Exposure to Spoken Communication in Children With Cochlear Implants During the COVID-19 Lockdown
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IMPORTANCE The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) lockdowns in Ontario, Canada in the spring of 2020 created unprecedented changes in the lives of all children, including children with hearing loss.

OBJECTIVE To quantify how these lockdowns changed the spoken communication environments of children with cochlear implants by comparing the sounds they were exposed to before the Ontario provincial state of emergency in March 2020 and during the resulting closures of schools and nonessential businesses.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This experimental cohort study comprised children with hearing loss who used cochlear implants to hear. These children were chosen because (1) their devices monitor and catalog levels and types of sounds during hourly use per day (datalogs), and (2) this group is particularly vulnerable to reduced sound exposure. Children were recruited from the Cochlear Implant Program at a tertiary pediatric hospital in Ontario, Canada. Children whose cochlear implant datalogs were captured between February 1 and March 16, 2020, shortly before lockdown (pre–COVID-19), were identified. Repeated measures were collected in 45 children during initial easing of lockdown restrictions (stages 1-2 of the provincial recovery plan); resulting datalogs encompassed the lockdown period (peri–COVID-19).

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Hours of sound captured by the Cochlear Nucleus datalogging system (Cochlear Corporation) in 6 categories of input levels (<40, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70-79, ≥80 A-weighted dB sound pressure levels [dBA]) and 6 auditory scene categories (quiet, speech, speech-in-noise, music, noise, and other). Mixed-model regression analyses revealed main effects with post hoc adjustment of confidence intervals using the Satterthwaite method.

RESULTS A total of 45 children (mean [SD] age, 7.7 [5.0] years; 23 girls [51.1%]) participated in this cohort study. Results showed similar daily use of cochlear implants during the pre- and peri–COVID-19 periods (9.80 mean hours pre–COVID-19 and 9.34 mean hours peri–COVID-19). Despite consistent device use, these children experienced significant quieting of input sound levels peri–COVID-19 by 0.49 hour (95% CI, 0.21-0.80 hour) at 60 to 69 dBA and 1.70 hours (95% CI, 1.42-1.99 hours) at 70 to 79 dBA with clear reductions in speech exposure by 0.98 hour (95% CI, 0.49-1.47 hours). This outcome translated into a reduction of speech:quiet from 1.6:1.0 pre–COVID-19 to 0.9:1.0 during lockdowns. The greatest reductions in percentage of daily speech occurred in school-aged children (elementary, 12.32% [95% CI, 7.15%-17.49%]; middle school, 11.76% [95% CI, 5.00%-18.52%]; and high school, 9.60% [95% CI, 3.27%-15.93%]). Increased daily percentage of quiet (7.00% [95% CI, 4.27%-9.74%]) was most prevalent for children who had fewer numbers of people in their household (estimate [SE] = −1.12% [0.50%] per person; Cohen f = 0.31).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE The findings of this cohort study indicate a clear association of COVID-19 lockdowns with a reduction in children's access to spoken communication.
The aim of the present study was to quantify how coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) lockdowns changed children’s environments by comparing the sounds the children were exposed to before the state of emergency in Ontario, Canada (population of approximately 10 million), issued in March 2020, and during the resulting closure of schools and nonessential businesses. The focus was on children using cochlear implants because their devices log and categorize the sounds in their environments throughout daily use and because these children have been subject to the same lockdown changes as all peers in our province. In addition, all children, and particularly those with hearing loss, are vulnerable to reductions in spoken communication.

Although the physical manifestations of COVID-19 are often mild in children, it is becoming clear that the potential effects on children’s psychosocial well-being resulting from reduced peer interactions and more limited access to school are severe. Social isolation has emerged as a clear predictor of cognitive decline in older ages and has been implicated as a risk factor for developmental concerns in adolescents, particularly in the context of COVID-19 physical and social distancing measures. Early warning signs include a steep rise of 350% in calls to the Canadian “Kids Help Phone” during the initial month of the national COVID-19 lockdown.

Like social isolation, hearing loss limits opportunities to converse with others using spoken language, and, like social isolation, hearing loss has been identified as a main risk for dementia. It is clear that children with hearing loss experience cognitive challenges relative to their typically developing peers, even when the loss is mild or when hearing is affected in only 1 ear. In children, hearing loss has been attributed to increased listening effort, which taxes available cognitive resources. Hearing loss also affects spoken language development and children’s social interactions well into adolescence. Hearing devices, such as cochlear implants, provide children with hearing loss access to spoken communication and support language development; when provided early and used consistently, these provisions help children with hearing loss to engage in spoken interactions.

Given the particular importance of language exposure in children with hearing loss, modern versions of hearing devices, including cochlear implants, contain features that monitor the sound environment during use. Second-by-second monitoring of sound by cochlear implant devices over a specific period of time provides a unique opportunity to quantify the degree of change experienced by children during initial COVID-19 lockdowns. We capitalized on this situation by identifying children whose data logs (data acquired through the monitoring and cataloging of levels and types of sounds from the cochlear implant) were collected at clinical appointments in the Cochlear Implant Program at the Hospital for Sick Children in Ontario, Canada, during a narrow window of time before the COVID-19 lockdowns, thus providing measures of pre-COVID-19 environments and resetting the devices so that they were measuring the sound environments during lockdown. By collecting the latter data logs from the same children in the midst of COVID-19-related closures of schools and nonessential businesses (before stage 2 reopening), we were able to capture the change in sound experienced by each of these children while in lockdown.

Methods

The protocol for this cohort study included a written consent process from participants and/or parents or caregivers that was approved by the Hospital for Sick Children’s Research Ethics Board and adhered to the Tri-council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans (study No. 1000071022). The participant cohort was initially defined as 89 children whose data logs were captured during clinical visits to the Cochlear Implant Program at the Hospital for Sick Children between February 1, 2020, and the provincial lockdown on March 16, 2020. Lockdowns occurred under the Ontario Health Protection and Promotion Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.H.7 and included closure of all nonessential businesses and schools and restriction of outpatient visits at the hospital. Guidelines included shelter at home and restricted contact with anyone outside the home. Reopening occurred in stages, but stages 1 and 2 of the reopening occurred during summer holidays, so schools remained closed. Forty-five of the possible 89 children consented to participate in the study.

Acoustic input to cochlear implant devices was assessed each second and categorized using the automatic scene classifier called SCAN (Cochlear Corporation) in 2 ways: (1) by intensity level (6 levels: <40 to >80 A-weighted dB [dBA] in 10-dB intervals) and (2) by environment type (6 types: quiet [sound <50 dBA sound pressure level], speech [single voice], speech-in-noise [voice amid other voices or sounds], music [melodies by instrument or singing], noise [nonvocal and nonmusical sounds], and other [no fit in previous categories]). The averaged daily data are downloaded when the device is connected to a clinical cochlear implant programming system. Once downloaded, a new period of data log collection begins. The accuracy of the scene classifier has been validated, and the averaged daily data have confirmed that outcomes of cochlear implantation improve when children wear their devices consistently.

Key Points

Question To what extent have the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) lockdowns changed children’s access to speech?

Findings In this cohort study of 45 children, sound environments, cataloged using machine learning in hearing prostheses (cochlear implants), were measured both before and during COVID-19 lockdowns in Ontario, Canada. The pre-COVID-19 ratio of speech:quiet (1.6:1.0) significantly reduced to 0.9:1.0 during lockdowns, particularly in school-aged children.

Meaning School closures due to COVID-19 lockdowns may be associated with reduced exposure to spoken communication during important stages of social, language, and cognitive development.

© 2021 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.
Software (Cochlear Corporation) was used to download data-
logs from each participant’s cochlear implant(s) from a pre-
COVID-19 period and again during a COVID-19 lockdown
(peri–COVID-19 period).

Follow-up telephone interviews were conducted with fami-
lies of all participants. Included in the present article are an-
swers regarding the type of school the child was attending be-
fore the COVID-19 lockdown and the child’s “in-person bubble,”
which was defined as the number of people with whom the
child could interact in person during the COVID-19 lock-
down.

Statistical Analysis
Analyses were conducted on average hours of daily use and
hours and proportion of time spent in 6 intensity levels or 6
specific environment types. One pre– and 1 peri–COVID-19 da-
talog for each child was included in analyses. In children who
used bilateral cochlear implants, datalog measures were av-
erged across both devices when both devices were used for
similar durations (<1 hour difference average daily use, n = 21
pre–COVID-19 and n = 23 peri–COVID-19); in the remaining chil-
dren, analyzed datalog were from the device used for the most
averaged daily hours (left:right devices, 5:3 pre–COVID-19 and
3:3 peri–COVID-19). Group comparisons of age and hours of use
were conducted with t tests, and mean differences and 95%
CIs were also reported. Linear mixed-effects regression analy-
ses were conducted using the lmer4 package (Douglas Bates)
and RStudio, version 1.0.153 (RStudio Inc).26 Model effects were
described by type III analysis of variance using the Satter-
thwaite method. Least-squares means were used for post hoc
comparisons of factors in the mixed models with the Satter-
thwaite method for correcting degrees of freedom. Means and
95% CIs estimated from models were reported to provide in-
dications of the magnitude of effects, and further statistical
details are provided in the figure legends.

Changes in daily hours of use by level or type of auditory
environment were assessed using mixed-model regression with
a random intercept for each participant and fixed effects of age,
sex, COVID-19 period (pre–COVID-19 or peri–COVID-19), and
category of level or sound environment. Hours and propor-
tion of time spent in the 2 environments—1 containing speech
sounds (speech and speech-in-noise) and 1 in the quiet envi-
nvironment—were evaluated using mixed-model regressions to
assess fixed effects of the type of school children were attend-
ing and the number of people in the children’s in-person bubble
with random intercepts for each participant. Means and 95%
CIs estimated from models were reported to provide indications
of the magnitude of effects, and further statistical de-
tails are provided in the figure legends.

Results
Of the 45 participants (mean [SD] age, 7.7 [5.0] years; 23 girls
[51.1%]), 29 (64.4%) used bilateral cochlear implants and 16
(35.6%) used unilateral cochlear implants. As shown in
Figure 1A, the group spanned a wide range of ages, and there
was no difference in age between the bilateral (7.83 years)
and unilateral (7.37 years) implant users (difference, 0.46
year; 95% CI, −1.80 to 2.69 years). The pre–COVID-19 data-
logs (collected February 1 to March 15, 2020) from this group
reflected mean daily hours of use over the previous mean
(SD) 147.36 (123.24) days. Peri–COVID-19 datalog were col-
lected outside each participant’s home using approved infec-
tion prevention and control measures between July 4 and 9,
2020. At this time, initial easing of lockdowns was beginning
( phases 1-2 of the Ontario reopening). These second datalog
reflected mean daily hours of use over the previous mean
(SD) 128.00 (24.59) days during the peri–COVID-19 lock-
down. Complete data from the pre– and peri–COVID-19 peri-
ods in all 45 children indicated high mean (SD) rates of daily
use of 9.80 (3.59) hours pre–COVID-19 and 9.34 (3.66) hours
peri–COVID-19; there was no significant change in overall
hours of implant use between these 2 periods (difference,
0.45 hour per day; 95% CI, −0.12 to 1.02). Thus, children
were wearing their devices as consistently during the lock-
down as they were beforehand. Consistent with previous
reports,27 mean daily hours increased with age at an esti-
mated rate of 0.068 (SE, 0.14) hour per year (Cohen f = 0.21),
perhaps reflecting increased daily hours awake as children
age. As shown in Figure 1B, the children’s in-person bubble
was not significantly associated with age (Cohen f = 0.03) or
type of school attended (Cohen f = 0.41).

Time Spent in Quiet vs Exposed to Speech
During the COVID-19 Lockdown
Average daily hours of cochlear implant use were assessed with
mixed-model regressions. As shown in Figure 2A, most time
was spent in auditory sounds of 50 to 59 dBA in both periods,
specifically, a mean of 2.86 hours at these levels pre-
COVID-19 and 2.68 hours peri–COVID-19. However, time at qui-
ter levels (40–49 dBA) increased slightly by 0.26 hour (95%
CI, −0.03 to 0.55 hour) in the peri–COVID-19 period compared
with pre–COVID-19, and time at louder levels decreased by 0.49
hour (95% CI, 0.21-0.80 hour) at 60 to 69 dBA and 1.70 hours
(95% CI, 1.42-1.99 hours) at 70 to 79 dBA.

Most hours were spent in quiet and speech-in-noise across
both periods; there was a mean of 3.11 hours spent in quiet and
2.33 hours spent in speech-in-noise. However, as shown in
Figure 2B, the peri–COVID-19 period coincided with in-
termed time (0.76 [95% CI, 0.27-1.26] hours) spent in quiet and
decreased time (0.98 [95% CI, 0.49-1.47] hours) spent in
speech-in-noise. Of note, there was less time spent in speech
alone than in speech-in-noise in the pre–COVID-19 period (dif-
ference of 1.38 [95% CI, 0.88-1.87] hours), indicating that situ-
ations in which children were exposed to speech without other
competing sounds were less common than speech-in-noise.
In the peri–COVID-19 period, durations of time in speech-in-
noise decreased to durations similar to speech only (dif-
ference of 0.34 hour [95% CI, −0.83 to 0.16]).

Combining the hours spent in both categories that fo-
cused on speech (speech and speech-in-noise), we found that
during the pre–COVID-19 period, children were exposed to
speech 1.6 times more often than to quiet; there were 4.27 hours
of speech vs 2.73 hours of quiet daily. By contrast, during peri-
COVID-19, the amount of time exposed to speech relative to
quiet fell to 0.9 to 1.0 daily hours (3.34 hours) of speech vs 3.50 hours of quiet daily.

**Reduction in Exposure to Speech and Increased Quiet in School-Aged Children During the COVID-19 Lockdown**

Predictive factors for daily hours spent in quiet and both speech environments (combined hours of speech and speech-in-noise) were assessed. Because there was a significant increase in overall implant use with age (Figure 2), the proportion of daily hours relative to overall device use was also analyzed. The effect of the COVID-19 lockdown period on time exposed to speech was particular to school-aged children; mean (SE) reductions in speech environments in the peri–COVID-19 period compared with the pre–COVID-19 period are plotted in Figure 3 by type of school. There was a significant decline in average daily speech hours from pre– to peri–COVID-19 for children in kindergarten by 1.31 hours (95% CI, 0.04-2.58 hours); in elementary school, by 1.39 hours (95% CI, 0.75-2.03 hours); in middle school, by 0.88 hours (95% CI, 0.05-1.72 hours); and in high school, by 1.56 hours (95% CI, 0.78-2.43 hours) (Figure 3A). Significant decreases in proportion of speech were found in elementary (12.32% [95% CI, 7.15%-17.49%]), middle school (11.76% [95% CI, 5.00%-18.52%]), and high school (9.60% [95% CI, 3.27%-15.93%]) students (Figure 3B). Of note, the reduction in speech was not significantly associated with the number of individuals with whom children interacted in person during the lockdown (in-person bubble) (hours, $F_{1,45} = 0.52, P = .47$; Cohen $f = 0.10$; proportion, $F_{1,45} = 1.91, P = .17$; Cohen $f = 0.19$).

Mean (SE) data in Figure 3 show that there was a significant increase in time spent in quiet for several groups of children (hours per day and percent of time per day, respectively). The effect of the COVID-19 lockdown period on time in quiet was particular to school-aged children; there was a significant increase in average hours spent in quiet and proportion of total time in quiet from pre– to peri–COVID-19 for children in middle school (0.73 [95% CI, 0.07-1.39] hours per day; 9.71% [95% CI, 3.36%-16.05%] of time per day) and high school (0.94 [95% CI, 0.33-1.56] hours per day; 10.57% [95% CI, 4.63%-16.51%] of time per day). Children in elementary school experienced even greater increases in quiet (1.85 [95% CI, 1.35-2.35] hours per day; 15.96% [95% CI, 11.12%-20.81%] of time...
Children in preschool showed a significant change in the proportion of time in quiet (9.80% [95% CI, 1.36%-18.15%] of time per day), but this factor amounted to small changes in daily hours (0.37 [95% CI, −0.50 to 1.24] hours per day). Also important to the time spent in quiet was the size of the in-person social bubble that children were in during the COVID-19 lockdown. There was a significant reduction in time in quiet as the bubbles size increased for both daily hours (estimate [SE], −0.15 [0.07] daily hour per increase of the bubble by 1 person [Cohen $f = 0.30$]) and proportion of time (estimate [SE], −1.12% [0.50%] per person [Cohen $f = 0.31$]).

**In-Person Bubble Size and Experience of Quiet**

As discussed, there was a significant association of the size of the in-person bubble with children's time spent in quiet. However, this factor was not associated with time exposed to speech. An increased daily percentage of quiet (7.00% [95% CI, 4.27%-9.74%]) was most prevalent for children who had fewer numbers of people in their household. Children with small bubbles (0-3 people, median = 3) experienced an increase of almost 1 hour per 10% of quiet time in the peri–COVID-19 period (0.99 [95% CI, 0.43-1.55] hours per day; 10.64% [95% CI, 5.95%-15.33%] of time per day) (Figure 4). This change reduced to an increase of 0.78 (95% CI, 0.11-1.45) hours per day and 7.38% (95% CI, 1.31%-13.45%) of time per day for medium-sized bubbles (4-7 people, median = 4). There was no significant increase for children in large bubbles (8-15, median = 10.5) (0.31 [95% CI, −0.45 to 1.07] hours per day and 6.29% [95% CI, −2.54% to 15.12%] of time per day). These findings are consistent with the estimate (SE) significant...
decrease of 0.15 (0.17) daily hour per increase of the bubble by 1 person predicted by the mixed-model regression analyses.

Discussion

The Quieting of Children’s Lives: Quantification and Potential Implications

Before the COVID-19 lockdown, children’s auditory environments most commonly contained sounds of 50 to 69 dBA that included speech mixed with other sounds (speech-in-noise) (Figure 2). The increased time spent in speech-in-noise rather than speech alone reflects the common reality that speech occurs in the midst of multiple sound sources in our environment. By comparison, there was a quieting of life during the peri–COVID-19 period as sounds decreased to levels of 50 to 69 dBA with mean estimated decreases of 0.85 hours at levels of 60 to 79 dBA. The level data were consistent with the mean estimated increased time spent in environments categorized as quiet (0.8 hours) and mean estimated decreases...
of time spent in speech-in-noise (1.0-hour). Indeed, whereas children were exposed to more than 50% more speech (combined speech-in-noise and speech) than quiet on average before COVID-19 lockdowns, during the lockdowns, there was just as much, if not slightly quieter, speech (speech:quiet ratio decreased from 1.6:1.0 to 0.9:1.0).

The overall quieting of life for children during COVID-19 lockdowns raises concerns for children’s development. Reduced exposure to loud sounds might have been beneficial for listening if it was specific to nonspeech sounds. Loud noises can increase physiological measures of stress, as shown in neonates, and pose particular challenges for children with hearing loss. However, the quieting of life due to COVID-19 was not specific to general environmental sounds, which are categorized as noise by the datalog system. Decreased exposure was found for sounds containing speech-in-noise but not sound in the noise-only category, suggesting that lost sounds during lockdowns were particular to spoken language. This difference is important because language development slows when access to spoken language is delayed or declines. Moreover, for children with hearing loss, reduced language exposure can manifest in impaired cognitive processing, social communication (pragmatics), and academic outcomes.

School Closures and Reduction in Children’s Access to Speech

Further analyses showed that school-aged children experienced the greatest increases in quiet time and decreases in exposure to speech sounds (Figure 3). Younger children showed no significant changes in time spent in these 2 environments, likely reflecting continued time spent with caregivers. Lost time exposed to speech by school-aged children exceeded a mean of 1 hour, affecting children who were at a wide range of ages and stages of development from kindergarten to high school. This time translated to daily losses of approximately 10% exposure to speech on average. Across a variety of methods to measure speech exposure in children, the loss of 10% or approximately 1 hour of speech time could mean that children missed hearing between 600 and 2000 words per day. Perhaps even more important may be the loss of conversational turns, normally spanning 100 to 400 per hour; these speech interactions are important for development and maintenance of language areas in the brain and expressive language scores. Deficits in time spent in environments containing speech corresponded with increased time in quiet environments, suggesting that the children experienced a loss of auditory input rather than a change in sound from speech-in-noise to speech alone, music, or other nonspeech sounds.

In-Person Bubbles and the Quieting of Children’s Lives During COVID-19

The degree of quieting for children during the COVID-19 lockdowns was significantly associated with the number of people in their in-person bubble (the number of adults and children with whom the child reportedly continued to interact in person during the lockdown) (Figure 4). Examples of larger bubble sizes were homes with multigenerational families and homes with several siblings. It is important to note that the loss of quiet didn’t necessarily translate to increased exposure to speech, as shown by a lack of an association between bubble size and time spent in speech environments. This finding suggests that larger bubbles do not make up for lost language exposure available through attendance in school.

The changes in sound environments measured are likely to reflect experiences by children with normal hearing given that the school-aged children in the present cohort typically attended mainstream classrooms. Also, the datalogging system cannot differentiate speech produced by someone in the same room as distinct from speech coming from electronic
media such as television, telephones, computers, or video games. Children were likely spending more time than usual on such devices during the peri-COVID-19 period, including time in virtual classrooms. With potentially increased screen time in mind, the reduction of in-person conversations are most likely underestimated in the present data, meaning that the lockdown may pose even greater risks to children’s language than estimated here.

Concerns about the effects of school closures on children’s social and emotional development have already been raised. To mitigate these risks, careful guidance has been provided to help reopen schools. The present data further support these efforts and emphasize lost opportunities for children to speak with one another and with their teachers. As some of our children are returning to modified school environments while others continue to learn online, we as educators, clinicians, and caregivers need to consider means of enriching our current environments to optimize opportunities for children to converse.

Limitations
This study does have limitations. It is possible that there are additional factors affecting the changes to sound environments of children captured by the datalogging system in the present cohort. Additional analyses based on known social determinants of health are warranted. In addition, the developmental effects of reduced access to speech during COVID-19-related restrictions will need to be assessed in children going forward.

Conclusions
This cohort study found that children experienced a significant quieting of their worlds during the COVID-19 lockdowns and the resulting closure of schools and nonessential businesses. Decreases of approximately 10% in access to speech were particular to school-aged children, which translates to extensive loss of spoken communication that is essential for psychosocial, academic, and language development. Results suggest that larger social bubbles lessened the amount of time spent in quiet but did not improve access to speech. Although the quantification of these changes was made possible from cochlear implants worn by children with hearing loss, the findings are likely generalizable to all children.
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