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Context: Little is known about prevalence rates of DSM-IV disorders across age strata of older adults, including common conditions such as individual and coexisting mood and anxiety disorders.

Objective: To determine nationally representative estimates of 12-month prevalence rates of mood, anxiety, and comorbid mood-anxiety disorders across young-old, mid-old, old-old, and oldest-old community-dwelling adults.

Design: The National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R) is a population-based probability sample of 9282 participants 18 years and older, conducted between February 2001 and April 2003. The NCS-R survey used the fully structured World Health Organization World Mental Health Survey version of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview.

Setting: Continental United States.

Participants: We studied the 2575 participants 55 years and older who were part of NCS-R (43%, 55-64 years; 32%, 65-74 years; 20%, 75-84 years; 5%, ≥85 years). This included only noninstitutionalized adults, as all NCS-R participants resided in households within the community.

Main Outcome Measures: Twelve-month prevalence of mood disorders (major depressive disorder, dysthymia, bipolar disorder), anxiety disorders (panic disorder, agoraphobia, specific phobia, social phobia, generalized anxiety disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder), and coexisting mood-anxiety disorder were assessed using DSM-IV criteria. Prevalence rates were weighted to adjust for the complex design to infer generalizability to the US population.

Results: The likelihood of having a mood, anxiety, or combined mood-anxiety disorder generally showed a pattern of decline with age (P < .05). Twelve-month disorders showed higher rates in women compared with men, a statistically significant trend with age. In addition, anxiety disorders were as high if not higher than mood disorders across age groups (overall 12-month rates: mood, 5% and anxiety, 12%). No differences were found between race/ethnicity groups.

Conclusion: Prevalence rates of DSM-IV mood and anxiety disorders in late life tend to decline with age, but remain very common, especially in women. These results highlight the need for intervention and prevention strategies.
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sample, unlike the ECA. However, the NCS did not include older adults and also examined DSM-III-R disorders.23 In contrast, the NCS Replication (NCS-R) was conducted in 2001-2003 and included older adult respondents and expanded assessments based on the current diagnostic criteria of the DSM-IV.14 Additionally, the NCS-R includes a nationally representative distribution of sex and race/ethnicity. These are 2 important demographic factors when considering prevalence rates at the population level but are understudied in mental health research of late life.16-19 Recent examination of the NCS-R included comparison of older adults’ (≥65 years) and younger adults’ (18-44 years and 45-64 years) prevalence rates.20 This study did not consider detailed comparisons of older age cohorts, including the oldest old (≥85 years), and did not account for comorbid mood and anxiety disorder.

The purpose of our study was to determine nationally representative estimates of age-specific 12-month prevalence rates of DSM-IV mood, anxiety, and comorbid disorders among community-dwelling older adults in the NCS-R, comparing young-old, mid-old, old-old, and oldest-old US respondents. This study examines age-stratified diagnostic measures of psychiatric disorders, including the oldest-old and sex and race/ethnic differences, in a large probability sample of older adults.

METHODS

PARTICIPANTS

The NCS-R is a nationally representative survey of 9282 noninstitutionalized participants 18 years and older in the coterminous United States.21-23 Respondents were selected from a multistage clustered area probability sample of households. Face-to-face interviews were conducted in respondents’ homes between February 2001 and April 2003. Individuals residing in nursing homes, assisted living, and independent living facilities were therefore excluded from the sample. Any clustering of respondents by retirement communities was alleviated by the household enumeration procedures used in NCS-R to create a national probability sample. Professional interviewers from the Institute for Social Research at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, carried out interviews. The response rate was 70.9%.21

The current sample consisted of 2575 community-based adults 55 years and older, with data obtained from the Interuniversity Consortium for Political and Social Research.24 The NCS-R was administered in 2 parts. The part 1 sample, which is the focus of this study, included all respondents assessed for core mental disorders. One thousand three hundred seventy-two of the 2575 who met criteria for any lifetime mental disorder in part 1, plus a probability subsample of other participants from part 1, received a part 2 interview.21,23,26 The part 2 interview included questions about risk factors, consequences, other correlates, and additional mental disorders. Assessment for posttraumatic stress disorder required lengthier introductory questions than other disorders, which precluded the quick skip out of noncases that was desired in part 1.21 Thus, posttraumatic stress disorder was only assessed in the part 2 survey. The NCS-R data were weighted to control for differential probabilities of selection, differential nonresponse, and residual differences between demographic variables of the sample and 2000 US census population.23,27 A detailed description of the NCS-R sampling and weighting procedures are outlined elsewhere.21

MEASURES

Diagnostic Assessment

The NCS-R diagnoses were determined using the World Health Organization World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative version of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI).28 The WMH-CIDI is a fully structured lay interview that generates lifetime and 12-month diagnoses according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision29 and DSM-IV criteria.21,25 In the present analyses, the DSM-IV criteria were used. The mental disorders examined included mood disorders (major depressive disorder, dysthymia, bipolar I and II disorders), anxiety disorders (panic disorder, agoraphobia without panic, specific phobia, social phobia, generalized anxiety disorder, and posttraumatic stress disorder), and comorbid mood-anxiety disorder (ie, any co-occurring mood and anxiety disorders). DSM-IV organic exclusion rules and hierarchy rules were used in making diagnoses.21,23 Using a probability subsample of NCS-R respondents in a clinical calibration design,26 Kessler et al23 found that blind clinical reinterviews with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV21 were in good concordance with WMH-CIDI diagnoses for mood and anxiety disorders.

Sociodemographic Variables

Distributions of sociodemographic variables by 12-month DSM-IV/WMH-CIDI mood, anxiety, and comorbid mood-anxiety disorders were examined. The main demographic variable of interest was age defined by 4 categories: young-old (55-64 years), mid-old (65-74 years), old-old (75-84 years), and oldest old (≥85 years). These were examined overall and by sex and race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and Hispanic or other). In addition, we examined completed years of education (0-11, 12, 13-15, and ≥16), marital status (married/cohabitating, divorced/separated/widowed, never married), and geographic region of residence (Northeast, Midwest, South, and West).

To create as accurate a presentation as possible of the trend of psychiatric disorders across increasing age groups, plots of figures were further refined, plotting weighted prevalence rates by 5-year age intervals.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Prevalence rates were determined by frequency measures and cross-tabulations estimating age differences across DSM-IV disorders. Twelve-month prevalence was estimated as the percentage of respondents who had a mood, anxiety, or comorbid mood-anxiety disorder in the year prior to the interview.

Three sets of analyses were considered for mood, anxiety, and comorbid disorders. First, overall proportions were estimated by the age categories young-old, mid-old, old-old, and oldest old. Second, age differences were examined by sex. Finally, age differences were examined by race/ethnicity. To statistically test if prevalence trends across age groups were different between men and women and between race/ethnic categories, interaction terms with age modeled as continuous (and main effects) were examined in logistic regression analyses, correcting for the complex sampling design. Because overall prevalence rates were similar and prevalence
patterns with age were similar across race/ethnic groups, subgroup results by race/ethnicity are not presented.

To produce nationally representative estimates, clustering and weighting techniques were implemented to reduce systematic bias and imprecision embedded in the complex sampling design. Thus, the percentages represent weighted proportions and statistical differences were estimated based on the Rao-Scott $\chi^2$, which corrects for the complex design. The standard errors were determined from a recalculation of variance using the Taylor series linear approximation method. Design-adjusted estimates and tests were not computed when a table cell had zero frequency.

The part 1 sample and weights were used for all analyses except individual analyses of posttraumatic stress disorder, which were conducted with part 2 weights and included an additional weight adjusting for oversampling of part 1 cases. Because posttraumatic stress disorder was a component of the pooled anxiety disorders, sensitivity analyses were conducted using the part 2 sample to examine pooled (any) anxiety disorders, as well as comorbid disorder. The results using either sample for analysis were shown to be similar. Therefore, to maintain power and precision, all final analyses of pooled disorders were conducted using the larger part 1 sample.

Statistical tests were 2-tailed with $P \leq 0.05$ defining statistical significance. All analyses were performed using SAS survey procedures (version 9.1.3; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina).

### RESULTS

The 2575 participants 55 years and older who were part of NCS-R included 43% aged 55 to 64 years, 32% aged 65 to 74 years, 20% aged 75 to 84 years, and 5% 85 years and older. The mean (SD) age was approximately 68 (9.2) years. The sample distribution was 59% women, 82% non-Hispanic white, 10% non-Hispanic black, and approximately 4% Hispanic. The majority of the sample had a high school education or higher, and more than half the respondents were married or not living alone. The distribution of the sample by region was representative across the United States.

In Table 1, cross-tabulations of demographic characteristics and 12-month DSM-IV/WMH-CIDI mood, anxiety, and comorbid mood-anxiety disorders are presented. Sex and marital status were prominent characteristics associated with DSM-IV disorders. Women had significantly higher rates of mood, anxiety, and co-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No. (Unweighted %)</th>
<th>Weighted % (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1053 (40.9)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1522 (59.1)</td>
<td>6.4 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td></td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
<td>2106 (81.8)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic black</td>
<td>265 (10.3)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/other</td>
<td>204 (7.9)</td>
<td>6.8 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td></td>
<td>.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education, y</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-11</td>
<td>610 (23.7)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>837 (32.5)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-15</td>
<td>570 (22.1)</td>
<td>6.8 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 16$</td>
<td>558 (21.7)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td></td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/cohabitating</td>
<td>1460 (56.7)</td>
<td>3.9 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/separated/widowed</td>
<td>1023 (39.7)</td>
<td>6.6 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>92 (3.6)</td>
<td>6.2 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td></td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>520 (20.2)</td>
<td>5.3 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>699 (27.2)</td>
<td>5.0 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>886 (34.4)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>470 (18.3)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td></td>
<td>.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NCS-R, National Comorbidity Survey Replication; WMH-CIDI, World Mental Health Survey Initiative version of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview.

$^a$ Rao-Scott $\chi^2$ test was used to correct for the complex survey design.
morbid disorders than men (P < .05). Being married or cohabitating were statistically significantly associated with lower rates of mood, anxiety, and comorbid disorders compared with being divorced, separated, widowed, or never married. For education, statistical differences were apparent for any anxiety disorders, where low education (incompletion of high school) and college years were associated with high rates of anxiety. There were no differences for any disorder by race/ethnicity or geographic region of residence.

MOOD DISORDERS 12-MONTH AGE-SPECIFIC PREVALENCE

The overall and age-specific 12-month rates for mood disorders are presented in Table 2. The rates mainly declined across age groups (55 years and older). Twelve-month rates for any mood disorder and major depressive disorder significantly declined with age (P < .001).

For stratified analyses by sex, the Figure, A shows the prevalence rates of pooled mood disorders for women and men; 12-month prevalence rates declined with age for both women and men. However, the 12-month rates with increasing age were statistically significantly more prominent for women than men (β interaction = 0.06; Wald χ² = 3.7; P interaction = .05). There were no differences in the prevalence of mood disorders with increasing age by race or ethnicity.

ANXIETY DISORDERS 12-MONTH AGE-SPECIFIC PREVALENCE

The overall and age-specific 12-month rates for anxiety disorders are presented in Table 2. The most prevalent 12-month anxiety disorder was specific phobia, followed by social phobia, posttraumatic stress disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and agoraphobia. Differences in 12-month prevalence rates across the 4 age categories for the pooled and for most individual anxiety disorders were statistically significant (P < .05).

For analyses by sex, the Figure, B shows that for women (Rao-Scott χ² = 22.7; P < .001) and men (Rao-Scott χ² = 41.8; P < .001) the prevalence of pooled anxiety disorder declined with age, with rates among women significantly more prominent than men (β interaction = 0.05; Wald χ² = 5.0; P interaction = .03). There were no differences in the prevalence of anxiety disorders with increasing age by race or ethnicity.

COMORBID MOOD-ANXIETY DISORDER 12-MONTH AGE-SPECIFIC PREVALENCE

With regard to comorbid mood-anxiety disorder, the overall 12-month prevalence rate was 3% (Table 2). The 12-month rates for comorbid disorder showed a general decline with age (55 years and older). The Figure, C portrays a similar decreasing prevalence pattern by sex for the diagnosis of 12-month comorbid mood-anxiety disorder across age groups, with no statistically significant difference between women and men (P interaction = .22).

The purpose of this article was to document nationally representative age-specific prevalence rates of DSM-IV mood, anxiety, and comorbid mood-anxiety disorders for
adults 55 years and older. There are 3 key findings in the present study: (1) declining prevalence with age; (2) rates of anxiety disorders as high as mood disorders; and (3) the common co-occurrence of these disorders. Rates were generally higher for women in each age group but did not vary by race or ethnicity.

The first and general finding from our study was the overall trend found for adults of increasing age—a general decline in the 12-month prevalence of mood, anxiety, and comorbid disorders. Statistically, prevalence rates with increasing age were more prominent for 12-month rates among women compared with men ($P < .05$). Earlier studies of both clinical and community-based studies suggest such a pattern of decline with age. The ECA found a consistent trend of lower DSM mental disorders in late life when grouping age categories together. Work by Gum et al found a similar trend in the NCS-R with lower rates in older adults ($\geq 65$ years) vs younger adults (aged 18-64 years). Recent international research by Kohn and colleagues found the same decreasing pattern with age in a sample of adults from Latin America. Further studies have found women to have higher prevalence rates of symptoms of depression, anxiety, and coexisting depression-anxiety than men, while racial differences are less consistent. Our study found no statistically significant difference across race/ethnicity; however, small cell counts for minority groups equated to lower power to detect a difference.

The second key finding was that rates of anxiety disorders were as high or even higher (with pooled rates higher; overall 12-month rate, 12%) than mood disorders (overall 12-month rate, 5%). The age-specific rates of anxiety were higher than mood disorders in each age group, with 12-month rates 17% for those aged 55 to 64 years (vs 8% for mood) and 8% for those 85 years and older (vs 2% for mood). Similarly, anxiety disorders were the most prevalent disorders among those 65 years and older in the ECA, with phobic disorder the most prevalent individual disorder. Interestingly, we found high prevalence of specific and social phobias, disorders not often investigated and often underappreciated. Unlike our study, the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam determined high rates of generalized anxiety disorder (7.3% vs 2.0% in our study). This discrepancy between reported prevalence rates highlights largely methodological differences (eg, sampling procedures and attrition rates, definition and operationalization of anxiety) and potential cultural differences between population-based studies. Most studies of anxiety disorders among US older adults have been examined relative to their occurrence in patients with depression. Research by Lenze et al found a high rate of at least 1 current (23%) anxiety disorder in depressed older adults ($\geq 60$ years) from primary care and psychiatric settings. Work from our group investigating anxiety symptoms in a cohort of community-dwelling older adults (aged 70-79 years) found that 19% of participants reported current anxiety symptoms, where women (20%) had higher rates than men (12%) ($P < .001$). Our present findings suggest similar results with diagnostic anxiety disorders, which are prominent and pervasive in older adults even into the oldest years.

The third finding was the high rate of coexisting mood and anxiety disorders in NCS-R respondents (overall 12-month rate, 3%). Geriatric psychiatry research is struggling to understand the comorbid depression-anxiety outcomes and treatment needs. Thus far, the impact of comorbid depression-anxiety is greater than initially estimated. Most US studies have been clinically based and, as mentioned earlier, found high prevalence rates of comorbid anxiety in patients with depression. In contrast, the NCS-R's advantage is that it allows the examination of a nationally representative group, highlighting the impact of comorbid and individual mood and anxiety disorders in the population. Our results showed that the co-occurrence of mood and anxiety disorders in the community was high, common, and significant across age strata 55 years and older, investigating overall trends as well as by sex and race/ethnicity.

Investigation of the oldest age cohort ($\geq 85$ years), which maintained prominent prevalence rates, warrants comment. Because individuals 85 years and older are not always captured in geriatric psychiatric research, the present examination suggests the need for more research in this group and confronting potential challenges specific to the study of this group. For example,
the oldest old are less available for study, except in institutional settings, and experience heterogeneity of comorbid diseases, creating difficult study of pure disorders in the population. Epidemiological evidence for age-specific trends of depression in older adults often measured with the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale or Geriatric Depression Scale has shown an increase in symptoms in the oldest cohort, while in most clinical studies rates of diagnosed depression consistently decline in older age groups. Thus, understanding diagnostic patterns in the oldest old is complex and requires more investigation than the present study provides.

In contrast, given the strong effect of cognitive and physical health as predictors and outcomes of mental health disorders, the finding of a general decline in mood, anxiety, and comorbid mood-anxiety disorders with age is counterintuitive. Three possible explanations for this general decline with age include (1) cohort effect (ie, as the young-old age, they will maintain higher levels of mental disorders); (2) healthy survivor bias or differential mortality, institutionalization (ie, those institutionalized were not sampled); and (3) epidemiological methods and diagnostic issues in assessing older adults for psychiatric disorders (eg, difficulty remembering symptoms, stigma related to the reporting of mental health disorders, somatic symptoms reported but determined to be related to chronic conditions instead of mental health disorders).

The strengths of this study include a nationally representative probability sample, current DSM diagnostic assessment, and precise age stratification to define trends for young-old, mid-old, old-old, and oldest-old cohorts. This study helps to describe the prevalence of mood, anxiety, and comorbid mood-anxiety disorders in a nationally representative sample of older US adults. It highlights those disorders with the greatest potential for intervention and prevention, research, and services, translating back into science those diseases with the greatest potential impact on the older public’s health.

The implications of the study findings include the public health relevance of anxiety disorders in older adults and the need for more research focused on the oldest-old age group, women, and minority groups. Given the high prevalence of anxiety disorders in the community, the lack of attention in research and program policy statements to anxiety disorders in older adults is disturbing. The literature has shown that comorbid anxiety in depressed elderly patients leads to poorer treatment outcomes than depression alone. Thus, the high prevalence of comorbid mood-anxiety disorders found in our study suggests the modifying influence of anxiety on antidepressant treatment outcomes is a serious concern.

The oldest old are the fastest growing age group in the United States. The oldest old are often underrepresented so estimates from community samples have been difficult to obtain. Because mental disorders are associated with declining physical health that occurs with frequency in older age, the assessment of psychiatric disorders is an important indicator of health and increased risk of mortality in this older population.

In addition, these results expand beyond the field of geriatric psychiatry, highlighting the importance of diagnosis, treatment, and management of psychiatric symptoms and disorders in younger years. Given the chronicity of these disorders, preventing their occurrence in later life implies that clinicians and researchers outside geriatrics need to be more vigilant about diagnosis and treatment in early years. These disorders are common in younger adults and most have early age at onset but often are not diagnosed or underdiagnosed and undertreated.

There are 3 main limitations of this study. First, the NCS-R underrepresents homeless, institutionalized, and non–English-speaking older adults. Second, there may be issues of stigma, whereby older adults with mental illness might be less inclined to participate in a mental health survey. Third, even though the WMH-CIDI was shown to have good concordance with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV, it is still a lay-administered interview rather than a clinically administered assessment, especially in the context of medical illness, disability, and cognitive decline. Thus, given these limitations, the estimates herein are probably conservative.

Additional limitations include details associated with surveying older populations that were not available in the NCS-R. These include assessment of the response rate in those 55 years and older and demonstration of acceptable reliability of the NCS-R instruments for older adults. Further, the NCS-R was limited in its assessment of mood disorders, as we were unable to determine prevalence rates of some disorders that would be considered common in older adults, eg, depression not otherwise specified, dementia with depression, mood disorders secondary to medical disorders, adjustment disorders with depression, and bereavement. Because depression in older adults may present differently (more apathy, social withdrawal, irritability), the criteria for a major depression diagnosis may not be met, although the other symptoms may be severe, suggesting an underestimation of the prevalence rate in NCS-R older adults. This underestimation is probably large considering the potential for reporting bias in older adults due to difficulty recalling symptoms or difficulty associating symptoms with psychological distress.

The study of nationally representative samples provides evidence for research and policy planning that helps to define community-based priorities for future psychiatric research. The findings of this study emphasize the importance of individual and coexisting mood and anxiety disorders when studying older adults, even the oldest cohorts. Further study of risk factors, course, and severity is needed to target intervention, prevention, and health care needs. Given the rapid aging of the US population, the potential public health burden of late-life mental health disorders will likely grow as well, suggesting the importance of continued epidemiologic monitoring of the mental health status of the young-old, mid-old, old-old, and oldest-old cohorts.
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