IMPORTANCE The ENIGMA clinical high risk (CHR) for psychosis initiative, the largest pooled neuroimaging sample of individuals at CHR to date, aims to discover robust neurobiological markers of psychosis risk.

OBJECTIVE To investigate baseline structural neuroimaging differences between individuals at CHR and healthy controls as well as between participants at CHR who later developed a psychotic disorder (CHR-PS+) and those who did not (CHR-PS−).

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS In this case-control study, baseline T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data were pooled from 31 international sites participating in the ENIGMA Clinical High Risk for Psychosis Working Group. CHR status was assessed using the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States or Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes. MRI scans were processed using harmonized protocols and analyzed within a mega-analysis and meta-analysis framework from January to October 2020.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Measures of regional cortical thickness (CT), surface area, and subcortical volumes were extracted from T1-weighted MRI scans. Independent variables were group (CHR group vs control group) and conversion status (CHR-PS+ group vs CHR-PS− group vs control group).

RESULTS Of the 3169 included participants, 1428 (45.1%) were female, and the mean (SD; range) age was 21.1 (4.9; 9.5-39.9) years. This study included 1792 individuals at CHR and 1377 healthy controls. Using longitudinal clinical information, 253 in the CHR-PS+ group, 1234 in the CHR-PS− group, and 305 at CHR without follow-up data were identified. Compared with healthy controls, individuals at CHR exhibited widespread lower CT measures (mean [range] Cohen d = −0.13 [−0.17 to −0.09]), but not surface area or subcortical volume. Lower CT measures in the fusiform, superior temporal, and paracentral regions were associated with psychosis conversion (mean Cohen d = −0.22; 95% CI, −0.35 to 0.10). Among healthy controls, compared with those in the CHR-PS+ group, age showed a stronger negative association with left fusiform CT measures (F = 9.8; P < .001; q < .001) and left paracentral CT measures (F = 5.9; P = .005; q = .02). Effect sizes representing lower CT associated with psychosis conversion resembled patterns of CT differences observed in ENIGMA studies of schizophrenia (p = 0.35; 95% CI, 0.12 to 0.55; P = .004) and individuals with 22q11.2 microdeletion syndrome and a psychotic disorder diagnosis (p = 0.43; 95% CI, 0.20 to 0.61; P = .001).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE This study provides evidence for widespread subtle, lower CT measures in individuals at CHR. The pattern of CT measure differences in those in the CHR-PS+ group was similar to those reported in other large-scale investigations of psychosis. Additionally, a subset of these regions displayed abnormal age associations. Widespread disruptions in CT coupled with abnormal age associations in those at CHR may point to disruptions in postnatal brain developmental processes.
The clinical high-risk (CHR) paradigm is a widely used framework to investigate mechanisms underlying psychosis vulnerability. Help-seeking individuals who do not meet diagnostic criteria for a psychotic disorder but typically present with subthreshold psychotic symptoms and accumulating risk factors are considered at CHR for developing psychosis. An estimated 18% to 20% of individuals at CHR develop a psychotic disorder within 2 years of identification, although conversion rates vary, likely due to heterogeneous recruitment and sampling strategies as well as interventions applied. However, despite decades of research, the nature of morphometrical differences associated with psychosis conversion remains largely unknown. Here, we aim to address this question by combining all available structural neuroimaging data in CHR to date in an attempt to better understand group differences associated with psychosis risk and conversion in this population.

A large body of work has used structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI) to investigate morphometric brain differences in individuals at CHR. However, the extent to which characteristic baseline (ie, when participants are initially ascertained and assessed at a first study visit) structural neuroimaging differences exist between those at CHR who later develop a psychotic disorder (CHR-PS+) compared with those who do not (CHR-PS−) is debated. Many studies failed to find baseline differences between these 2 groups, although a meta-analysis and multicenter study found lower prefrontal and temporal volumes or cortical thickness measured by MRI (which we will refer to as CT), which we will refer to as CT) in individuals at CHR who developed a psychotic disorder. High attrition rates in samples of individuals at CHR coupled with low psychosis conversion rates often yielded insufficient power to detect between-group structural brain differences. Moreover, small sample sizes can be associated with inflated effect sizes, so effect sizes of prior studies that found structural brain differences in individuals at CHR may be overestimated. Although multisite consortia aim to address these challenges, to our knowledge, the largest published sMRI studies to date included fewer than 50 individuals at CHR who later developed a psychotic disorder. Furthermore, it is currently unknown whether group differences are robust enough to predict outcomes.

Importantly, many participants at CHR are adolescents or young adults, a time frame associated with psychosis onset. Prefrontal-temporal brain regions, which are typically implicated in psychosis, show protracted developmental courses continuing through adolescence, suggesting that morphometric differences associated with psychosis risk vary with age. Indeed, there are developmental influences on psychotic symptom presentation, perhaps driven by differences in regional brain changes. It is not fully understood how age-related patterns in brain morphometry in individuals at CHR differ from normal development. Thus, using a developmental framework to examine whether morphometric differences in individuals at CHR are influenced by age may provide important insights into mechanisms associated with psychosis risk and the stability of neuroimaging measures associated with psychosis risk across development.

Finally, to our knowledge, it is unknown whether baseline brain differences associated with future conversion to psychosis resemble those observed in other large-scale psychosis studies. Understanding whether morphometric differences in individuals at CHR overlap with those observed in individuals who have schizophrenia and individuals with a genetic subtype of psychosis will provide insights into convergent or distinct differences across the psychosis spectrum.

To address these questions, we founded the Enhancing Neuro Imaging Genetics Through Meta-Analysis (ENIGMA) Clinical High Risk for Psychosis Working Group in 2018. Using baseline sMRI data and longitudinal clinical information from 31 sites, this study addressed the following questions:

1. Do participants at CHR and healthy controls differ in CT, surface area (SA), and/or subcortical volumes?
2. Is there a neuroanatomical signature associated with future transition to a psychotic disorder (CHR-PS+ group vs CHR-PS− group vs control group)?
3. Do structural neuroimaging measures identified in aims 1 and 2 display group differences in age associations suggestive of abnormal developmental trajectories?
4. Is the pattern of morphometric alteration associated with psychosis conversion similar to that observed in other ENIGMA studies of psychosis?

Methods
Participants
We included 1792 individuals at CHR, including 253 in the CHR-PS+ group, 1234 in the CHR-PS− group, and 305 without follow-up data, and 1377 healthy controls from 31 sites participating in the ENIGMA Clinical High Risk for Psychosis Working Group. Participants met the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States (CAARMS; n = 821) or Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes (SIPS; n = 971) CHR criteria (eMethods in the Supplement). Site-specific inclusion and exclusion criteria are detailed in eTable 1 in the Supplement. All sites obtained local institutional review board approval prior to data collection. Informed written consent was
obtained from every participant or the participant’s guardian for participants younger than 18 years. All studies were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.36

Image Acquisition and Processing
A total of 31 sites contributed T1-weighted MRI brain scans from 50 MRI scanners, including 42 3-T scanners and 8 1.5-T scanners (eTable 2 in the Supplement). Scanners were manufactured by Siemens (n = 23), Philips (n = 8), GE (n = 18), and Toshiba (n = 1). A breakdown of the number of scans obtained for those in the CHR-PS+, CHR-PS−, and control groups for each scanner is reported in eTable 3 in the Supplement. After processing the data using FreeSurfer analysis software (eTable 2 in the Supplement),37-39 we extracted 68 CT, 68 SA, and 16 subcortical volume measures. We also examined 3 global neuroimaging measures—total intracranial volume (ICV), mean CT, and total SA—resulting in 155 neuroimaging measures. We implemented the ENIGMA consortium quality assessment pipeline.32-35,40,41 A priori power calculations are included in eMethods in the Supplement.

Statistical Analyses

Group-Related and Conversion-Related Differences in sMRI Metrics
We assessed group differences using general linear models (GLMs) within a mega-analysis framework, with each sMRI measure (ie, CT, SA, or subcortical volume) as the dependent variable and group (CHR or healthy control) or conversion status (CHR-PS+, CHR-PS−, or control) as the independent variable. We included age, age2, sex, and estimated total ICV as covariates in all models and corrected for multiple comparisons (n = 155) using the false discovery rate method.42 q Values less than .05 were considered statistically significant. Significance for P values for minimal-effects testing was set at P < .05 and were 1-tailed; all other P and q values were 2-tailed. All analyses were conducted using R version 3.6.3 (The R Foundation).

For all structural neuroimaging measures, we calculated Cohen d effect sizes from the GLMs between 2 (individuals at CHR vs healthy controls) or 3 groups of interest (CHR-PS+ group vs control group; CHR-PS+ group vs CHR-PS− group; CHR-PS− group vs control group). Based on recent work demonstrating that neuroComBat harmonization increases statistical power within a mega-analytic framework,43 primary analyses were conducted within a mega-analysis framework using data that were cor-
### Table. Age and Sex Information for Healthy Controls and Participants at Clinical High Risk (CHR) for Psychosis at Each Site (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Individuals at CHR</th>
<th>CHR-PS+ groupa</th>
<th>CHR-PS− groupb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Female, (%)</td>
<td>Age, mean (SD; range), y</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUHMC</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19 (66)</td>
<td>23.9 (3.2; 18-30)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>25 (47)</td>
<td>22.0 (4.2; 14-30)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNH</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>24 (32)</td>
<td>21.2 (2.5; 17-27)</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stavanger</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16 (48)</td>
<td>17.0 (1.0; 13-27)</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toho</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8 (50)</td>
<td>23.2 (2.9; 18-28)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12 (48)</td>
<td>22.1 (1.8; 16-25)</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyama</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>67 (47.5)</td>
<td>25.1 (4.2; 18-38)</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCSF</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>43 (41.7)</td>
<td>23.7 (7.5; 13-40)</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zurich</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>22 (51)</td>
<td>22.2 (5.6; 13-36)</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NA, not available; CHR-PS+, individuals at CHR who later developed a psychotic disorder; CHR-PS−, individuals at CHR who did not later develop a psychotic disorder.

Site name abbreviations as follows: Amsterdam, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; Barcelona-HSJD, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; Bern, University Hospital of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland; Columbia1, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Columbia University, New York; Columbia2, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Columbia University, New York; Columbus, Ohio State University Research Center, Columbus, Ohio, USA; Copenhagen, Mental Health Center Copenhagen and CHANS, Mental Health Center Glostrup, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; CSU Central South University, Changsha, China; Glasgow, Institute of Neuroscience and Psychology, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland; Heidelberg, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany; IDBAPS, August Pi I Sauri Biomedical Research Institute, Barcelona, Spain; ISMMS, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York; London, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King’s College London, London, United Kingdom; Maastricht, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands; Melbourne, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia; Mexico City, Instituto Nacional de Neurologia y Neurocirugia, Mexico City, Mexico; MHRC, Mental Health Research Center Moscow, Moscow, Russia; MPARY, Mediterranean Psychiatry Research Center, University of Athens School of Medicine, Athens, Greece; New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, New York; Pitt, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; RUMC, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois, Singapore, Institute of Mental Health School of Medicine, Singapore; SNH, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea; Stavanger, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway; Toho, Department of Neuropsychiatry, Toho University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; Toronto, Department of Neurpsychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Toyama, University of Toyama Graduate School of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Toyama, Japan; UCSF, University of California, San Francisco; Zurich, Psychiatric Hospital, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. Additional site details can be found in Table 1 in the Supplement.

a Values for the CHR-PS+ and CHR-PS− groups do not always sum to the number of individuals at CHR at each site because there were individuals at CHR without follow-up data (n = 305).

b Data were not available either because no individuals at CHR transitioned to a psychotic disorder or because follow-up data were not available.

c Data were not available either because no individuals at CHR transitioned to a psychotic disorder or because follow-up data were not available.

d Data were not available either because no individuals at CHR transitioned to a psychotic disorder or because follow-up data were not available.

e Additional analyses were conducted to examine the stability of group and conversion status differences, we performed jackknife re-sampling analyses, that is, iteratively removing one site's data and rerunning analyses statistically controlling for site and scanner associations. To assess whether observed differences fell within the upper and lower bounds of a predefined smallest effect size of interest, we set to a Cohen's d of 0.15 (d = 0.15 and −0.15, respectively) for analyses on the eMethods in the Supplement. For all neurometric measures, we performed analyses statistically controlling for baseline psychotropic medication exposure.

f To test group effects, we conducted jackknife re-sampling analyses, that is, iteratively removing one site's data and rerunning analyses statistically controlling for site and scanner associations. To assess whether observed differences fell within the upper and lower bounds of a predefined smallest effect size of interest, we set to a Cohen's d of 0.15 (d = 0.15 and −0.15, respectively) for analyses on the eMethods in the Supplement. For all neurometric measures, we performed analyses statistically controlling for baseline psychotropic medication exposure.

We used general additive models (GAMs) to model group and conversion status differences, we performed jackknife re-sampling analyses, that is, iteratively removing one site's data and rerunning analyses statistically controlling for site and scanner associations. To assess whether observed differences fell within the upper and lower bounds of a predefined smallest effect size of interest, we set to a Cohen's d of 0.15 (d = 0.15 and −0.15, respectively) for analyses on the eMethods in the Supplement. For all neurometric measures, we performed analyses statistically controlling for baseline psychotropic medication exposure.

We used general additive models (GAMs) to model group and conversion status differences, we performed jackknife re-sampling analyses, that is, iteratively removing one site's data and rerunning analyses statistically controlling for site and scanner associations. To assess whether observed differences fell within the upper and lower bounds of a predefined smallest effect size of interest, we set to a Cohen's d of 0.15 (d = 0.15 and −0.15, respectively) for analyses on the eMethods in the Supplement. For all neurometric measures, we performed analyses statistically controlling for baseline psychotropic medication exposure.
association with psychosis conversion status, we examined the associations of baseline age and group/conversion status as well as the interaction between the 2 variables. Sex and estimated ICV were included as covariates. Similar to previous work examining age associations during adolescent development,48,49 we restricted our sample’s age range to 12 to 25 years (eTable 4 in the Supplement). Details on post hoc analyses for significant interaction associations are provided in the eMethods in the Supplement.

Comparison of Psychosis Conversion Effect Sizes With Findings of Other ENIGMA Studies

We computed Spearman rank correlations to assess the extent to which the pattern of observed effect sizes (Cohen d for CHR-PS+ and CHR-PS− groups vs control group) correlated with the pattern found in prior psychosis studies, specifically the ENIGMA Schizophrenia Working Group (individuals with schizophrenia vs healthy controls)32,33 and ENIGMA 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome Working Group (individuals with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome with psychosis vs those with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome without psychosis).34,35 As a control, we compared the effect sizes of the CHR-PS+ group and CHR-PS− group vs control group with the effect sizes of the major depressive disorder (MDD) group vs control group published by the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder Working Group (eMethods in the Supplement).40,41

Results

Sample Characteristics

Of the 3169 included participants, 1428 (45.1%) were female, and the mean (SD; range) age was 21.1 (4.9; 9.5-39.9) years (Table). Intelligence quotient (IQ) comparisons between healthy controls and individuals at CHR are reported in eTable 5 in the Supplement. Within each site, baseline IQ measures were largely similar in all participants at CHR, including those in the CHR-PS+ group, CHR-PS− group, and participants at CHR without follow-up information (eTable 6 in the Supplement). For symptom measures, participants at CHR without follow-up data had less severe baseline positive, negative, and disorganized symptoms on the SIPS compared with participants in the CHR-PS+ and CHR-PS− groups (eTable 7 in the Supplement). Compared with the CHR-PS+ and CHR-PS− groups, those without follow-up data had less severe cognitive changes on the CAARMS (eTable 7 in the Supplement). Few participants at CHR reported typical (less than 1%) and/or atypical (12.4%) antipsychotic medication use (eTable 8 in the Supplement).

CT in Participants at CHR vs Healthy Controls

In neuroComBat-harmonized GLM mega-analyses, participants at CHR had smaller global neuroimaging measures compared with healthy controls (estimated ICV: Cohen d = −0.13; 95% CI, −0.20 to −0.06; mean CT: Cohen d = −0.18; 95% CI, −0.25 to −0.11; total SA: Cohen d = −0.15; 95% CI, −0.22 to −0.08). We also observed significant group associations in 53 additional GLMs (eTable 9 in the Supplement). The largest group associations were observed for widespread lower CT in individuals at CHR vs healthy controls (42 of 68 comparisons; Cohen d range, −0.17 to −0.09) (Figure 1A; eTable 9 and eFigure 1 in the Supplement). Few subcortical (3 of 16) and SA (8 of 68) group differences were observed. No group × sex interactions were detected.

We present results of possible confound analyses, including ICV, medication and site associations, equivalence testing, and results of neuroComBat harmonization in eTables 10 to 13 and eFigures 1 and 2 in the Supplement. No sMRI measures were uniquely sensitive to psychosis-risk syndrome (eResults and eTables 14 to 17 in the Supplement).

Association of Paracentral, Fusiform, and Superior Temporal CT With Psychosis Conversion

A total of 48 structural neuroimaging measures exhibited a significant overall association with psychosis conversion status in GLM mega-analyses using neuroComBat harmonized data (Figure 1B; eTable 18 and eFigure 3 in the Supplement). Most significant differences were observed for CT measures (n = 37). Within these 48 regions, we conducted pairwise GLMs between the CHR-PS+ and control groups, CHR-PS− and control groups, and CHR-PS+ and CHR-PS− groups. Of these 48 regions, the CHR-PS+ group differed from the CHR-PS− and control groups on 4 neuroimaging measures.

Compared with the control and CHR-PS− groups, the CHR-PS+ group exhibited lower CT in bilateral paracentral, right superior temporal, and left fusiform regions compared with the control and CHR-PS− groups (eTable 7 in the Supplement). Few participants at CHR reported typical (less than 1%) and/or atypical (12.4%) antipsychotic medication use (eTable 8 in the Supplement). We observed no conversion status × sex interactions, and results remained stable when length of follow-up period was included as a covariate.

We present results of confound analyses (medication, site associations, equivalence testing) in the eResults, eTables 19 to 21, and eFigure 2 in the Supplement. There were no statistically significant psychosis risk syndrome × conversion status interactions (eResults and eTable 22 in the Supplement).

Age Associations in CHR-PS+ and CHR-PS− Groups Compared With Control Group

In GAM analyses, we observed no statistically significant group × age interactions for the 56 neuroimaging measures that differed...
between individuals at CHR and healthy controls (eTable 23 in the Supplement). We then conducted GAM analyses on the 4 sMRI measures on which the CHR-PS+ group displayed lower CT compared with the CHR-PS− and control groups in psychosis conversion group analyses. These sMRI measures were left paracentral CT, right paracentral CT, left fusiform CT, and right superior temporal CT. Two measures displayed a significant psychosis conversion status × age interaction. For each group × age interaction analysis, we assessed group differences in age associations (ie, CHR-PS+ group vs control group, CHR-PS− group vs control group, and CHR-PS+ group vs CHR-PS− group).

In left fusiform, the association of age with CT differed between the CHR-PS+ group and control group ($F = 5.9; P = .005; q = .02$) (Figure 2A) but not between the CHR-PS+ group and control group ($F = 0.2; P = .68; q = .74$) or the CHR-PS+ group and CHR-PS− group ($F = 1.9; P = .18; q = .45$). Among individuals aged 12 to 15.8 years, those in the control group showed a stronger negative association of age with CT compared with those in the CHR-PS+ and CHR-PS− groups. Although the interaction was not statistically significant, a similar pattern emerged for the right fusiform CT (Figure 3A; eTable 24 in the Supplement).

Age associations in the left paracentral CT differed between the CHR-PS+ group and control group ($F = 5.9; P < .001; q < .001$) and the CHR-PS− group and control group ($F = 8.7; P < .001; q < .001$) (Figure 2A) but not between the CHR-PS+ group and CHR-PS− group ($F = 1.3; P = .31; q = .45$). Between ages 12 and 16 years, those in the control group showed a stronger negative association of age with CT compared with those in the CHR-PS+ group. The association of age with CT did not differ between the CHR-PS− group and control group ($F = 0.2; P = .69; q = .74$). This pattern of results was not observed for the right paracentral CT (Figure 3B; eTable 24 in the Supplement). We found no significant age × conver-
sion status interactions for the superior temporal CT (Figure 3C; eTable 24 in the Supplement); all groups showed negative associations of age with CT.

CT in the ENIGMA CHR Group Compared With Other ENIGMA Working Groups

eFigure 4 in the Supplement provides a visual overview of CT differences in the CHR-PS+ group, individuals with schizophrenia (using published data from the ENIGMA Schizophrenia Working Group32,33), and individuals with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome and a psychotic disorder (using published data from the ENIGMA 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome Working Group34,35).

The overall pattern of baseline CT differences in the CHR-PS+ group relative to the control group was significantly correlated with that observed in individuals with schizophrenia (ρ = 0.35; 95% CI, 0.12 to 0.55; P = .004) and in individuals with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome and a psychotic disorder (using published data from the ENIGMA 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome Working Group34,35).

Discussion

We conducted, to our knowledge, the largest multisite neuroimaging investigation to date in participants at CHR, examining baseline structural neuroimaging measures associated with later transition to psychosis. We found widespread lower CT in individuals at CHR, consistent with previously reported CT differences in individuals with an established psychotic disorder. Compared with those in the CHR-PS+ and control groups, at baseline, those in the CHR-PS+ group exhibited thinner cortex in bilateral paracentral, right fusiform, and left superior temporal regions, with effect sizes significantly greater than what we considered to be meaningful a priori. Our results were robust to associations of medication exposure, sex, site, and length of follow-up period. Findings from this international effort suggest that conversion to psychosis among those at CHR is associated with lower CT at baseline.

We identified widespread regional lower CT in individuals at CHR compared with healthy controls. Lower CT has been observed in individuals with schizophrenia as well as other psychiatric disorders. Importantly, the overall pattern of lower CT in those in the CHR-PS+ and CHR-PS− groups resembled that observed in individuals with schizophrenia and individuals with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome and a psychotic disorder but not in individuals with MDD. For the CHR-PS+ group, correlations with CT differences in individuals with schizophrenia were significantly greater than the association observed with CT differences in individuals with MDD. Taken together, our results suggest that the overall constellation of reported CT differences in individuals at CHR resembles the
Each graph has a line of best fit for the association of age with the respective neuroimaging measures. Shading around the line indicates the SE. The bars underneath the age plots reflect the derivative of the slope, ie, the rate of change taking place at a particular age, scaled as a pseudo t statistic, based on the posterior simulation. CHR-PS+ indicates individuals at clinical high risk who later developed a psychotic disorder; CHR-PS−, individuals at clinical high risk who did not later develop a psychotic disorder.
general pattern of CT differences observed in individuals with schizophrenia and genetic disorders associated with psychosis and thus suggest that widespread thinner cortex in individuals at CHR may be associated with their increased risk of psychosis.

We also found that lower CT in paracentral, superior temporal, and fusiform regions was associated with psychosis conversion; individuals in the CHR-PS+ group exhibited significantly lower CT than those in the CHR-PS− group and control group in these regions. Lower baseline CT and/or volume in these regions has previously been reported in individuals at CHR who later developed a psychotic disorder (data not used here). Furthermore, longitudinal CT decreases in these regions have been associated with transition to psychosis in those at CHR.9,19,20 The magnitude of altered CT in individuals in the CHR-PS+ group in the paracentral, superior temporal, and fusiform regions was highly consistent with findings in individuals with schizophrenia,33,51,52 and lower fusiform and paracentral CT has been observed in individuals who hear voices but do not have a diagnosis of schizophrenia.53 Given that both help-seeking and non-help-seeking individuals on the psychosis spectrum exhibit alterations in these regions, CT in the paracentral, superior temporal, and fusiform areas may display a dose-response association with psychosis risk. While this interpretation also aligns with our observation that CT in these regions differed between the CHR-PS+, CHR-PS−, and control groups (with the lowest CT for those in the CHR-PS+ group), this explanation remains speculative in light of the cross-sectional nature of the data.

Consistent with previous CHR studies examining baseline neuroimaging associations with later conversion to psychosis,17 we did not observe widespread subcortical volume or SA differences associated with later psychosis transition. Taken together, these results suggest that CT reductions may be among the most widespread, robust, and specific morphometric changes associated with psychosis risk and conversion compared with SA or subcortical volume.

An intriguing pattern of findings emerged from the psychosis conversion × age analyses. Compared with the control group, the CHR-PS+ and CHR-PS− groups exhibited significantly lower paracentral and fusiform region CT among those aged 12 to 16 years. Our analyses investigating age-associated rates of change (estimated using cross-sectional data) seemed to indicate a steeper decline in slope for those in the control group during this time frame, which reached a plateau in adulthood. However, those in the CHR-PS− group displayed a slower decline, and results in the CHR-PS+ group were indicative of a reduced or delayed rate of change. Relative to the normative timetable in healthy controls, these findings may suggest an accelerated developmental decrease in paracentral and/or fusiform CT in the CHR-PS+ and CHR-PS− groups, with the greatest declines occurring in the CHR-PS+ group. If indeed normative CT decreases during adolescence represent a period of specialization (where higher-level systems that contribute to adult outcomes are formed34,55), lower CT, most apparent in those in the CHR-PS+ group, could reflect impairments in optimal specialization. However, these observations are speculative, and the veracity of these patterns will be most accurately captured with longitudinal analyses that encompass a wide age range (eg, early childhood through adulthood).

The neuroanatomic pattern of group differences and age-associated disruptions observed in individuals at CHR may provide important insights into mechanisms underlying increased risk of psychosis. Preclinical models56,57 and recent genome-wide association studies58 suggest that genetic variants associated with SA are linked to the regulation of neural progenitor cells during fetal development, while genetic markers associated with CT are associated with regulatory processes in adulthood. Thus, CT differences may be the end result of maladaptive maturation-related mechanisms that occur during postfetal development, including proliferation, synaptic pruning, and/or myelination.59-62 Thinner CT, particularly in early adolescence (Figure 3), could reflect abnormal synaptic plasticity or pruning, which have both been implicated in in vitro schizophrenia models.63 Although excessive synaptic pruning is one plausible explanation for thinner cortex associated with psychosis transition, recent evidence suggests that intracortical myelination and/or expression of myelin-related genes may be mechanisms of cortical thinning.64,65

To better understand neurobiological mechanisms underlying psychosis transition in individuals at CHR, investigations of concomitant measures of CT, macroscale white matter tracts, and intracortical myelination are necessary. Finally, it is also possible that lower CT is not a mechanism of psychosis and can instead be attributed to environmental factors or social determinants associated with psychosis,66,67 or that lower CT occurs in response to other possible biological mechanisms underlying psychosis (eg, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal stress response68).

Even if CT reductions in individuals at CHR were robust, effect sizes for between-group differences were nevertheless small to moderate and accounted for approximately 1% of the variance in comparisons between the CHR-PS+ and CHR-PS− groups. The subtle nature of these morphometric differences underscores the importance of adequate statistical power, achievable only through large-scale multisite collaborations. Consistent with recent work showing that schizophrenia polygenic risk scores only improved differentiation of individuals in the CHR-PS+ group from controls (and not those in the CHR-PS− group from those in the CHR-PS− group),69 we anticipate that baseline, univariate sMRI metrics will have a similar impact on psychosis risk prediction algorithms. Given the logistic and financial challenges that MRI brings, the use of MRI metrics in isolation may not be feasible or useful for psychosis risk prediction. A viable solution may be to adopt sequential assessment frameworks, as recently implemented.70 Alternatively, sMRI differences may be a better predictor of general psychopathology and would be better suited for transdiagnostic risk prediction models.71

Limitations

Our study had limitations. One limitation common to multisite studies is that data were collected from multiple scan-
ners, although leave-1-out analyses suggest that site associations were not prominent. Second, this initial study focused on baseline cross-sectional data and did not investigate progressive sMRI changes associated with psychosis conversion, as identified in prior work.6,18-21,72 Additionally, CHR status is associated with heterogeneous outcomes,73-75 and neuro-imaging phenotypes may differentiate among variability in psychosocial functioning and/or among other psychiatric diagnoses (eg, mood and anxiety disorders). These are two future goals of the ENIGMA Clinical High Risk for Psychosis Working Group, now that feasibility of this collaboration has been established.
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Conclusions

In the largest study of brain abnormalities in individuals at CHR to date, we found robust evidence of a subtle, widespread pattern of CT differences, consistent with observations in psychosis. The specificity of these differences to CT—as well as age-associated deviations in regions sensitive to psychosis conversion—may point to abnormal development processes. These findings also point to age ranges (ie, early adolescence) when morphometric abnormalities in individuals at CHR might be greatest.
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