by individual behaviors. Future studies incorporating dynamic feedbacks between individuals and their environment and the role of stress in structuring the ability of individuals to perceive and use environmental resources are needed.
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CORRECTION

Error in Abstract: In the Original Investigation titled “Early Trends Among Seven Recommendations From the Choosing Wisely Campaign,” published online October 12, 2015, there was an error in the percentage given for ending utilization in the abstract. The last sentence of the Results section of the abstract should have read: “Use of preoperative chest x-rays (0.2% decrease, ending utilization 91.5%; trend estimate, 1.00 [95% CI, 1.00-1.00]; P = .70) and imaging for low back pain (53.7% utilization throughout study; P = .71) remained high with no statistically significant changes.” This article was corrected online.


Error in Table: In the Original Investigation titled “Mediterranean Diet and Invasive Breast Cancer Risk Among Women at High Cardiovascular Risk in the PREDIMED Trial: A Randomized Clinical Trial,” published online September 14, 2015, there was an error in Table 1. In column 1, the units for physical activity were stated incorrectly; this should have read “Physical activity, mean (SD), METs-min/d.” This article was corrected online.