



## Original Investigation | Urology

# Association Between Androgen Deprivation Therapy and Mortality Among Patients With Prostate Cancer and COVID-19

Andrew L. Schmidt, MD; Matthew D. Tucker, MD; Ziad Bakouny, MD; Chris Labaki, MD; Chih-Yuan Hsu, PhD; Yu Shyr, PhD; Andrew J. Armstrong, MD; Tomasz M. Beer, MD; Ragneel R. Bijjula, MD; Mehmet A. Bilen, MD; Cindy F. Connell, MD, PhD; Scott Joseph Dawsey, MD; Bryan Faller, MD; Xin Gao, MD; Benjamin A. Gartrell, MD; David Gill, MD; Shuchi Gulati, MD; Susan Halabi, PhD; Clara Hwang, MD; Monika Joshi, MD; Ali Raza Khaki, MD; Harry Menon, MD; Michael J. Morris, MD; Matthew Puc, MD; Karen B. Russell, MD; Dimpy P. Shah, MD, PhD; Neil J. Shah, MD; Nima Sharifi, MD; Justin Shaya, MD; Michael T. Schweizer, MD; John Steinharter, MD; Elizabeth M. Wulff-Burchfield, MD; Wenxin Xu, MD; Jay Zhu, PhD; Sanjay Mishra, PhD; Petros Grivas, PhD; Brian I. Rini, MD; Jeremy Lyle Warner, MD; Tian Zhang, MD; Toni K. Choueiri, MD; Shilpa Gupta, MD; Rana R. McKay, MD; for the COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium

## Abstract

**IMPORTANCE** Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) has been theorized to decrease the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with prostate cancer owing to a potential decrease in the tissue-based expression of the SARS-CoV-2 coreceptor transmembrane protease, serine 2 (TMPRSS2).

**OBJECTIVE** To examine whether ADT is associated with a decreased rate of 30-day mortality from SARS-CoV-2 infection among patients with prostate cancer.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS** This cohort study analyzed patient data recorded in the COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium registry between March 17, 2020, and February 11, 2021. The consortium maintains a centralized multi-institution registry of patients with a current or past diagnosis of cancer who developed COVID-19. Data were collected and managed using REDCap software hosted at Vanderbilt University Medical Center in Nashville, Tennessee. Initially, 1228 patients aged 18 years or older with prostate cancer listed as their primary malignant neoplasm were included; 122 patients with a second malignant neoplasm, insufficient follow-up, or low-quality data were excluded. Propensity matching was performed using the nearest-neighbor method with a 1:3 ratio of treated units to control units, adjusted for age, body mass index, race and ethnicity, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status score, smoking status, comorbidities (cardiovascular, pulmonary, kidney disease, and diabetes), cancer status, baseline steroid use, COVID-19 treatment, and presence of metastatic disease.

**EXPOSURES** Androgen deprivation therapy use was defined as prior bilateral orchiectomy or pharmacologic ADT administered within the prior 3 months of presentation with COVID-19.

**MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES** The primary outcome was the rate of all-cause 30-day mortality after COVID-19 diagnosis for patients receiving ADT compared with patients not receiving ADT after propensity matching.

**RESULTS** After exclusions, 1106 patients with prostate cancer (before propensity score matching: median age, 73 years [IQR, 65-79 years]; 561 (51%) self-identified as non-Hispanic White) were included for analysis. Of these patients, 477 were included for propensity score matching (169 who received ADT and 308 who did not receive ADT). After propensity matching, there was no significant difference in the primary end point of the rate of all-cause 30-day mortality (OR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.42-1.42).

(continued)

## Key Points

**Question** Given the higher COVID-19-related mortality rate observed among men than among women, is androgen deprivation therapy associated with decreased rate of 30-day mortality from COVID-19 among patients with prostate cancer?

**Findings** In this cohort study of 1106 patients, no statistically significant difference was found in the rates of all cause 30-day mortality following COVID-19 infection among men with prostate cancer receiving androgen deprivation therapy (15%) vs those not receiving androgen deprivation therapy (14%).

**Meaning** The findings of this cohort study do not support an association between androgen deprivation therapy and 30-day mortality among patients with COVID-19 infection.

## + Supplemental content

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

Abstract (continued)

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE** Findings from this cohort study suggest that ADT use was not associated with decreased mortality from SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, large ongoing clinical trials will provide further evidence on the role of ADT or other androgen-targeted therapies in reducing COVID-19 infection severity.

JAMA Network Open. 2021;4(11):e2134330.

Corrected on December 28, 2021. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.34330

## Introduction

Since the recognition of SARS-CoV-2 in December 2019 in Wuhan, China, COVID-19 has rapidly spread worldwide, causing widespread disease and mortality.<sup>1</sup> Patients with cancer or history of cancer experience a disproportionate burden of severe outcomes from COVID-19 infection; the risk factors associated with worse outcomes include advanced age, poor Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status, and active cancer (compared with patients in remission).<sup>2,3</sup>

Male (vs female) sex is associated with higher rates of hospitalization and admission to intensive care units from COVID-19 infection.<sup>4</sup> It has been hypothesized that the observed sex differences may be mediated through androgen regulation of cellular processes.<sup>2</sup> Androgens and the androgen-regulated transmembrane protease, serine 2 (TMPRSS2) play an important role in prostate cancer cell invasion, tumor growth, and metastasis.<sup>3,5</sup> The *TMPRSS2:ERG* gene fusion is the most frequent genomic alteration in prostate cancer, leading to an androgen-regulated fusion oncogene.<sup>6,7</sup> The TMPRSS2 protein also plays a central role in SARS-CoV-2 pathogenicity; the viral spike glycoprotein is cleaved by TMPRSS2, activating SARS-CoV-2 for virus-cell fusion.<sup>6</sup> Of substantial therapeutic interest is the potential for androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) to downregulate *TMPRSS2* transcription in pulmonary tissue and, in turn, reduce host susceptibility to or severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection.<sup>4,8</sup> Other types of therapy, such as the use of androgen receptor inhibitors (ARIs), may also exert an effect through mechanisms associated with the androgen axis or pathway.<sup>9</sup>

Thus far, clinical evidence has been discordant regarding a protective role of ADT for patients with prostate cancer who develop COVID-19. Montopoli et al<sup>10</sup> reported that the incidence of COVID-19 was markedly higher among men with prostate cancer not receiving ADT than among patients receiving ADT (odds ratio [OR], 4.05; 95% CI, 1.55-10.59; N = 118). In a single institution series in New York City (N = 58), Patel et al<sup>11</sup> reported lower rates of hospitalization and supplemental oxygen requirements for patients receiving ADT compared with patients not receiving ADT. By contrast, Klein et al<sup>12</sup> found no difference in the risk of infection for patients receiving ADT compared with those not receiving ADT (OR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.54-1.61; *P* = .80; N = 1779). Aside from patients with prostate cancer, lower baseline testosterone values are associated with more severe COVID-19 disease in men, independent of other known risk factors associated with COVID-19 severity, suggesting a contrary hypothesis that testosterone may be protective in men.<sup>13</sup>

Other systemic therapies may be important in modulating the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2. Grivas et al<sup>14</sup> reported an association between recent cytotoxic chemotherapy and adverse outcomes, but no such signal of detrimental outcomes for patients receiving endocrine therapies or immunotherapy.<sup>4,14-18</sup> Because patients with metastatic prostate cancer may receive chemotherapy or hormonal therapies with agents targeting androgen receptors during their treatment, the interaction of these treatments may have a variable association with COVID-19 severity.

Given the possibility that ADT may be associated with the modulation of outcomes from COVID-19 infection, we performed an analysis using data from the COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium (CCC19) registry to test the primary hypothesis that ADT may have an independent association with death within 30 days after COVID-19 diagnosis for patients with prostate cancer, after adjusting for a number of additional baseline confounding factors.<sup>19,20</sup>

## Methods

### Study Design

This cohort study used data from the CCC19, which maintains a centralized multi-institutional registry of patients who have COVID-19 and a current or past diagnosis of cancer. The registry schema and data format have been previously described.<sup>4,17</sup> The registry was built and is maintained as an electronic database using REDCap software at Vanderbilt University Medical Center in Nashville, Tennessee.<sup>21,22</sup> Reports for the present study were accrued from March 17, 2020, to February 11, 2021, and included patients receiving a diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection that was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction or serology tests. For propensity matching, patients without prostate cancer and those with 2 or more malignant neoplasms (synchronous or metachronous) were excluded. Reports with low-quality data (quality score >4 using our previously defined metric<sup>23</sup>) or incomplete outcome ascertainment resulting in unknown status of the primary outcome were also excluded. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline<sup>24</sup> and was approved by local institutional review boards at participating sites per institutional policy. The study was exempted by the institutional review board review of Vanderbilt University Medical Center from the requirement for obtaining informed consent because no identifiable patient information was collected. This ongoing study is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov<sup>25</sup> (NCT04354701).

### Outcome Definitions

The primary outcome was death from any cause within 30 days of COVID-19 diagnosis among patients with prostate cancer receiving ADT. The comparator was patients not reported to be receiving ADT at the time of COVID-19 infection. Models were adjusted for additional baseline factors. The secondary end point was a 5-level ordinal scale of COVID-19 severity among patients receiving ADT that was based on a patient's most severe reported disease status—defined as not admitted to the hospital (uncomplicated), admitted to the hospital, admitted to an intensive care unit, mechanically ventilated at any time after COVID-19 diagnosis, or died of any cause within 30 days of COVID-19 diagnosis. The comparator was patients not reported to be receiving ADT at the time of COVID-19 infection. Models were adjusted for additional baseline factors. We also performed a subgroup analysis to determine the comparative mortality rate within 30 days of COVID-19 diagnosis for patients receiving additional prostate cancer therapies compared with ADT alone. For the subgroup analysis, patients were grouped by first-generation ARI (ARI-1: nilutamide, bicalutamide, and flutamide), second-generation ARI (ARI-2: darolutamide, enzalutamide, and apalutamide), abiraterone acetate in combination with prednisone, and cytotoxic chemotherapy. Patient receipt of systemic therapies was defined as administration within 3 months prior to presentation with COVID-19 infection. Receipt of ADT was defined as prior bilateral orchiectomy or as a gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue or antagonist administered within 3 months of COVID-19 diagnosis given that the vast majority of administered ADT is long acting.<sup>26</sup>

### Statistical Analysis

All statistical methods were specified before database lock (February 11, 2021) and the subsequent initiation of the analysis. Standard descriptive statistics were used to summarize the baseline demographic characteristics of the cohort.

Before conducting multivariable data analyses to evaluate the primary hypothesis, we performed multiple imputation (with 10 imputations) for the missing values using additive regression, bootstrapping, and predictive mean matching. For the primary end point, to reduce the overall imbalance of the confounding variables among the study groups in this nonrandomized study (eTable 1 in Supplement 1), we used propensity score matching (PSM) to balance the covariate distributions in the 2 ADT groups. The unmatched data were not used in subsequent regression analyses.

The propensity matching used the nearest-neighbor method with a 1:3 ratio of treated units to control units and without replacement (control units were matched to only 1 treated unit each). For the 1:3 matching, we adopted variable ratio matching, that is, up to 3 control units were matched to each treated unit, an approach that has been shown to have better bias reduction properties.<sup>27</sup> The balanced covariates were age, body mass index, race and ethnicity (self-identified as Hispanic, non-Hispanic Black, and non-Hispanic White), ECOG performance status ( $\geq 2$  vs 0 or 1), smoking status (current or former vs never), comorbidities (presence vs absence for each of heart disease, lung disease, kidney disease, or diabetes), cancer status (in remission or stable vs active or progressing), baseline steroid use (prednisolone equivalent  $>10$  mg daily), COVID-19 treatment (remdesivir, hydroxychloroquine, or azithromycin), and presence of metastatic disease.

Variable selection was performed using elastic-net regularization (with a mixing parameter of 1 least absolute shrinkage and selection operator) for multivariable logistic regression models (eMethods; eFigures 2 and 3 in [Supplement 1](#)). However, the variable selection method selected different variables on different multiply imputed data sets. To determine a set of common variables for subsequent multivariable logistic regression models, we first applied the variable selection method to the 10 imputed data sets and then selected the variables that were picked more than 9 times. Analyses (PSM plus variable selection plus multivariable logistic regression analysis) were conducted for each of the 10 imputed data sets. The analyses for the secondary end point followed the same procedures as the primary end point.

In subgroup analysis, we focused on the cohort receiving ADT and compared the rates of 30-day mortality for patients receiving additional prostate cancer therapies, grouped by androgen receptor–targeted agent, abiraterone in combination with prednisone, and chemotherapy, compared with ADT alone. The analyses for the rates of 30-day mortality and the severity of COVID-19 disease in the 3 pairs of treatment comparisons followed the same procedure: missing imputation plus PSM (or without PSM) between the treatment groups of each pair comparison plus variable selection plus logistic regression analysis. All data analyses were performed using base R, version 3.6.1, and the R packages Hmisc, version 4.4.2, MatchIt, version 3.0.2, ordinalNet version 2.9, and glment, version 3.0-2 (R Project for Statistical Computing).

## Results

We identified 1228 men with a diagnosis of prostate cancer, of whom 1106 were included in our analysis after exclusions (eFigure 1 in [Supplement 1](#)). Before PSM, the median age was 73 years (IQR, 65-79 years), and 104 patients (9%) were Hispanic, 258 (23%) non-Hispanic Black, and 561 (51%) non-Hispanic White race and ethnicity (eTable 1 in [Supplement 1](#)). Overall, 266 patients (24%) had received ADT within 3 months of COVID-19 diagnosis (including 5 patients with prior bilateral orchiectomy), and 143 patients (13%) received additional prostate cancer therapies within 3 months of COVID-19 diagnosis; 158 patients (14%) died of any cause within 30 days. Additional baseline characteristics are summarized in eTable 1 in [Supplement 1](#). Before PSM, the groups were balanced between the those receiving ADT and those not receiving ADT, with the exception of a higher proportion of patients in the group receiving ADT with active cancer (216 of 266 [81%] vs 212 of 840 [25%]) and with higher rates of metastatic disease (149 of 266 [56%] vs 65 of 840 [8%]).

Before PSM, the rates of 30-day mortality were 13% (112 of 840) for patients not receiving ADT vs 17% (46 of 266) for patients receiving ADT ( $\chi^2 = 2.59$ ;  $df = 1$ ;  $P = .11$ ). After PSM, the rates of 30-day mortality were 14% (44 of 308) for patients not receiving ADT vs 15% (25 of 169) for patients receiving ADT ( $\chi^2 = 0.02$ ;  $df = 1$ ;  $P = .88$ ) (**Table 1**). The adjusted OR (aOR) for receiving ADT compared with not receiving ADT was 0.77 (95% CI, 0.42-1.42) (**Table 2**), also indicating that there was no significant difference for the primary end point of death from any cause within 30 days based on receipt of ADT.

## Sensitivity Analysis

Besides considering SD of 0.15 for PSM, we used SD of 0.2. This resulted in a larger standardized mean difference of propensity scores between the 2 ADT groups but an increase in events. With SD of 0.2, we replicated the same procedure as for the previous analysis. The results are reported in eTable 2 in [Supplement 1](#).

The regression results revealed age (per 10 years: aOR, 1.78; 95% CI, 1.30-2.46), ECOG performance status score 2 or higher (compared with ECOG score 0: aOR, 5.34; 95% CI, 2.49-11.49), receipt of hydroxychloroquine for treatment of COVID-19 (aOR, 4.33; 95% CI, 2.07-9.04), and

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of the Matched Data Based on 1 of 10 Imputed Data Sets

Characteristic	No. (%) of patients	
	Not receiving ADT (n = 308)	Receiving ADT (n = 169)
All-cause mortality at 30 d	44 (14)	25 (15)
COVID-19 severity ordinal scale		
0 (Uncomplicated)	136 (44)	66 (39)
1 (Hospitalized)	96 (31)	55 (33)
2 (Intensive care unit)	8 (3)	5 (3)
3 (Mechanical ventilation)	13 (4)	8 (5)
4 (Death within 30 d)	44 (14)	25 (15)
Unknown or missing	11 (4)	10 (6)
Age, median (IQR), y	71 (64-78)	74 (65-80)
BMI (IQR)	27.9 (25.0-31.6)	27.9 (24.7-31.7)
Race and ethnicity		
Hispanic	33 (11)	21 (12)
Non-Hispanic Black	76 (25)	36 (21)
Non-Hispanic White	169 (55)	93 (55)
Other <sup>a</sup>	30 (10)	19 (11)
ECOG performance status score		
0	102 (33)	53 (31)
1	50 (16)	40 (24)
≥2	39 (13)	27 (16)
Unknown	117 (38)	49 (29)
Smoking status		
Current or former	151 (49)	86 (51)
Comorbidity		
Cardiovascular	114 (37)	70 (41)
Pulmonary	46 (15)	21 (12)
Kidney	54 (18)	24 (14)
Diabetes	80 (26)	40 (24)
Cancer status		
Remission or NED	60 (19)	21 (12)
Active		
Progressing	47 (15)	30 (18)
Responding	34 (11)	33 (20)
Stable	109 (35)	60 (36)
Unknown	58 (19)	25 (15)
Metastatic disease, yes	85 (28)	84 (50)
Baseline corticosteroid use (>10 mg of oral prednisolone/d), yes	61 (20)	35 (21)
COVID-19 treatment administered		
Remdesivir	29 (9)	14 (8)
Hydroxychloroquine	44 (14)	26 (15)
Azithromycin	53 (17)	29 (17)

Abbreviations: ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; NED; no evaluable disease.

<sup>a</sup> Other includes American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Other Pacific Islander.

presence of metastatic disease (aOR, 2.52; 95% CI, 1.29-4.90) as factors associated with increased rates of 30-day mortality from COVID-19 infection (Table 2).

The secondary end point was a 5-level ordinal scale of COVID-19 severity based on a patient's most severe reported disease status among patients receiving ADT compared with those not receiving ADT at the time of COVID-19 infection. The analysis procedure was the same as the aforementioned, and the results are reported in Table 2. There was no significant difference when COVID-19 severity was compared between the patients receiving ADT and the patients not receiving ADT (aOR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.61-1.56).

For the subgroup analysis of 30-day mortality based on receipt of additional prostate cancer therapy (patients were grouped by receipt of ARI-1 or ARI-2, abiraterone in combination with prednisone, and chemotherapy), a descriptive analysis prior to PSM is presented in **Table 3** and in eTable 3 in **Supplement 1**. Patients receiving chemotherapy within the prior 3 months had the

**Table 2. Results of Regression Analysis for 30-Day Mortality and COVID-19 Severity**

Characteristic	Multivariable aOR (95% CI)	
	Primary outcome: 30-d mortality (binary)	Secondary outcome: COVID-19 severity (ordinal)
Received ADT		
No	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
Yes	0.77 (0.42-1.42)	0.98 (0.61-1.56)
Age (per 10-y increase)	1.78 (1.30-2.46)	1.59 (1.25-2.03)
Race and ethnicity		
Hispanic	Not selected <sup>a</sup>	Not selected <sup>a</sup>
Non-Hispanic Black	1.83 (0.95-3.53)	2.14 (1.27-3.62)
Non-Hispanic White	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
Other <sup>b</sup>	Not selected <sup>a</sup>	Not selected <sup>a</sup>
ECOG performance status		
0	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
1	Not selected <sup>a</sup>	Not selected <sup>a</sup>
≥2	5.34 (2.49-11.49)	7.16 (3.15-16.27)
Unknown	Not selected <sup>a</sup>	Not selected <sup>a</sup>
Cardiovascular comorbidity		
No	NA	1 [Reference]
Yes	Not selected <sup>a</sup>	1.46 (0.94-2.25)
Diabetes		
No	NA	1 [Reference]
Yes	Not selected <sup>a</sup>	1.71 (1.03-2.85)
Baseline corticosteroid use >10 mg of oral prednisolone/d		
No	NA	1 [Reference]
Yes	Not selected <sup>a</sup>	1.25 (0.68-2.29)
Metastatic disease		
No	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
Yes	2.52 (1.29-4.90)	1.52 (0.95-2.43)
Administered for treatment of COVID-19		
Hydroxychloroquine		
No	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
Yes	4.33 (2.07-9.04)	7.13 (3.59-14.17)
Azithromycin		
No	NA	1 [Reference]
Yes	Not selected <sup>a</sup>	1.63 (0.84-3.20)
Remdesivir		
No	NA	1 [Reference]
Yes	Not selected <sup>a</sup>	6.09 (2.71-13.68)

Abbreviations: ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; NA, not applicable.

<sup>a</sup> The variable was not selected by elastic net regularization, for example, Hispanic vs non-Hispanic White, which implies that both Hispanic and non-Hispanic White may be considered a group.

<sup>b</sup> Other includes American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Other Pacific Islander.

numerically highest reported mortality rate at 28% (7 of 25) compared with 16% (28 of 174) for patients receiving ADT, 17% (7 of 42) for patients receiving abiraterone acetate, and 16% (13 of 79) patients receiving an ARI. When each prostate cancer–specific therapy was analyzed against the reference group of patients receiving ADT (with or without other prostate cancer therapies) via logistic regression analyses with variable selection, with PSM (**Table 4**) or without PSM (eTables 4, 5, and 6 in [Supplement 1](#)), no significant difference in mortality rate was seen for any additional prostate cancer therapy.

**Table 3. COVID-19 Severity by Receipt of Additional Prostate Cancer Therapies**

COVID-19 severity ordinal scale	No. (%) of patients		
	ADT alone	ADT with additional therapy	ADT missing data on additional therapy
ARI-1 or ARI-2 (N = 266)			
No.	120	79	67
0 (Uncomplicated)	46 (38)	27 (34)	11 (16)
1 (Hospitalized)	37 (31)	27 (34)	27 (40)
2 (ICU)	3 (2)	5 (6)	21 (31)
3 (Mechanical ventilation)	7 (6)	5 (6)	0 (0)
4 (Death within 30 d)	22 (18)	13 (16)	11 (16)
Unknown or missing	5 (4)	2 (2)	5 (7)
Abiraterone in combination with prednisone (N = 266)			
No.	157	42	67
0 (Uncomplicated)	60 (38)	13 (31)	27 (40)
1 (Hospitalized)	48 (31)	16 (38)	21 (31)
2 (ICU)	7 (4)	1 (2)	0 (0)
3 (Mechanical ventilation)	9 (6)	3 (7)	3 (4)
4 (Death within 30 d)	28 (18)	7 (17)	1 (16)
Unknown or missing	5 (4)	2 (5)	5 (7)
Chemotherapy (N = 266)			
No.	174	25	67
0 (Uncomplicated)	65 (37)	8 (32)	27 (40)
1 (Hospitalized)	58 (33)	6 (24)	21 (31)
2 (ICU)	7 (4)	1 (4)	0 (0)
3 (Mechanical ventilation)	9 (5)	3 (12)	3 (4)
4 (Death within 30 d)	28 (16)	7 (28)	11 (16)
Unknown or missing	7 (4)	0 (0)	5 (7)

Abbreviations: ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; ARI, androgen receptor inhibitor; ICU, intensive care unit.

**Table 4. Results of Regression Analyses After Propensity Score Matching for 30-Day Mortality Rates Between Additional Prostate Cancer Therapies Compared With ADT**

Characteristics	Multivariable aOR (95% CI)		
	ARI	Abiraterone	Chemotherapy
Received cancer therapy			
No	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
Yes	0.64 (0.26-1.58)	0.89 (0.21-3.82)	3.37 (0.73-15.55)
Age (per 10-y increase)	2.68 (1.56-4.60)	4.61 (1.72-12.38)	1.49 (0.59-3.79)
ECOG performance status <sup>a</sup>			
0, 1, and Unknown	1 [Reference]	ND	1 [Reference]
≥2	4.63 (1.83-11.75)	ND	7.53 (1.38-41.21)
COVID-19 treatment, azithromycin			
No	ND	1 [Reference]	ND
Yes	ND	4.91 (1.12-21.58)	ND

Abbreviations: ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; ARI, androgen receptor inhibitor; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; ND, not determined.

<sup>a</sup> In ECOG Performance Status, 1 vs 0 and unknown vs 0 were not selected by elastic net regularization; thus, 0, 1, and unknown were considered as a group.



## Discussion

Given the substantial risk of COVID-19 for patients with cancer, it is essential to understand the interaction between therapies and adverse outcomes to help inform clinical decision-making. The CCC19 data set is an extensive resource detailing COVID-19 outcomes for oncology patients, with granular detail on disease- and treatment-specific variables important to the daily care of patients.<sup>17</sup>

In the present study, we used this data set, including more than 1200 patients with prostate cancer, to examine whether ADT use was associated with a lower rate of 30-day mortality from any cause and found no significant association. Although this finding does not support the hypothesis that ADT may be useful to modulate the clinical course of SARS-CoV-2 infection, further evaluation of these interventions in a controlled clinical trial setting may explain the discordance among study results. Our findings are consistent with Klein et al,<sup>12</sup> who found no significant difference, but are in contrast with study results from cohorts in Italy by Montopoli et al<sup>10</sup> and in New York City by Patel et al,<sup>11</sup> which both reported more favorable outcomes in the setting of ADT exposure.

The role of androgens in modulating host susceptibility and severity of infection from SARS-CoV-2 has generated intense research interest given the difference in outcomes between male and female patients after SARS-CoV-2 infection and the potential therapeutic significance if intervention with androgen directed therapies can alter COVID-19 outcomes. There are, however, numerous factors that may explain a sex bias in outcomes. Differences between female and male innate and adaptive immune systems,<sup>28</sup> not all of which are subject to androgen regulation, may be involved. For example, estrogen levels, which are higher in women, may play a protective role in the immune system. Varying social practices and sex- and gender-based differences in comorbidities may also be responsible for some of the observed difference. Although androgen-mediated immune regulation is proposed as a potential explanation for sex-discordant outcomes, modulation through ADT or androgen-targeted therapies may be ineffective on clinical end points or processes responsible for gender differences independent of the proposed androgen signaling hypothesis. Notably, the previous observation that ARIs (such as enzalutamide) may inhibit the expression of TMPRSS2 in prostate cancer cells (the originating preclinical findings supporting the exploration of ADT and ARI in COVID-19) may not be relevant in pulmonary tissue, which is an anatomic site very relevant to the development of complications from SARS-CoV-2.<sup>29</sup> Baratchian et al<sup>30</sup> also found no evidence for increased TMPRSS2 expression in the lungs of male vs female patients or mice and an inability for treatment with enzalutamide to decrease pulmonary TMPRSS2 levels. Furthermore, there is no difference in pulmonary TMPRSS2 expression in immunohistochemical studies comparing men and women.<sup>30</sup>

There are characteristic differences between patients who received ADT, its use being limited to patients with active cancer (in the setting of intermediate, high-risk localized; biochemically recurrent; or metastatic disease), and patients who did not receive therapy but who had a history of prostate cancer and have been cured, are in remission, or have recurrent disease suitable for observation. Potential confounding may come from additional systemic therapies, such as chemotherapy (accounting for 25 of 266 patients in the present study cohort receiving ADT), which may cause immunosuppression and may lead to a less robust immune response against the virus. Data from the entire CCC19 cohort have been used to interrogate this potential confounder. Wise-Draper et al<sup>31</sup> reported an increased rate of 30-day mortality among inpatients who had received chemotherapy less than 2 weeks prior to a COVID-19 diagnosis. In a larger analysis, Grivas et al<sup>14</sup> reported an association between chemotherapy administered within 3 months of COVID-19 presentation and increased rate of 30-day mortality (aOR, 1.61; 95% CI, 1.15-2.24). Our analysis regarding chemotherapy specific to patients with prostate cancer included insufficient numbers to independently test this hypothesis without incurring wide 95% CIs.



## Limitations and Strengths

The limitations of this study include lack of testosterone levels to measure the effectiveness of ADT, retrospective design, lack of randomization and stratification, dependency on clinically annotated data (which means that potentially important variables may have not been collected), and missing and unknown data that may have associations with the results despite the robust attempt to account for them. Patients may have received ADT outside the strict treatment definition (>3 months prior to COVID-19 presentation with a castration level of testosterone) or may have been treated with intermittent ADT and still have been counted in the cohort not receiving ADT, although this scenario likely represents a small number of patients. A number of relevant selection and confounding factors, which cannot be completely matched for, may explain the differences between the patients receiving ADT and those not receiving ADT, such as the symptomatic burden of metastatic disease or the presence of active prostate cancer, which are clinical indications for patients to receive ADT, especially given that the cause of death could not always be fully ascertained. The evolving capacity and bandwidth of health care systems, the virulence of SARS-CoV-2, and other potential confounders were difficult to account for in our study. Most patients received additional prostate cancer therapies, but the majority of those treatments were directed against the androgen axis and would be expected to act in a similar fashion to ADT. Given the wide 95% CI (0.42-1.42) for the rate of all-cause 30-day mortality in the present study, a smaller effect size may be apparent that we did not have the statistical power to identify based on our sample size and the number of events. The strengths of this study included the granular details regarding prostate cancer-specific and COVID-19-specific variables, rigorous data quality control, and large patient numbers across numerous sites.

## Conclusions

After PSM, no significant difference in the all-cause 30-day mortality rate following COVID-19 infection or in COVID-19 severity was associated with the receipt of ADT. These findings do not support the hypothesis that ADT may be useful in reducing the mortality or severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection. We await the results of ongoing prospective studies exploring the role of ADT in modulating the course and outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

## ARTICLE INFORMATION

**Accepted for Publication:** September 15, 2021.

**Published:** November 12, 2021. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.34330

**Correction:** This article was corrected on December 28, 2021, to add group name, author, author affiliation, academic degrees, nonauthor collaborators, disclosure, and grant/support information.

**Open Access:** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License](#). © 2021 Schmidt AL et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

**Corresponding Author:** Rana R. McKay, MD, Genitourinary Oncology Lead, Moores Cancer Center, University of California, San Diego, 3855 Health Sciences Drive, No. 0987, La Jolla, CA 92093 ([rmckay@ucsd.edu](mailto:rmckay@ucsd.edu)).

**Author Affiliations:** Lank Center for Genitourinary Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts (Schmidt, Bakouny, Labaki, Steinharter, Xu, Choueiri); Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee (Tucker, Hsu, Shyr, Mishra, Rini, Warner); Duke Cancer Institute Center for Prostate and Urologic Cancer, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina (Armstrong, Halabi, Zhang); Oregon Health and Science University Knight Cancer Institute, Portland (Beer); UPMC Western Maryland, Cumberland (Bijjula); Winship Cancer Institute of Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia (Bilen); Penn State Cancer Institute, Hershey, Pennsylvania (Connell, Zhu); Cleveland Clinic Taussig Cancer Institute, Cleveland, Ohio (Dawsey, Joshi, Menon, Sharifi, Gupta); Missouri Baptist Medical Center, St Louis (Fallor); Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston (Gao); Montefiore Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York (Gartrell); Intermountain Healthcare, Salt Lake City, Utah (Gill); University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, Ohio (Gulati); Henry Ford Cancer Institute, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, Michigan (Hwang); University of Washington, Seattle Cancer Care Alliance, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle (Khaki, Schweizer, Grivas); Stanford University, Stanford, California (Khaki);

Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York (Morris, N. J. Shah); Virtua Health Network, Marlton, New Jersey (Puc); Tallahassee Memorial Healthcare, Tallahassee, Florida (Russell); University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio (D. P. Shah); Moores Cancer Center, University of California, San Diego (Shaya, McKay); University of Kansas Medical Center, Westwood (Wulff-Burchfield).

**Author Contributions:** Drs Shyr and Warner had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Drs Schmidt and Tucker contributed equally and are considered co-first authors. Drs Gupta and McKay contributed equally and are considered co-senior authors.

**Concept and design:** Schmidt, Tucker, Bakouny, Labaki, Connell, Gartrell, Joshi, Menon, D. P. Shah, Shaya, Schweizer, Wulff-Burchfield, Rini, Warner, Zhang, Choueiri, Gupta, McKay.

**Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data:** Schmidt, Tucker, Bakouny, Labaki, Hsu, Shyr, Armstrong, Beer, Bijjula, Bilen, Dawsey, Faller, Gao, Gartrell, Gill, Gulati, Halabi, Hwang, Joshi, Khaki, Menon, Morris, Puc, Russell, D. P. Shah, N. J. Shah, Sharifi, Shaya, Schweizer, Steinharter, Wulff-Burchfield, Xu, Zhu, Mishra, Grivas, Warner, Zhang, Gupta, McKay.

**Drafting of the manuscript:** Schmidt, Tucker, Bakouny, Labaki, Hsu, Armstrong, Beer, Bilen, Gulati, Rini, Warner, McKay.

**Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content:** Schmidt, Tucker, Bakouny, Labaki, Shyr, Armstrong, Beer, Bijjula, Bilen, Connell, Dawsey, Faller, Gao, Gartrell, Gill, Gulati, Halabi, Hwang, Joshi, Khaki, Menon, Morris, Puc, Russell, D. P. Shah, N. J. Shah, Sharifi, Shaya, Schweizer, Steinharter, Wulff-Burchfield, Xu, Zhu, Mishra, Grivas, Rini, Warner, Zhang, Choueiri, Gupta, McKay.

**Statistical analysis:** Schmidt, Bakouny, Labaki, Hsu, Shyr, Beer, Halabi, D. P. Shah, N. J. Shah, Zhu, Warner.

**Obtained funding:** D. P. Shah, Warner.

**Administrative, technical, or material support:** Tucker, Labaki, Armstrong, Dawsey, Gao, Hwang, Morris, Russell, Mishra, Rini, Warner, Choueiri, Gupta, McKay.

**Supervision:** Schmidt, Shyr, Armstrong, Bilen, Faller, Joshi, N. J. Shah, Steinharter, Grivas, Rini, Choueiri, McKay.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Dr Bakouny reported grants from Genentech/imCORE; nonfinancial support from Bristol Myers Squibb; and personal fees from UpToDate outside the submitted work. Dr Shyr reported grants from the National Cancer Institute during the conduct of the study. Dr Armstrong reported grants from Bayer, Janssen, and Pfizer/Astellas; and personal fees from Bayer, Janssen, and Pfizer/Astellas outside the submitted work. Dr Beer reported grants paid to his institution from Alliance Foundation Trials, Astellas Pharma, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Corcept Therapeutics, Endocyte Inc, Freenome, Grail Inc, Harpoon Therapeutics, Janssen Research and Development, Medivation Inc, Sotio, Theraclone Sciences/OncoResponse, and Zenith Epigenetics; personal fees from Arvinas, Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Clovis Oncology, Constellation, GlaxoSmithKline, Grail Inc, Janssen, Merck & Co, Myovant Sciences, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi, and Tolero; and stock ownership in Arvinas Inc and Salarius Pharmaceuticals outside the submitted work. Dr Bilen reported grants to his institution from AAA, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Genentech/Roche, Genome and Company, Incyte, Nektar, Peloton Therapeutics, Pfizer, SeaGen, Tricon Pharmaceuticals, and Xencor outside the submitted work; and personal fees from AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Calithera Biosciences, Eisai, EMD Serono, Exelixis, Genomic Health, Janssen, Nektar, Pfizer, Sanofi, and SeaGen outside the submitted work. Dr Gill reported personal fees from Amgen and personal fees from Pfizer outside the submitted work. Dr Gulati reported grants to her institution from AstraZeneca outside the submitted work. Dr Hwang reported funding from the Henry Ford Cancer Institute; grants from AstraZeneca, Bayer, and Merck & Co; grants to her institution from AstraZeneca, Bausch, Bayer, Dendreon, Exelixis, Genentech, and Merck & Co; personal fees from Astellas, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Dendreon, EMD Serono, Exelixis, Genentech, Janssen Scientific, Medivation, and Sanofi/Genzyme outside the submitted work; and stock ownership in Johnson and Johnson by an immediate family member. Dr Joshi reported grants from AstraZeneca and Pfizer; grants to his institution from Bayer, Endocyte, Corcept, Janssen, Progenics, and Roche/Genentech; personal fees from Bayer and Sanofi outside the submitted work; personal fees from Athenex, Curium, Exelixis, and ORIC; and being an uncompensated consultant for Advanced Accelerator Applications, Bayer, Endocyte, Janssen, Lantheus, Novartis, and Progenics and an advisory board member for Seagen. Dr Khaki reported stock ownership in Merck & Co and Sanofi stock outside the submitted work. Dr Morris reported personal fees from AstraZeneca, Athenex, Curium, Exelixis, and Oric Pharmaceuticals outside the submitted work. Dr D. P. Shah reported grants from the American Cancer Society and the Hope Foundation for Cancer Research during the conduct of the study. Dr N. J. Shah reported grants from Aravive; and personal fees from Merck & Co outside the submitted work. Dr Schweizer reported funds to his institution from AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Elevate Bio, Hoffmann-La Roche, Immunomedics, Janssen, Madison Vaccines, Merck & Co, Pfizer, Tmunity, and Zenith Epigenetics; and personal fees from AstraZeneca, Janssen, Pharmalyn, and Resverlogix outside the submitted work. Dr Wulff-Burchfield reported personal fees from Astellas, Bristol Myers Squibb; being on the advisory board for Exelixis; grants from Pfizer outside the submitted

work; grants from Pfizer Global Medical; family members with stock ownership in Immunomedics and Nektar. Dr Xu reported grants from The ASCO Conquer Cancer Foundation outside the submitted work. Dr Mishra reported grants from National Cancer Institute during the conduct of the study; and personal fees from National Geographic outside the submitted work. Dr Grivas reported grants to his institution from Bavarian Nordic, Bristol Myers Squibb, Clovis Oncology, Debiopharm, GlaxoSmithKline, Immunomedics, Kure It Cancer Research, Merck & Co, Mirati Therapeutics, Pfizer, and QED Therapeutics; and personal fees from Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Clovis Oncology, Dyania Health, Driver, EMD Serono, Exelixis, Foundation Medicine, Genentech/Roche, Genzyme, GlaxoSmithKline, Guardant Health, Heron Therapeutics, Immunomedics/Gilead, Infinity Pharmaceuticals, Janssen, Merck & Co, Mirati Therapeutics, Pfizer, QED Therapeutics, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Seattle Genetics, and 4D Pharma PLC outside the submitted work. Dr Warner reported grants from the National Institute of Cancer during the conduct of the study; grants from AACR; personal fees from Roche and Westat; and ownership of HemOnc.org LLC outside the submitted work. Dr Zhang reported grants to his institution from AbbVie/Stemcentrx, Acerta, Astellas, Merck & Co, Janssen, Merrimack, Mirati Therapeutics, Novartis, OmniSeq, PGDx, Pfizer, and Regeneron outside the submitted work; having a spouse who is a stockholder/employee for Capio Biosciences and Archimmune Therapeutics and a stockholder/consultant for Nanorobotics; consulting/speaking with Genomic Health and Sanofi Aventis; consulting/advisory board with Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Calithera, Dendreon, Foundation Medicine, Janssen, MJH Associates, and Pfizer; and personal fees from Aptitude Health, Aravive, Bristol Myers Squibb, Dendreon, Eisai, Exelixis, Janssen, Merck & Co, MJH Associates, Pacific Genuity, Pfizer, QED Therapeutics, Sanofi-Aventis, and SeaGen outside the submitted work. Dr Choueiri reported nonfinancial support from COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium (CCC19) steering committee, and ESMO-CoCare steering committee during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Bristol Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly and Company, Exelixis, Merck & Co, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche/Genentech, and UpToDate; and participating in the European Society for Medical Oncology and the American Society of Clinical Oncology planning committees and the Genitourinary Steering Committee of the National Institute of Cancer. Dr Gupta reported grants to her institution from AstraZeneca and Isoray; and personal fees from AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Exelixis, Janssen, Merck & Co, Pfizer, and Seattle Genetics outside the submitted work. Dr McKay reported research funding from Bayer, Pfizer, and Tempus; and personal fees from AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Calithera, Caris, Dendreon, Exelixis, Janssen, Johnson and Johnson, Merck & Co, Myovant, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi, Sorrento Therapeutics, Tempus, and Vividion. No other disclosures were reported.

**Funding/Support:** Vanderbilt Institute for Clinical and Translational Research developed and supports REDCap through grant UL1 TR000445 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. This study was partly supported by the National Cancer Institute grant P30 CA068485 to Drs Hsu, Rini, Warner, Mishra, and Shyr. This study was partly supported by grants from the American Cancer Society and Hope Foundation for Cancer Research (MRSG-16-152-01-CCE) and the National Institutes of Health (P30CA054174) to Dr D. P. Shah. This study was supported by Henry Ford Cancer Institute research funds to Dr Rini.

**Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

**Group Information:** The members of the COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium are listed in [Supplement 2](#).

**Meeting Presentation:** This paper was presented at the American Society of Clinical Oncology Genitourinary Cancers Symposium; February 11, 2021; virtual.

**Additional Contributions:** We thank all members of the CCC19 steering committee: Toni K. Choueiri, Narjust Duma, Dimitrios Farmakiotis, Petros Grivas, Gilberto de Lima Lopes Jr, Corrie A. Painter, Solange Peters, Brian I. Rini, Dimpy P. Shah, Michael A. Thompson, and Jeremy L. Warner, for their invaluable guidance of the CCC19.

## REFERENCES

1. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al; China Novel Coronavirus Investigating and Research Team. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(8):727-733. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2001017
2. Bhopal SS, Bhopal R. Sex differential in COVID-19 mortality varies markedly by age. *Lancet*. 2020;396(10250):532-533. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31748-7
3. Lucas JM, Heinlein C, Kim T, et al. The androgen-regulated protease TMPRSS2 activates a proteolytic cascade involving components of the tumor microenvironment and promotes prostate cancer metastasis. *Cancer Discov*. 2014;4(11):1310-1325. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-13-1010
4. Peckham H, de Grijter NM, Raine C, et al. Male sex identified by global COVID-19 meta-analysis as a risk factor for death and ICU admission. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11:6317. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19741-6

5. Chalmers ZR, Burns MC, Ebot EM, et al. Early-onset metastatic and clinically advanced prostate cancer is a distinct clinical and molecular entity characterized by increased *TMPRSS2-ERG* fusions. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis*. 2021;24(2):558-566. doi:10.1038/s41391-020-00314-z
6. Lin B, Ferguson C, White JT, et al. Prostate-localized and androgen-regulated expression of the membrane-bound serine protease *TMPRSS2*. *Cancer Res*. 1999;59(17):4180-4184.
7. Pettersson A, Graff RE, Bauer SR, et al. The *TMPRSS2:ERG* rearrangement, ERG expression, and prostate cancer outcomes: a cohort study and meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2012;21(9):1497-1509. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-0042
8. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and *TMPRSS2* and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. *Cell*. 2020;181(2):271-280. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052
9. Samuel RM, Majd H, Richter MN, et al. Androgen signaling regulates SARS-CoV-2 receptor levels and is associated with severe COVID-19 symptoms in men. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2020;27(6):876-889. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2020.11.009
10. Montopoli M, Zumerle S, Vettor R, et al. Androgen-deprivation therapies for prostate cancer and risk of infection by SARS-CoV-2: a population-based study (N = 4532). *Ann Oncol*. 2020;31(8):1040-1045. doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2020.04.479
11. Patel VG, Zhong X, Liaw B, et al. Does androgen deprivation therapy protect against severe complications from COVID-19? *Ann Oncol*. 2020;31(10):1419-1420. doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2020.06.023
12. Klein EA, Li J, Milinovich A, et al. Androgen deprivation therapy in men with prostate cancer does not affect risk of infection with SARS-CoV-2. *J Urol*. 2021;205(2):441-443. doi:10.1097/JU.0000000000001338
13. Dhindsa S, Zhang N, McPhaul MJ, et al. Association of circulating sex hormones with inflammation and disease severity in patients with COVID-19. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(5):e2111398. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.11398
14. Grivas P, Khaki AR, Wise-Draper TM, et al. Association of clinical factors and recent anticancer therapy with COVID-19 severity among patients with cancer: a report from the COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium. *Ann Oncol*. 2021;32(6):787-800. doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2021.02.024
15. Dai M, Liu D, Liu M, et al. Patients with cancer appear more vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2: a multicenter study during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Cancer Discov*. 2020;10(6):783-791.
16. Westblade LF, Brar G, Pinheiro LC, et al. SARS-CoV-2 viral load predicts mortality in patients with and without cancer who are hospitalized with COVID-19. *Cancer Cell*. 2020;38(5):661-671. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.09.007
17. Kuderer NM, Choueiri TK, Shah DP, et al; COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium. Clinical impact of COVID-19 on patients with cancer (CCC19): a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10241):1907-1918. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31187-9
18. Lee LYW, Cazier J-B, Angelis V, et al; UK Coronavirus Monitoring Project Team. COVID-19 mortality in patients with cancer on chemotherapy or other anticancer treatments: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10241):1919-1926. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31173-9
19. Deng Q, Rasool RU, Russell RM, Natesan R, Asangani IA. Targeting androgen regulation of *TMPRSS2* and ACE2 as a therapeutic strategy to combat COVID-19. *iScience*. 2021;24(3):102254. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2021.102254
20. Qiao Y, Wang XM, Mannan R, et al. Targeting transcriptional regulation of SARS-CoV-2 entry factors *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2020;118:e2021450118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2021450118
21. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. 2009;42(2):377-381. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010
22. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al; REDCap Consortium. The REDCap Consortium: building an international community of software platform partners. *J Biomed Inform*. 2019;95:103208. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208
23. Abidi M, Aboulafia DM, Accordino MK, et al; COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium. A systematic framework to rapidly obtain data on patients with cancer and COVID-19: CCC19 governance, protocol, and quality assurance. *Cancer Cell*. 2020;38(6):761-766. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.10.022
24. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP; STROBE Initiative. The Strengthening of Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;147(8):573-577. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-8-200710160-00010
25. The COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium (CCC19) Registry. ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04354701. Updated September 9, 2021. Accessed September 27, 2021. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04354701>

26. Crawford ED, Heidenreich A, Lawrentschuk N, et al. Androgen-targeted therapy in men with prostate cancer: evolving practice and future considerations. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis*. 2019;22(1):24-38. doi:10.1038/s41391-018-0079-0
27. Ming K, Rosenbaum PR. Substantial gains in bias reduction from matching with a variable number of controls. *Biometrics*. 2000;56(1):118-124. doi:10.1111/j.0006-341X.2000.00118.x
28. Butterworth M, McClellan B, Allansmith M. Influence of sex in immunoglobulin levels. *Nature*. 1967;214(5094):1224-1225. doi:10.1038/2141224a0
29. Li F, Han M, Dai P, et al. Distinct mechanisms for TMPRSS2 expression explain organ-specific inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 infection by enzalutamide. *Nat Commun*. 2021;12(1):866. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21171-x
30. Baratchian M, McManus JM, Berk MP, et al. Androgen regulation of pulmonary AR, TMPRSS2 and ACE2 with implications for sex-discordant COVID-19 outcomes. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):11130. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-90491-1
31. Wise-Draper TM, Desai A, Elkrief A, Rini BI, Flora DB, Bowles DW, et al. LBA71 systemic cancer treatment-related outcomes in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection: a CCC19 registry analysis. *Ann Oncol*. 2020;31(suppl 4):S1201. doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2020.08.2312

## SUPPLEMENT 1.

### eMethods.

**eTable 1.** Descriptive Statistics by ADT Exposure State Before Matching

**eTable 2.** Results of Regression Analysis for 30-Day Mortality and COVID-19 Severity

**eTable 3.** Descriptive Statistics in the ADT Cohort

**eTable 4.** Results of Regression Analysis for 30-Day Mortality Between ADT + ARI Compared to ADT, Adjusting for the Variables Selected by the Elastic-Net Regularization With a Mixing Parameter of 1 (LASSO)

**eTable 5.** Results of Regression Analysis for 30-Day Mortality Between ADT + Abiraterone Compared to ADT, Adjusting for the Variables Selected by the Elastic-Net Regularization With a Mixing Parameter of 1 (LASSO)

**eTable 6.** Results of Regression Analysis for 30-Day Mortality Between ADT + Chemotherapy Compared to ADT, Adjusting for the Variables Selected by the Elastic-Net Regularization With a Mixing Parameter of 1 (LASSO)

**eFigure 1.** Patient Selection

**eFigure 2.** Loss of Dead30 Events (All Cause 30-Day Mortality) and Standardized Mean Difference of Propensity Scores Between the 2 ADT Groups (on ADT and Not on ADT)

**eFigure 3.** Distributions of Propensity Scores of Patients on ADT and Not on ADT Before and After Matching

## SUPPLEMENT 2.

### Nonauthor Collaborators