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Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in 2019 and then rapidly led to a pandemic with widespread cases of SARS and excess mortality. In response, mitigation efforts (including social distancing, quarantining, and closing of businesses and schools) have resulted in an unprecedented economic downfall. There is concern that these environmental stressors, augmented by psychological factors, such as loss of control, fear of death and dying, and isolation, are contributing to the emergence of psychiatric outcomes of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Furthermore, coronaviruses may induce cognitive, emotional, neurovegetative, and behavioral dysregulation through biological mechanisms, including direct neuroinvasion and triggering of immune activation. Increasing evidence has linked immune activation with depression and suicidal behavior, and according to several large meta-analyses, anti-inflammatory approaches have demonstrated efficacy in treating depression.

Many infections involving hospital contact are predictively associated with suicide, and maximum behavioral effects can take more than 6 months postinfection to fully develop. This suggests priming of cellular immune substrates rather than immediate effects. Priming is defined as an alteration (most often enhancement) of subsequent responses by an initial stimulus and involves cellular morphological and physiological changes. Priming by immune activation that is triggered by infection (ie, first hit) may not necessarily induce persistent psychiatric syndromes in itself. Yet it may progressively increase sensitivity to common proinflammatory stimuli (ie, second hit), which include other mild infections, concussions, air-borne allergen and pollutant exposure, and psychological stressors. In addition, coronaviruses seem capable of directly invading the central nervous system (CNS) via neural and hematogenous routes. The neural pathways involve the transport of the virus to the CNS from the nasal cavity and rhinopharynx via the olfactory and trigeminal nerves and from the lower respiratory tract via the vagus nerves. The hematogenous neuroinvasion occurs via the bloodstream and encompasses 3 components dependent on which cell is infected by coronaviruses on their path toward the CNS. These include (1) leukocytes (predominantly monocytes) that serve as a vehicle of dissemination into the CNS, (2) endothelial cells belonging to the blood-brain barrier, or (3) endothelial cells of the blood-cerebrospinal fluid barrier located in the ventricles of the brain, more specifically in the choroid plexus.

While our biological and clinical understanding of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 is increasing at a very rapid pace, several key domains remain poorly understood. First, we do not know the nature of SARS-CoV-2 immunity and if it conveys prevention of infection (sterilizing immunity) or prevention of symptomatic disease (protective immunity) and for how long. Second, although some genetic, demographic, and clinical risk factors have been uncovered and await replication, we do not yet understand the sources of the considerable heterogeneity in the clinical manifestations and severity of the disease. SARS-CoV-2 and its predecessor SARS-CoV-1 gain intracellular access through binding of their spike proteins to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), expressed predominantly in the alveolar epithelia of the lungs, the epithelia of other tissues, and brain endothelia. This led to the obvious hypothesis that ACE2 may hold the key to coronavirus invasiveness and severity of illness. However, binding to ACE2 is not necessary for either a high severity of respiratory or systemic illness or for neurological and psychiatric outcomes of coronaviruses. For instance, the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) does not use ACE2 to gain intracellular access and yet causes severe respiratory and systemic illness as well as neurological and psychiatric outcomes. Conversely, although human coronavirus NL63 does bind to ACE2, it causes only benign upper respiratory infections. Yet individuals seropositive for human coronavirus NL63 have markedly elevated odds of mood disorders relative to seronegative individuals. Whatever its mechanism, a severe course of COVID-19 is a panorgan medical condition, with widespread vasculopathies as a common denominator. It involves biological factors that elevate the risk and severity of neurological and early neuropsychiatric outcomes, such as massive cytokine storms, severe endothelial barrier dysfunction, hypercoagulability leading to thrombosis or thromboembolism, hypoxemia, electrolyte and pH abnormalities, failure of the gut-blood barrier, and increased neuroinvasion. It is likely that those with a severe course of infection have a higher level of exposure to very severe stress relative to those with mild or asymptomatic infection, including fear of death and dying. Severe trauma and emerging posttraumatic stress disorder, late psychiatric conditions with high incidence after COVID-19, are also known to induce robust pathophysiologic abnormalities in the endocrine systems of stress and arousal regulation that further aug-
ment neuroimmune reactivity and induce pharmacological resistance in comorbid depression.

A recent review and meta-analysis\(^1\) of psychiatric outcomes in SARS and MERS and preliminary reports in COVID-19 have identified distinct temporal patterns. They can be divided into acute psychiatric outcomes (predominantly delirium) and late psychiatric outcomes (including new-onset depression, anxiety disorders, and posttraumatic stress disorder).\(^7\) The late psychiatric symptoms can be explained by a self-perpetuated immune mechanism (eg, autoimmune), priming of cellular neuroimmune substrates, or the persistence of the virus inside the CNS (eg, within endothelial cells, resident macrophages) or monocytes. Teasing apart these mechanisms is an important research goal for the years to come.

A sizable, even if relatively small, proportion of post–COVID-19 mood and anxiety syndromes is expected to include poststroke depression,\(^7\) which may feature distinct temporal dynamics. As suggested by prior meta-analyses, many cases of poststroke depression remit within the first year after the vascular event. This contrasts with neuropsychiatric syndromes and suicidal behavior potentially mediated by neuroimmune factors (eg, priming with subsequent inflammation triggering challenges and autoimmune processes), which may persist or even worsen months to years postinfection, as we previously reported for suicide.\(^3\)

For clinicians treating depression in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, a thorough history and clinical examination are paramount, with neuroimaging (especially vascular imaging) being potentially helpful in cases with comorbid neurovascular outcomes or preexisting neurological conditions. Laboratory findings could help identify and correct comorbid metabolic conditions known to worsen mood, fatigue (eg, hypothyroidism), immune dysregulation, or impairment of antimicrobial immunity (eg, vitamin D deficiency, diabetes). Common functionally limiting nonspecific symptoms, such as severe fatigue, brain fogness, and sleep-wake abnormalities, should be differentiated from syndromal depression, which tends to include a decreased hedonic tone, negative appraisal of one’s past, present, and future, and a diminished view of one’s self.

Any major advances in vaccines and antiviral treatments targeting SARS-CoV-2, such as multiple neutralizing antibodies toward the coronavirus’s spike protein, as well as immune targeted therapies (such as interferons, anticytokines and cytokine receptor blockers, and corticosteroids) will not only prevent severe illness but will also likely benefit the brain and mental health. Additionally, pharmacological agents that promote endothelial integrity and reduce the impact of coagulopathies and prothrombotic tendencies in SARS-CoV-2 infections are expected to contribute to preventing strokes, and thus poststroke depression, in individuals with COVID-19. Translational studies are needed to find the temporally sensitive sweet spots between immune stimulation (early in illness, to reduce brain invasion) and immunomodulation (later in illness), including effective depriming agents. The combination of biological and psychological mechanisms implicated in psychiatric outcomes induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection and the magnitude of the COVID-19 pandemic implications demand integrated multilayered research efforts. These include macroepidemiology, sophisticated pharmacoepidemiology, imaging, bench-to-clinic experimental studies, and randomized clinical trials.