Androgen Receptor Splice Variant 7 and Efficacy of Taxane Chemotherapy in Patients With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer
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IMPORTANCE We previously showed that detection of androgen receptor splice variant 7 (AR-V7) in circulating tumor cells (CTCs) from men with castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) was associated with primary resistance to enzalutamide and abiraterone therapy, but the relevance of AR-V7 status in the context of chemotherapy is unknown.

OBJECTIVE To investigate whether AR-V7–positive patients would retain sensitivity to taxane chemotherapy and whether AR-V7 status would have a differential impact on taxane-treated men compared with enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated men.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS We examined CTCs for AR-V7 mRNA using a reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction assay. From January 2013 to July 2014, we prospectively enrolled patients with metastatic CRPC initiating taxane chemotherapy (docetaxel or cabazitaxel) at a single academic institution (Johns Hopkins). Our prespecified statistical plan required a sample size of 36 taxane-treated men.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES We evaluated associations between AR-V7 status and prostate-specific antigen (PSA) response rates, PSA progression-free survival (PSA PFS), and clinical and/or radiographic progression-free survival (PFS). After incorporating updated data from our prior study of 62 patients treated with enzalutamide or abiraterone, we also investigated the interaction between AR-V7 status (positive or negative) and treatment type (taxane vs enzalutamide or abiraterone).

RESULTS Of 37 taxane-treated patients enrolled, 17 (46%) had detectable AR-V7 in CTCs. Prostate-specific antigen responses were achieved in both AR-V7–positive and AR-V7–negative men (41% vs 65%; P = .19). Similarly, PSA PFS (hazard ratio [HR], 1.7, 95% CI, 0.6-5.0; P = .32) and PFS (HR, 2.7, 95% CI, 0.8-8.8; P = .11) were comparable in AR-V7–positive and AR-V7–negative patients. A significant interaction was observed between AR-V7 status and treatment type (P < .001). Clinical outcomes were superior with taxanes compared with enzalutamide or abiraterone, we also investigated the interaction between AR-V7 status (positive or negative) and treatment type (taxane vs enzalutamide or abiraterone).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Detection of AR-V7 in CTCs from men with metastatic CRPC is not associated with primary resistance to taxane chemotherapy. In AR-V7–positive men, taxanes appear to be more efficacious than enzalutamide or abiraterone therapy, whereas in AR-V7–negative men, taxanes and enzalutamide or abiraterone may have comparable efficacy. Circulating tumor cell–based AR-V7 detection may serve as a treatment selection biomarker in CRPC.
There are currently 6 available therapies for the treatment of castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), all of which have produced survival improvements. These therapies fall into 4 classes: androgen receptor (AR)-directed therapies (abiraterone acetate, enzalutamide), taxane chemotherapies (docetaxel, cabazitaxel), immunotherapies (sipuleucel-T), and bone-targeting radiopharmaceuticals (radium-223). Of these, the most widely used are the AR-targeting therapies and the chemotherapies. However, mechanisms of response and resistance to these therapies remain poorly understood. Furthermore, predictive biomarkers aiding in treatment selection (ie, selecting for or against a particular therapy) are still lacking, although prognostic markers are abundant.

We have recently shown that AR splice variants, in particular AR variant 7 (AR-V7), are strongly associated with primary resistance to abiraterone and enzalutamide therapy in men with CRPC. Androgen receptor variant 7 (AR-V7) is an alternatively spliced isoform of the AR that encodes a truncated AR protein lacking the C-terminal ligand-binding domain but retaining the transactivating N-terminal domain. Although these AR-Vs are unable to bind to the ligand (eg, dihydrotestosterone), they are constitutively active and capable of promoting transcription of target genes. To investigate the clinical relevance of AR-Vs in CRPC, we previously developed a circulating tumor cell (CTC)-based assay to interrogate AR-V7 in men undergoing therapy with abiraterone (an androgen synthesis inhibitor) or enzalutamide (an AR antagonist). We demonstrated that detection of AR-V7 in CTCs from such patients was associated with lack of a prostate-specific antigen (PSA) response and that AR-V7-positive patients had shorter progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) than AR-V7-negative men.

Recent preclinical data have emerged suggesting that taxane chemotherapies may exert their antitumor activity in CRPC (at least partially) by impairing AR signaling along the microtubule network, thereby sequestering AR in the cytoplasm. In addition, it has been shown that in patients with taxane-sensitive disease, treatment produces microtubule bundling resulting in exclusion of the AR from the nucleus. Conversely, AR often remains capable of trafficking into the nucleus despite therapy in patients with taxane-resistant disease. Furthermore, in certain xenograft mouse models it has been suggested that some AR splice variants may promote resistance to taxane chemotherapies while others may be compatible with taxane sensitivity. However, the clinical significance of AR-Vs in patients receiving taxanes is unknown.

The present study aimed to prospectively evaluate the predictive impact of AR-Vs in men with CRPC undergoing taxane chemotherapy. We hypothesized that men with detectable CTC-derived AR-V7 would retain sensitivity to taxanes and that AR-V7 status would have a differential effect on taxane-treated men vs enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated men. Herein, we show that detection of AR-V7 is not associated with primary resistance to taxane chemotherapy and that taxanes may have superior efficacy compared with AR-targeting agents in AR-V7-positive patients.

At a Glance
- Androgen receptor splice variant 7 (AR-V7) is associated with resistance to enzalutamide and abiraterone, but its relevance in the context of taxane chemotherapy is unknown.
- Detection of AR-V7 in circulating tumor cells from men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer is not associated with primary resistance to taxane chemotherapy. AR-V7-positive patients may retain sensitivity to taxanes.
- In AR-V7-positive men, taxanes may be more efficacious than AR-directed agents (enzalutamide and abiraterone).
- In AR-V7-negative men, taxanes appear to have comparable efficacy to AR-directed agents.
- Androgen receptor splice variant 7 may serve as a treatment selection marker in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer.

Methods

Patients

The study enrolled men with metastatic CRPC who were beginning standard-of-care treatment with docetaxel or cabazitaxel. Patients were required to have histologically confirmed prostate adenocarcinoma, progressive disease despite “castration levels” of serum testosterone (<50 ng/dL), and documented radiographic metastases on computed tomography (CT) or technetium-99 bone scans. Patients were required to have at least 3 increasing serum PSA values taken at least 2 weeks apart with the last value being at least 2.0 ng/mL, consistent with the Prostate Cancer Working Group (PCWG2) guidelines. Patients were excluded if they planned to receive additional concurrent anticancer therapies (standard or investigational) during the course of taxane treatment. Prior treatment with abiraterone and/or enzalutamide was permitted, as was previous treatment with docetaxel among men starting cabazitaxel therapy (consistent with the labeled indication). The study was approved by the Johns Hopkins University institutional review board, and patients provided written informed consent.

Study Design

This was a prospective study evaluating the ability of baseline AR-V7 status to predict sensitivity or resistance to taxane agents. Patients who were about to begin docetaxel or cabazitaxel chemotherapy were enrolled and underwent peripheral blood CTC sampling at up to 3 time points: at baseline, at the time of a clinical and/or biochemical response (if a response occurred), and at the time of clinical and/or radiographic progression. Docetaxel was administered at a dose of 75 mg/m² intravenously every 3 weeks, and cabazitaxel was given at a dose of 25 mg/m² intravenously every 3 weeks (both with prednisone 5 mg twice daily).

Follow-up was prospectively defined: patients had PSA measurements every 1 to 2 months, as well as CT (chest/abdomen/pelvis) and technetium-99 bone scans every 2 to 4 months. Therapy with docetaxel or cabazitaxel was continued until PSA progression or clinical and/or radiographic pro-
gunression, or until patients developed unmanageable drug-related toxic effects.

**CTC-Based AR-V7 Detection**

The CTC analyses were conducted using a modification of the commercially available AdnaTest platform (Qiagen), as previously described.\(^1\) Isolation and enrichment of CTCs was performed using the ProstateCancerSelect kit, and mRNA expression analysis were performed using the ProstateCancerDetect kit with multiplexed reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction primers to establish the presence or absence of CTCs. Custom primers were used to detect the full-length AR (AR-FL) mRNA and AR-V7 mRNA, as previously described.\(^1\) The relative abundance of AR-V7 was determined by calculating the ratio of AR-V7 transcript to AR-FL transcript.

**Outcome Measures**

The primary end point was PSA response: the proportion of patients who achieved at least a 50% PSA level decline from baseline at any time point after therapy (and maintained it for ≥3 weeks). Secondary end points included PSA PFS and clinical and/or radiographic PFS (referred to hereafter as PFS). Overall survival was an exploratory end point. Prostate-specific antigen progression was defined as at least a 25% increase in PSA level from nadir (and by ≥2 ng/mL), requiring confirmation at least 3 weeks later (PCWG2 criteria).\(^2\) Clinical and/or radiographic progression was defined as symptomatic progression (worsening disease-related symptoms or new cancer-related complications), radiologic progression (on CT scan, ≥20% enlargement in sum diameter of soft-tissue target lesions [RECIST (Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors) criteria\(^3\)]; on bone scan, ≥2 new bone lesions), or death, whichever occurred first.\(^3\) Overall survival was defined as the time to death from any cause.

**Statistical Analyses**

Sample size was determined on the basis of the primary end point of PSA response, assuming that 30% of men would be AR-V7 positive at baseline. In our prior study,\(^1\) enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated patients showed a difference in PSA response rates between AR-V7–positive and AR-V7–negative patients of 61% (95% CI, 43%-80%). Because we hypothesized here that the impact of AR-V7 status would be smaller in the context of taxane-treated patients compared with enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated patients, we sought a much smaller difference in PSA response rates such that the upper bound of the 95% CI for the difference was less than 61% (the point estimate from our previous study). Accordingly, a sample size of 36 patients produced a 2-sided 95% CI for the difference in PSA response rates between AR-V7–positive and AR-V7–negative patients with an upper bound of 60%, when the observed absolute difference is 30% (45% PSA response rate in AR-V7–negative men and 15% in AR-V7–positive men).

Clinical outcomes in taxane-treated men were compared between AR-V7–positive and AR-V7–negative patients. The PSA response rates were compared using the Fisher exact test. Time-to-event outcomes (PSA PFS, PFS, OS) were evaluated using Kaplan-Meier analysis, and survival time differences were compared using the log-rank test. Univariate and multivariable logistic regression analyses (for PSA response) and Cox regression analyses (for time-to-event end points) were used to assess the effect of AR-V7 status in predicting clinical outcomes. Because of the small sample size and limited number of events, each multivariable model included only 3 covariates (AR-V7 status, AR-FL expression levels, and prior use of abiraterone and/or enzalutamide). These 3 variables were strongly associated with clinical outcomes in our prior study of AR-V7.\(^1\)

We then incorporated updated data on PSA responses, PSA PFS, PFS, and OS from our prior study of enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated patients (n = 62) to compare the impact of AR-V7 status (ie, its ability to differentiate patients with a poor prognosis from those with a good prognosis) in the context of taxane chemotherapy vs AR-directed therapy. Specifically, we tested the interaction between AR-V7 status (positive or negative) and treatment type (taxane vs enzalutamide or abiraterone) with respect to PSA responses, PSA PFS, PFS, and OS. Univariate and multivariable Cox regression analyses were used to assess the interaction of AR-V7 status and treatment type with respect to the time-to-event outcomes; each multivariable model included 6 covariates (AR-V7 status, treatment type, AR-FL expression levels, prior use of chemotherapy, prior use of enzalutamide or abiraterone, and the interaction of AR-V7 status and treatment type).

After observing significant results from the interaction tests, we performed subgroup analyses to evaluate the efficacy of different treatment types (taxane vs abiraterone or enzalutamide) in AR-V7–positive and AR-V7–negative men separately. Univariate and multivariable Cox regression analyses were used to assess the independent effect of treatment type within each AR-V7 subgroup. Multivariable models (constructed separately for each AR-V7 subgroup) included 3 covariates: treatment type, AR-FL expression levels, and prior use of enzalutamide or abiraterone.

All statistical tests were 2-sided, and \(P ≤ .05\) was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the R software, version 2.15.1.

The clinical investigators were blinded to the AR-V7 data. The laboratory investigators were blinded to the clinical information when determining AR-V7 status. The study statisticians were the first to unblind the data, after at least 36 patients had been enrolled.

**Results**

**Patients**

From January 2013 to July 2014, we prospectively enrolled 37 CTC-positive patients; 30 received docetaxel and 7 received cabazitaxel. Forty-three patients were screened to identify 37 men with detectable CTCs (86% yield; CTC-negative patients were excluded from further analysis). At the data cutoff date (September 1, 2014), median (range) follow-up among all taxane-treated patients was 7.7 (0.7-19.0) months. Seventeen (46%) of the 37 men had detectable AR-V7 in their baseline CTC samples. In these patients, the median (range) AR-V7/AR-FL
The prevalence of AR-V7 was influenced by prior use of enzalutamide or abiraterone: in men who had not previously received enzalutamide or abiraterone, AR-V7 was detected in 2 (25%) of 8 cases; in men who had received either enzalutamide or abiraterone, AR-V7 was detected in 7 (50%) of 14 cases; and in men who had received both enzalutamide and abiraterone, AR-V7 was detected in 8 (53%) of 15 cases.

### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the 37 Taxane-Treated Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline Characteristic</th>
<th>All Patients (n = 37)</th>
<th>AR-V7 Negative (n = 20)</th>
<th>AR-V7 Positive (n = 17)</th>
<th>P Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (range), y</td>
<td>67 (46-82)</td>
<td>68 (46-82)</td>
<td>64 (50-77)</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>32 (86)</td>
<td>16 (80)</td>
<td>16 (94)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonwhite</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since diagnosis, median (range), y</td>
<td>5 (1-12)</td>
<td>5 (1-12)</td>
<td>4 (1-11)</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor stage at diagnosis, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1/T2</td>
<td>14 (38)</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td>7 (41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3/T4</td>
<td>23 (62)</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
<td>10 (59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason sum at diagnosis, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤7</td>
<td>6 (17)</td>
<td>4 (22)</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8</td>
<td>29 (83)</td>
<td>14 (78)</td>
<td>15 (88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of local treatment, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>14 (38)</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td>7 (41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation therapy</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>4 (24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>14 (38)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td>6 (35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current taxane therapy, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docetaxel</td>
<td>30 (81)</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
<td>15 (88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabazitaxel</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of prior hormonal therapies, median (range)</td>
<td>4 (2-7)</td>
<td>4 (2-7)</td>
<td>4 (2-6)</td>
<td>.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior use of abiraterone, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29 (78)</td>
<td>14 (70)</td>
<td>15 (88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8 (22)</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior use of enzalutamide, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15 (41)</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td>8 (47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22 (59)</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
<td>9 (53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior use of docetaxel, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>30 (81)</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
<td>15 (88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of bone metastases, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>35 (95)</td>
<td>18 (90)</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of bone metastases, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>6 (16)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>31 (84)</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
<td>16 (94)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of visceral metastases, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13 (35)</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td>6 (35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>24 (65)</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
<td>11 (65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG performance status, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>20 (54)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td>12 (71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>17 (46)</td>
<td>12 (60)</td>
<td>5 (29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline PSA level, median (range), mg/dL</td>
<td>126 (0.1-2270)</td>
<td>102 (5-534)</td>
<td>189 (0.1-2270)</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline alkaline phosphatase level, median (range), U/L</td>
<td>161 (53-1243)</td>
<td>111 (53-930)</td>
<td>291 (53-1243)</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline AR-FL level, copy number, median (range)</td>
<td>16 (0-4567)</td>
<td>4 (0-55)</td>
<td>88 (4-4567)</td>
<td>&lt; .01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AR-FL, full-length androgen receptor; AR-V7, androgen receptor splice variant 7; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.

SI conversion factors: To convert PSA level to micrograms per liter, multiply by 1.0; to convert alkaline phosphatase to microkatals per liter, multiply by 0.017.

*P* values are based on Fisher exact test and Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney test for categorical and continuous variables, respectively.

Race was self-reported by participants (although options were defined by the investigators).

The ratio was 23% (range, 3%-69%) (eFigure in the Supplement). Table 1 shows baseline characteristics for the taxane-treated population as a whole, and separated by AR-V7 status. The AR-V7-positive men were more likely to have younger age, Gleason score at least 8, prior enzalutamide or abiraterone treatment, at least 6 bone metastases, higher PSA levels, higher alkaline phosphatase levels, and higher AR-FL levels (although most of these differences were not statistically significant).
Table 2 compares baseline characteristics of the 37 taxane-treated patients and the 62 enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated patients incorporated from our prior study. These 62 men were enrolled between December 2012 and September 2013, and their clinical outcomes were updated using the cut-off date of September 1, 2014. In this updated analysis, median (range) follow-up among all enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated patients was 13.0 (1.4-19.8) months. Eighteen (29%) of these 62 men had detectable AR-V7 at baseline. Compared with taxane-treated patients, enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated men were more likely to have Gleason scores less than or equal to 7, fewer prior hormonal therapies, no more than 5 bone metastases, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0, lower PSA levels, lower alkaline phosphatase levels, and lower AR-FL levels (although not all of these differences were statistically significant).

Clinical Outcomes in Taxane-Treated Patients According to AR-V7 Status

PSA Responses
The overall proportion of patients who achieved a PSA response during taxane treatment was 54% (20 of 37 men; 95%...
CI, 37%-71%), and there was no significant difference according to AR-V7 status. The PSA response rates were 41% (7 of 17 men; 95% CI, 18%-67%) in AR-V7-positive patients and 65% (13 of 20 men; 95% CI, 41%-85%) in AR-V7-negative patients, a non-significant difference of 24% (P = .19; 95% CI for the difference, −13% to 60%). Best PSA responses according to AR-V7 status are depicted in Figure 1A. In multivariable logistic regression modeling, AR-V7 status remained nonpredictive for PSA response (odds ratio, 0.39 [95% CI, 0.06-2.32]; P = .31) after adjusting for AR-FL expression and previous use of enzalutamide or abiraterone.

PSA PFS
Prostate-specific antigen PFS did not differ significantly according to AR-V7 status. Median PSA PFS was 4.5 months in AR-V7-positive men and 6.2 months in AR-V7-negative men (hazard ratio [HR], 2.1 [95% CI, 0.9-4.9]; P = .06). In a multivariable Cox model adjusting for AR-FL expression and prior enzalutamide or abiraterone use, AR-V7 status remained non-significant in its ability to predict PSA PFS (HR, 1.7 [95% CI, 0.6-5.0]; P = .32 (Figure 1B); AR-FL levels (HR, 1.0 [95% CI, 0.9-1.2]) and previous enzalutamide or abiraterone use (HR, 1.4 [95% CI, 0.4-4.2]) were also nonpredictive of PSA PFS in this multivariable analysis.

PFS
Clinical and/or radiographic PFS also did not differ significantly depending on AR-V7 status. Median PFS was 5.1 months in AR-V7-positive men and 6.9 months in AR-V7-negative men (HR, 2.8 [95% CI, 1.2-6.9]; P = .02). Although this difference ap-
peared significant, in a multivariable Cox model adjusting for AR-FL expression and prior enzalutamide or abiraterone use, AR-V7 status lost its ability to predict PFS (HR, 2.7 [95% CI, 0.8-8.8]; \( P = .11 \)) (Figure 1C); AR-FL levels (HR, 1.0 [95% CI, 0.9-1.1]) and previous enzalutamide or abiraterone use (HR, 1.7 [95% CI, 0.5-6.2]) were also nonpredictive of PFS.

**OS (Exploratory)**

Overall survival also did not differ significantly according to AR-V7 status. Median OS was 9.2 months in AR-V7–positive men and 14.7 months in AR-V7–negative men (HR, 2.5 [95% CI, 0.8-8.1]; \( P = .11 \)). In a multivariable Cox model adjusting for AR-FL expression, AR-V7 status remained nonsignificant in its ability to predict OS (HR, 0.7 [95% CI, 0.1-3.8]; \( P = .66 \)) (Figure 1D); AR-FL levels were also nonpredictive of OS (HR, 1.3 [95% CI, 0.9-1.8]).

**Differential Effect of AR-V7 in Men Treated With Taxanes vs AR-Directed Therapies**

**PSA Responses**

A significant interaction between AR-V7 status and treatment type was observed in the unadjusted linear model (\( P = .002 \)). In an adjusted model also accounting for AR-FL levels, prior chemotherapy use, and prior enzalutamide or abiraterone use, the interaction remained significant (\( P = .006 \)).

**PSA PFS**

A significant interaction between AR-V7 status and treatment type was observed in the unadjusted Cox model (\( P < .001 \)). In an adjusted model also accounting for AR-FL levels, prior chemotherapy, and prior use of enzalutamide or abiraterone, the interaction remained significant (\( P = .001 \)) (Figure 2A).

**PFS**

A significant interaction between AR-V7 status and treatment type was observed in the unadjusted Cox model (\( P < .001 \)). In the adjusted model, the interaction remained significant (\( P = .003 \)) (Figure 2B).

**OS (Exploratory)**

A significant interaction between AR-V7 status and treatment type was not observed either in the unadjusted Cox model \( (P = .18) \) or the adjusted model \( (P = .16) \) (Figure 2C).

**Clinical Outcomes With Taxanes vs AR-Directed Therapies According to AR-V7 Status**

**AR-V7–Positive Patients**

Treatment with taxanes appeared superior to AR-directed therapy in AR-V7–positive men. The PSA responses were 41% (7 of 17) in taxane-treated patients and 0% (0 of 18) in enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated patients \( (P < .001) \). In a multivariable linear model adjusting for AR-FL level, prior chemotherapy, and prior enzalutamide or abiraterone, treatment with taxanes remained superior to enzalutamide or abiraterone \( (P < .001) \). Moreover, median PSA PFS was longer in taxane-treated men compared with enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated men \( (HR, 0.22 [95\% CI, 0.09-0.53]; P < .001) \). In a multivariable Cox model adjusting for AR-FL level and prior
enanzalutamide or abiraterone therapy, taxane therapy re-
maind superior to AR-directed therapy (HR, 0.19 [95% CI, 0.07-
0.52]; P = .001 (Figure 3A). Similarly, median PFS was longer in
taxane-treated compared with enanzalutamide- or abiraterone-
treated men (HR, 0.26 [95% CI, 0.11-0.59]; P = .001). In a mul-
tivariable Cox model adjusting for AR-FL level and prior en-
zalutamide or abiraterone therapy, taxane therapy remained
superior (HR, 0.21 [95% CI, 0.07-0.59]; P = .009) (Figure 3B).
Finally, median OS (exploratory) was numerically superior in
taxane-treated compared with enanzalutamide- or abiraterone-
treated patients (HR, 0.83 [95% CI, 0.34-2.00]; P = .76). In a mul-
tivariable Cox model adjusting for AR-FL level and prior use
of enanzalutamide or abiraterone, there was numerically su-
perior survival with taxane therapy (HR, 0.28 [95% CI, 0.07-
1.00]; P = .06) (Figure 3C).

AR-V7-Negative Patients
There were no significant differences between taxane treat-
ment and AR-directed therapy with respect to any clinical out-
comes in AR-V7-negative men. Prostate-specific antigen re-
sponses were 65% (13 of 20) in taxane-treated patients and 64%
(28 of 44) in enanzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated patients
(P = .60); this difference remained nonsignificant after adjust-
ing for AR-FL level, prior chemotherapy, and prior enanzal-
tamide or abiraterone treatment in a multivariable linear model
(P = .36). Median PSA PFS was not significantly different in tax-
ane-treated patients compared with enanzalutamide- or abir-
aterone-treated patients (HR, 1.61 [95% CI, 0.84-3.06]; P = .15),
even after adjusting for AR-FL level and prior enanzalutamide or
abiraterone treatment in the multivariable Cox model (HR, 1.09
[95% CI, 0.51-2.31]; P = .83) (Figure 3D). Similarly, median

Kaplan-Meier analyses comparing taxane-treated patients vs enzalutamide
(enza)- or abiraterone (abi)-treated patients. A, Prostate-specific antigen
progression-free survival, focusing only on AR-V7-positive men. B, Clinical
and/or radiographic progression-free survival, focusing only on AR-V7-positive
men. C, Overall survival, focusing only on AR-V7-positive men.

D, Prostate-specific antigen progression-free survival, focusing only on
AR-V7-negative men. E, Clinical and/or radiographic progression-free survival,
focusing only on AR-V7-negative men. F, Overall survival, focusing only on
AR-V7-negative men.
PFS was not significantly different in taxane-treated compared with enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated patients (HR, 1.68 [95% CI, 0.84-3.33]; P = .14), even after adjusting for AR-FL and prior enzalutamide or abiraterone treatment in multivariable Cox analysis (HR, 1.02 [95% CI, 0.46-2.25]; P = .96) (Figure 3E). Finally, median OS (exploratory) was not significantly different between the 2 treatment groups, either in the univariate (HR, 2.26 [95% CI, 0.78-6.62]; P = .13) or the multivariable (HR, 1.55 [95% CI, 0.49-4.95]; P = .46) analyses (Figure 3F).

**AR-V7 Conversions at Taxane Progression**

Twenty-one taxane-treated patients had paired CTC samples collected at baseline and at the time of progression that were evaluable for AR-V7. Among men with initially undetectable AR-V7 (n = 9), 1 patient (11%) subsequently converted to AR-V7 positive during the course of taxane treatment whereas 8 patients (89%) remained AR-V7 negative at progression. Conversely, among men with detectable AR-V7 at baseline (n = 12), 7 patients (58%) converted to AR-V7 negative during taxane therapy whereas 5 patients (42%) remained AR-V7 positive at progression. The clinical significance of these conversions in AR-V7 status is currently unknown.

**Discussion**

Although there are multiple available therapies for men with metastatic CRPC, there are currently no molecular biomarkers to help guide optimal treatment choices in these patients. We have previously shown that detection of AR-V7 is associated with primary resistance to abiraterone and enzalutamide therapy, as manifested by inferior PSA responses, shorter PFS, and shorter OS. Here we show that men with detectable AR-V7 retain sensitivity to taxane chemotherapies, that the impact of AR-V7 is greater in the context of AR-directed therapies than with chemotherapies, and that taxanes may have superior efficacy to enzalutamide or abiraterone in AR-V7-positive men (but not in AR-V7–negative men). The present study represents the first prospective analysis of AR-V7 in patients receiving taxane chemotherapies, and the totality of our data suggests that AR-V7 may represent a treatment selection marker in CRPC.

Although the principal mechanism of action of taxane agents is the disruption of microtubules, inducing mitotic arrest, it is increasingly understood that taxanes may also mediate their antitumor effects in CRPC by disrupting cytoplasmic-to-nuclear trafficking of AR along the microtubule network, while other mechanisms have also been postulated. Therefore, some degree of cross-resistance has been suggested between AR-targeting therapies and taxane chemotherapies, although this cross-resistance may be less substantial with cabazitaxel than with docetaxel. Recently, work on a particular mouse model of CRPC has also suggested that certain AR-Vs may be associated with sensitivity to taxanes whereas others may mediate taxane resistance. To this end, AR-V7 was shown to result in taxane resistance in at least 1 preclinical model, due to deletion of the AR hinge region that is thought to be necessary for microtubule binding. However, our clinical data do not recapitulate the observations from this mouse model. In fact, we show here that in AR-V7-positive patients, PSA response rates to taxanes are 41% and median PFS is 5.1 months. Although clinical outcomes to taxanes may appear inferior in AR-V7–positive compared with AR-V7–negative men, these differences were not statistically significant after multivariable adjustments. More importantly, we demonstrate that AR-V7 detection is not associated with primary resistance to taxane agents (as seen with abiraterone and enzalutamide).

An important observation from our present study is the suggestion that taxane therapy may be more efficacious than AR-directed therapy for men with AR-V7–positive CRPC. Conversely, clinical outcomes did not seem to differ significantly on the basis of the type of therapy used among AR-V7-negative patients. If these results are confirmed by additional prospective biomarker-stratified clinical trials, this observation might suggest that AR-V7–positive men may fare better receiving taxanes than AR-targeting therapies, whereas in AR-V7–negative men both treatment approaches might be reasonable. However, our study has important limitations. Because of the small sample size, we were unable to perform a comprehensive multivariable analysis to determine the independent contribution of AR-V7 status to prognosis, and we were not able to define subpopulations in which the utility of the biomarker may be greatest. It remains possible that AR-V7 is simply a marker of more advanced disease or higher disease burden. Second, the comparison of clinical outcomes between taxane-treated and enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated patients is confounded by the fact that treatment selection was not randomly assigned and that baseline patient characteristics (including numbers and types of prior therapies received) were different in the 2 cohorts. Confirmation of these findings will require larger biomarker-driven studies randomizing patients to taxane chemotherapy vs AR-directed therapy. To this end, prospective validation of the AR-V7 biomarker will be pursued in the PRIMCAB study (NCT02379390), a multicenter randomized phase 2 trial of abiraterone or enzalutamide vs cabazitaxel therapy in men with primary resistance to prior enzalutamide or abiraterone therapy.

Finally, an intriguing finding from our study was the fact that certain patients with detectable AR-V7 at baseline converted to AR-V7–negative status during the course of taxane therapy. Notably, in our prior analysis of AR-V7 in enzalutamide- or abiraterone-treated patients, all men with detectable AR-V7 at baseline remained AR-V7 positive throughout treatment with abiraterone and enzalutamide. Biologically, a conversion from AR-V7–positive to AR-V7–negative status might imply decreased selection pressure on the AR axis exerted by taxanes, allowing a resumption of canonical AR signaling and a lack of requirement for aberrant AR-V-mediated signaling. An alternative hypothesis is that effective taxane therapy may have decreased the burden of CTCs, thereby making it more difficult to detect AR-V7 present in low abundance. The clinical significance of these AR-V7 conversions remains unclear and is the subject of ongoing investigations.
Our findings suggest that detection of AR-V7 in CTCs from men with CRPC is not associated with primary resistance to taxane chemotherapy and that AR-V7-positive patients may respond better to taxanes than to AR-targeting drugs. If confirmed in larger-scale clinical trials, AR-V7 status could emerge as the first treatment selection biomarker for CRPC.
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